Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Understanding the Molecular Basis of the Strength Differences in Skins Used in Leather Manufacture

A dissertation presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

at Massey University, Palmerston North

New Zealand

RAFEA MUSTAFA NAFFA

2017

1 Dedication

To my mother

To Haifa, Lilian and Maya

for giving me the confidence and love to keep going

and not give up

2 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor Gillian Norris for her guidance and advice throughout the project, help with skin preparation when time was at a premium, and their unfailing optimism. Thank you for encouraging me to follow and develop my own ideas, and to make contact, and discuss my work, with experts in different fields. Also thanks to my co-supervisors Richard Haverkamp and Meekyung Ahn for their support.

I would like to thank Trevor Loo for his help and advice throughout my studies, particularly for his assistance with the mass spectrometry. My PhD study would not have been possible without Geoff Holmes who has kindly provided me with all skin samples used in this study. I would also like to thank Richard Edmonds, Dylan Ball and Catherine Maidment from Leather and Shoe Research Association of New Zealand (LASRA®) for their help in skin sample collection and analyses, and David Harding, (Institute of Fundamental Sciences at Massey University, (IFS)), for his help with the HPLC, and for making his laboratory available to me to carry out the chemical procedures that were part of this project.

A big thank you to Bridget Ingham, Callaghan Innovation, Wellington, New Zealand for her help with both the SAXS data collection and analyses.

Thanks also to Matthew Savoian, Jordan Tylor and Niki Minards of the Manawatu Microscopy and Imaging Centre, Steve Glasgow (Massey Institute of Food Science and Technology) for his help with using the Instron instrument, and Bob Stewart (Institute of Agriculture and Environment) for the help with the polarizing light microscope, Pat Edwards (IFS) for his help with NMR analyses.

My special gratitude goes to Ihsan Shehadi who was the inspiration for me to pursue my PhD studies abroad. I will always remember the memories we shared together

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE®) through a grant to LASRA (MBX801), the Institute of Fundamental Sciences at Massey University and the New Zealand Synchrotron Group Ltd. for access to the SAXS/WAXS beamline at the Australian Synchrotron.

3 Abstract

Although skin structure and its physical properties have been extensively studied, little research has been devoted to understanding the links between them. A comprehensive study of the molecular components of four animal skins commonly used to manufacture shoes, clothing and furniture was therefore undertaken in order to attempt to identify a common indicator of skin strength. The molecular architecture of the protein components of each skin was analysed using polarising, confocal and transmission-electron microscopy (TEM), small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) and amino-acid and cross-link analysis; glycosaminoglycans were quantified and visualised using TEM; and, for the sake of completeness, total carbohydrate and lipid content were measured using a colorimetric assay and thin layer chromatography respectively. Differences in these properties were then related to different physical characteristics of each skin.

The results showed that an individual mechanical property of skin such as tensile strength is complex and related to different combinations of molecular properties. For example, deer and cow skins are the strongest of the skins examined, however they derive their strength from different combinations of molecular properties. Cow skin collagen fibrils have the largest diameter, but deer skin fibrils have the smallest. On the other hand, the fibrils in deer skin frequently change direction, and have a “wavy” or crimped appearance in contrast to the fibrils in cow skin which are aligned in two main directions approximately 60 and 90 degrees apart, differences that are also reflected in the types and amount of their collagen crosslinks. Deer skin fibrils contain a higher proportion of trivalent crosslinks while cow skin fibrils contain a higher proportion of tetravalent links. For the two weaker skins, goat skin fibrils are more crimped than those of sheep skin, but both fibrils have diameters intermediate between those of cow- and deer skins and have lower mature to immature crosslink ratio. In deer skin, glycosaminoglycans are observed by TEM to link fibrils in

regular arrays and are present in higher concentrations than in cow, sheep and goat skins. This study showed the relationship between the molecular structure of skin and its mechanical functions is complex, arising from different combinations of molecular features rather than just one.

