Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Habitat determinants and predatory interactions of the endemic freshwater crayfish (Koura, Paranephrops planifrons) in the Lower North Island, New Zealand.

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Masters of Science

in

Ecology

at Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand.

Logan Arthur Brown

2009

Acknowledgements

My gratitude goes to all those who helped me throughout my thesis. The completion of this has taken many years and is thanks to the efforts of many who have helped me with fieldwork, made suggestions on ways to carry out experiments and been there for general support. A special thanks must go to my partner Emma who has put up with me for three years trying to complete this, also to my family and friends for their support.

Thanks to my supervisor Associate Professor Russell Death for helping come up with the original topic and the comments provided on drafts. Thanks also to Fiona Death for proof reading final drafts of my thesis.

The following people gave up their time to come and help me in the field. Matt Denton-Giles, Emma Round, Charlotte Minson, Jono Tonkin, Nikki Atkinson, Travis Brown, Jan Brown, Ray Brown, Peter Bills, Hannah Bills, Caitlin Bills, Brayden Bills, Hannah Rainforth, Shaun Nielson, Jess Costall, Emily Atkinson, Nikki McArthur, Carol Nicholson, Abby Deuel, Amy McDonald, Kiryn Weaver, Cleland Wallace, and Lorraine Cook. Hopefully this covers you all but thanks to those I may have missed, there were so many helpers it is hard to remember you all.

Thanks to Tracy and Arjan van der Straaten who lent me troughs and allowed me to carry out experiments on their property. Thanks to Peter Bills who spent many hours building the enclosures for the stream experiments. I think I got the good end of the deal offering to milk while you built the enclosures. Thanks also to all the land owners that allowed me access to streams on their private property. It is with your co-operation that such research is possible.

This research was partly funded by a Massey University Masterate Scholarship and was carried out with approval from the Massey University Animal Ethics Committee (Protocol numbers 06/108, 07/08, and 07/19).

<u>.</u>
1:
1:
·
30
4
6
6

List of Appendixes Chapter 1:		
Appendix 1: Appendix 2:	Sites sampled in the lower North Island. Definitions of the abbreviations used in figures 3 and 4	24, 25, 26 27
Appendix 3:	Examples of some of the sites sampled Plate 1: Site 88 - Wainuiomata River. Koura present.	28
	Plate 2: Site 92 - Mangaaruhe Stream. Koura absent. Plate 3: Site 30 - Unnamed tributary of the Waiharuru Stream. Koura absent. Plate 4: Site 23 - Unnamed tributary of the Nguturoa Stream. Koura present.	29
Chapter 2:	O. T.	
Appendix 1:	Examples of stream enclosure set up. Plate 1: Enclosure used for koura predation trials. Plate 2: Example of stream set up of enclosures used for koura predation trials. Note: actual streams used for this experiment were smaller in size.	48
Chapter 3:		
Appendix 1:	Examples of trough experiment set ups. Plate 1: Experiment setup of troughs. Plate 2: Example of trough used in experiment. Mesh covered the troughs to stop both animals escaping and aerial predation.	59
	Plate 3: Close up of individual trough. Water is pumped to the top of the rocks (see top of picture). Plate 4: Close up of individual trough. Orange tags represent the placement of the leaf litter packs.	60
List of figures:		
Chapter 1:	Figure 1: Presence (red circles) and absence (black circes) of koura over the 104 study sites within the Lower North Island. Page 17	17
	Figure 2: Regression tree showing breakdown of the sites using habitat variable data collected at each of the sites. The first number in brackets represents the number of sites at which the variable is correctly classified and the second number the number of sites at which it is incorrectly classified. Cross validation	18

	104 - Kappa statistic 0.0602, Correct = 65/104, Incorrect = 39/104. Training set - Kappa statistic 0.74, Correct 93/104, Incorrect 11/104. Page 18	
	Figure 3: Regression tree showing breakdown of the sites using habitat variable data collected at each of the sites and from the FWENZ database. The first number in brackets represents the number of sites at which the variable is correctly classified and the second number the number of sites at which it is incorrectly classified. Cross validation 10% - Kappa statistic 0.0027, Correct = 61/104, Incorrect = 43/104. Training set - Kappa statistic 0.7913, Correct 95/104, Incorrect 9/104.	19, 20
Chapter 2:	Figure 1: Map showing the catchment boundaries of the Mangaore, Kahuterawa and the Turitea Stream, Manawatu.	42
	Figure 2: Map showing the Ngururoa Stream, Manawatu.	43
Chapter 3:	Figure 1: The average percentage of leaf matter consumed by koura at a density of 7 per square metre, in the presence of an introduced and native predator. The corresponding letters indicate a significant difference between the treatments.	53
	Figure 2: Map showing the catchment boundaries of the Mangaore, Kahuterawa and the Turitea Stream, Manawatu.	56
Chapter 4:	Figure 1: The average percentage (+/- 1SE) of leaf matter consumed in the various density trials. The corresponding letters indicate a significant difference between the different treatments.	64
	Figure 2: Map showing the catchment boundaries of the Mangaore, Kahuterawa and the Turitea Stream,	66