4 Table of Contents

1 Dedication 2
2 Acknowledgements 3
3 Abstract 5
4 Table of Contents 1
5 List of Figures 6
6 List of Tables...14
7 List of publications/conferences...16
1 CHAPTER ONE: Introduction...17
1.1 The Problem...18
1.2 Aims and objectives...23
2 CHAPTER TWO: Literature Review..26
2.1 Skin to Leather...27
2.1.1 Skin...27
2.1.2 Leather..28
2.2 Collagen...33
2.2.1 Collagen biosynthesis...33
2.2.2 Collagen structure...37
2.2.3 Collagens in skin 39
2.3 Collagen crosslinks..42
2.3.1 Crosslink formation..43
2.3.2 Aldimines 47
2.3.3 Ketoamines..47
2.3.4 Histidine-containing crosslinks 49
2.3.5 Pyrrolic crosslinks 50
2.3.6 Pyridinium crosslinks...50
2.3.7 Glycation 51
2.3.8 Other crosslinks..54
2.3.9 Crosslinking profile of collagen 55
2.3.10 Quantitation of crosslinks...59
2.4 Elastin 63
2.4.1 Elastin structure..63
2.4.2 Elastin biosynthesis and crosslinking...65

2.4.3 Elastin quantitation 67
2.5 Glycosaminoglycans and proteoglycans 68
2.5.1 Glycosaminoglycans 68
2.5.2 Proteoglycans 74
2.6 Lipids 79
2.7 Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) 82
2.7.1 SAXS of skin 84
2.7.2 Interpretation of SAXS data 85
2.7.3 SAXS calculations 89
2.7.4 Orientation of collagen fibrils 90
3 CHAPTER THREE: Sample Collection, Preparation and Analysis 91
3.1 Introduction 92
3.2 Experimental procedure 93
3.2.1 Chemicals and reagents 93
3.2.2 Collection of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins 93
3.2.3 Skin sample preparation 94
3.2.4 Analysis methods 95
3.2.5 Leather processing steps 95
3.2.6 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) 96
3.2.7 Skin thickness measurements 96
3.2.8 Tear strength 96
3.2.9 Tensile strength 97
3.3 Results and discussion 98
3.3.1 Collection of skin samples 98
3.3.2 Skin sample preparation 99
3.3.3 Skin thickness 100
3.3.4 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) 102
3.3.5 Tear Strength of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins 105
3.3.6 Tensile strength of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins 105
4 CHAPTER FOUR: Method Development and Quantitation of Amino Acids 114
4.1 Introduction 115
4.2 Experimental procedure 116
4.2.1 Chemicals and reagents 116
4.2.2 Preparation of amino acid standards 116

4.2.3 Amino acid extraction from skins 117
4.2.4 Derivatization of amino acids by AQC 117
4.2.5 Separation of AQC-amino acids using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)..118
4.2.6 AQC-Amino acid separation and detection by mass spectrometry.....118
4.2.7 Method validation...119
4.2.8 Amino acid quantitation in skins...119
4.3 Results and discussion 120
4.3.1 Separation of AQC-amino acids by HPLC 120
4.3.2 AQC-Amino acid separation and detection by on mass spectrometry 123
4.3.3 Separation of collagen crosslinks 126
4.3.4 Quantitation of amino acids 128
5 CHAPTER FIVE: Analysis of Glycosaminoglycans, Carbohydrates and Lipids..144
5.1 Introduction..145
5.2 Experimental procedure..145
5.2.1 Chemicals and reagents 145
5.2.2 Optimization of the glycosaminoglycan extraction from skins..........146
5.2.3 Glycosaminoglycans quantification 146
5.2.4 Extraction and quantification of carbohydrates from animal skins.....147
5.2.5 Extraction of lipids from skin...148
5.2.6 Preparation of lipid stains..148
5.2.7 Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) of Lipid extracts 149
5.3 Results and discussion 150
5.3.1 Optimisation of glycosaminoglycan extraction from skin 150
5.3.2 Quantitation of glycosaminoglycans in skin 151
5.3.3 Quantitation of carbohydrates in animal skins 154
5.3.4 Analysis of lipids in animal skins...157
6 CHAPTER SIX: Isolation, Purification and Characterisation of Crosslinks 161
6.1 Introduction..162
6.2 Experimental procedures 163
6.2.1 Chemicals and reagents 163
6.2.2 Preparation of skin samples...163
6.2.3 Reduction of skin samples...163
6.2.4 Hydrolysis of skin samples using 6M hydrochloric acid 164

6.2.5 Crosslink Enrichment of crosslinks by CF11 column chromatography 164
6.2.6 Crosslink purification by size exclusion chromatography 165
6.2.7 Characterization of crosslinks by NMR 165
6.2.8 Characterisation of crosslinks by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry...166
6.3 Results and discussion 167
6.3.1 Stabilization of crosslinks by reduction 167
6.3.2 Removal of amino acids by CF11 column chromatography...............167
6.3.3 Purification of the crosslinks by size exclusion chromatography 168
6.3.4 Characterisation of HHMD, HHL and HLNL crosslinks....................170
7 CHAPTER SEVEN: Method Development and Crosslink Quantitation...............204
7.1 Introduction...205
7.2 Experimental procedure...206
7.2.1 Chemicals and reagents 206
7.2.2 Instrumentation and analysis 206
7.2.3 Development of the crosslinks separation method.............................207
7.2.4 Preparation of skin samples...207
7.2.5 Reduction of skin sample 207
7.2.6 Hydrolysis of skin samples..208
7.2.7 Enrichment of crosslinks 208
7.2.8 Quantitation of crosslinks..209
7.3 Results and discussion 209
7.3.1 Silica hydride...209
7.3.2 The effect of solvent on crosslink ionization. 211
7.3.3 Effect of solvent of crosslink retention times.....................................212
7.3.4 Effect of ESI source temperature on retention time 214
7.3.5 Peak resolution and broadening 215
7.3.6 Gradient separation..216
7.3.7 Method validation..217
7.3.8 Analysis of crosslinks in animal skins 218
8 CHAPTER EIGHT: Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) 223
8.1 Introduction...224
8.2 Experimental procedure...226
8.2.1 Chemicals and materials..226

8.2.2 Collection and preparation of skin samples 226
8.2.3 Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data collection 227
8.2.4 SAXS data analysis 227
8.3 Results and discussion 229
8.3.1 SAXS data processing 229
8.3.2 Determining sample and beam parameters 235
8.3.3 Fibril radius and D-period of animal skins 241
8.3.4 Collagen fibril orientation in animal skins 243
9 CHAPTER NINE: Microscopy 250
9.1 Experimental procedure 251
9.1.1 Chemicals and reagents 251
9.1.2 Laser scanning confocal microscopy 251
9.1.3 Glycosaminoglycan-collagen staining and transmission electron microscopy 252
9.2 Results and discussion 253
9.2.1 Collagen fibril diameters 253
9.2.2 Localization and visualisation of glycosaminoglycans by cuprolinic blue 257
9.2.3 Laser scanning confocal microscopy 264
10 CHAPTER TEN: Conclusion 273
11 Directions for Future Research 286
12 Appendices 289
13 References 290

5 List of Figures

Figure 1: Collagen fibril orientation and tear strength for leather from different animals [4]. 20
Figure 2: Relationship between collagen orientation index (OI) and strength of leather. OI measured edge-on with orientation that results in leather that is (a) very weak (vertical fibre defect), (b) medium strength (low OI), or (c) strong (high OI). Arrow indicates direction of applied stress in tear measurements [21]. 20
Figure 3: Diagram of skin showing its anatomical features [31]. 29
Figure 4: General flow diagram showing the steps in leather production. 32
Figure 5: Schematic representation of the intracellular and extracellular steps involved in the synthesis of type I collagen into fibrils. Procollagen molecules are synthesized in the ER and Golgi apparatus before being transported to the plasma membrane. They then undergo several complex enzymatic modifications prior to being secreted in the extracellular space [52]. 37
Figure 6: (A) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of transverse and longitudinal views of collagen fibrils in skin, scale bar 100 nm. The white lines show the D-periodicity (B) Light microscopy of collagen fibres, scale bar 400 µm...........38
Figure 7: Structure of collagen molecule (collagen type I) showing the helical and non-helical parts with the glycosylation. Circles represent galactose (Gal) and glucose (Glu) residues attached to the lysine and hydroxylysine sidechains. Taken from [48] with permission of the publisher. 39
Figure 8: Oxidation of lysine and hydroxylysine residues in the telopeptide domain of collagen I by the action of lysyl oxidase. Lys and Hlys residues in the telopeptide domain are shown as circles (o). These five residues are oxidized by the copper (Cu^{2+})-dependent amine oxidase, LOX, to form their respective aldehydes, i.e. Lys^{ald} and Hyl^{ald}. Solid line, α1 chain; dashed line, α2 chain. Taken from Yamauchi and Sricholpech (2012) with permission of the publisher [44]. 45
Figure 9: LOX-mediated collagen cross-linking: The top panel (boxed) shows the cross-linking sites of type I collagen. Black lines within and between the molecules indicate examples of the intra- and inter-molecular cross-linkages. Numbers in parentheses indicate the residue numbers of the telopeptidyl aldehydes (open circles) and the helical lysine or hydroxylysine residues (closed circles) involved in cross-linking. Red hexagon, galactose or glucose residues attached to the hydroxylysine involved in the tropocollagen crosslinking. Solid line, α1 chain; dashed line, α2 chain. The bottom panel summarises the initiation, maturation and various pathways of cross-linking. The boxed cross-link compounds are non-reducible cross-links. t, telopeptidyl; hel, helical; ald, aldehyde; d-, deoxyde-; H, dehydro. Taken from Yamauchi and Sricholpech (2012) with permission of the publisher [44].................46
Figure 10: Formation of aldimine and ketoamine crosslinks. 48
Figure 11: Formation of histidine-containing crosslinks. 50
Figure 12: The formation of non-reducible crosslinks. 52
Figure 13: Possible pathways and the chemical structures of the two major AGEs. .53
Figure 14: Chemical structure of arginoline 54
Figure 15: Chemical structures of other crosslinks. 55

Figure 16: Possible pathways for the formation of crosslinks in skin and bone. 58
Figure 17: Reduction and acid cleavage reactions of aldimine crosslinks. 61
Figure 18: A schematic diagram showing an elastin network and its elastic properties. Elastin chains are in green and crosslinks are in red. Taken from Alberts, Bray, Hopkin, Johnson, Lewis, Raff, Roberts and Walter [209] with permission of the publisher. 64
Figure 19: Desmosine crosslink formation in the tropoelastin molecule. Taken from [13] with permission of the publisher. ACP = allysine aldol and dLNL = dehydro-lysinonorleucine. 65
Figure 20: Proposed mechanism for the formation of (A) desmosine (B) isodesmosine. 66
Figure 21: Structure of the saccharide moieties of glycosaminoglycans. 69
Figure 22: Chondroitin sulfate (CS) and dermatan sulfate (DS) chains are covalently attached to the core protein through the common GAG-protein linkage region tetrasaccharide, GlcA-Gal-Gal-Xyl (where GlcA, Gal, and Xyl stand for D-glucuronic acid, D-galactose, and D-xylose, respectively). The circles and squares indicate GalNAc and GlcA (or IdoA), respectively. Taken from [273] with permission of the publisher. 75
Figure 23: Schematic diagram of Skin. 80
Figure 24: (a) An X-ray beam incident on the sample generates scattered X-rays, which are imaged by a detector. The scattering vector q, describes the change in direction of the elastically scattered X-rays. (b) Representation of the scattering differences for small and large particles. Left represents WAXS while right represents SAXS. 83
Figure 25: Schematic representation of the sample SAXS diffraction pattern showing the meridional (d) and equatorial (D) scattering from collagen. 84
Figure 26: Schematic representation of the collagen hierarchy. 86
Figure 27: SAXS data and interpretation 88
Figure 28: Angular spreading of the collagen fibrils and it relation with the diffraction peaks on the meridional axis. 90
Figure 29: Skin diagram shows the standard collection areas particularly the official sampling position (OSP). 98
Figure 30: Check slides after exposed to the fresh sheep skin, stored at 4 ºC for one week, then incubated for 24 hours at 37 ºC. (1) Fresh sheep skin incubated in 1 % NaN_3, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM NEM, 1 mM benzamidine and 5 mM EDTA, (2) with 0.5% NaN_3, (3) with 0.5% NaN_3 and cOmplete™ (a protease inhibitor cocktail), (4) in water. It is clear that the first and the third slides have the minimum bacterial growth suggesting that PMSF, NEM, EDTA and cOmplete™ have significantly minimized the bacterial growth in the fresh sheep skin. 100
Figure 31: Loss of glycosaminoglycans and collagen from sheep skin after soaking in buffer containing a protease inhibitor mixture for 1 hour and 24 hours. 101
Figure 32: Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. 103
Figure 33: Tear strengths of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. Average of five individual measurements. Error bars represent the standard deviations. 105
Figure 34: (A) "dogbone" shaped skin sample. (B) Skin sample clamped in the tensile strength instrument. 106

Figure 35: Typical stress-strain curve of skin showing the three major regions and the corresponding changes at the fibrillar level with applied tensile stress. First, (A) at very low stress, the curve shows a linear region with a very small slope due to the removal of the collagen fibre crimps by rotation. This is followed by (B) the heel region, where the kinks in the collagen molecules are straightened out. Finally, (C) at larger strains the straight collagen molecules are stretched and glide resulting in the stress–strain relationship becoming linear [6, 365]. Eventually, (d) the skin ruptures and curls back. 108
Figure 36: Stress-strain curves of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. 109
Figure 37: The stress-strain curves of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins along with their first derivative curves. 113
Figure 38: Derivatization reaction of amino acids by AQC. 116
Figure 39: Separation of AQC-amino acids using (A) A Gemini column (B) A Zorbax column. Buffer A contained sodium acetate (140 mM), sodium azide (7.5 mM), EDTA (0.26 mM) and TEA (15 mM) at pH 5.05 adjusted with H_3PO_4 and buffer B was 60% (v/v) of acetonitrile in water. Table 11 shows the detailed separation conditions. 122
Figure 40: Separation of AQC-amino acid using a Gemini HPLC column. Solvent A contained 5 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.02) and solvent B was 60% of acetonitrile in water. Flow rate was 1.0 mL/min using the same above gradients (Table 12). 123
Figure 41: Extracted ion chromatogram of the AQC- amino acids separated on Gemini HPLC column. Solvent A contained 5 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.02) and solvent B was 60% of acetonitrile in water. Flow rate was 1.0 mL/min using the same above gradients (Table 12). 124
Figure 42: Reaction of lysine and hydroxylysine with AQC reagent producing the mono and di-derivatized products. 126
Figure 43: Three possible AQC derivatives of the DHLNL collagen crosslinks..... 127
Figure 44: Extracted ion chromatogram of AQC-amino acids and AQC-DHLNL. DHLNL1 and DHLNL2 are the di-derivatized and the tri-derivatized AQC-DHLNL products, respectively. Solvent A contained 5 mM ammonium acetate (pH 5.02) and solvent B was 60% of acetonitrile in water. Flow rate was 1.0 mL/min using the gradient shown in Table 12. 127
Figure 45: Total protein content in dry skin based on the total amino acid content (mg) in dry skin (mg). The collagen was calculated based on hydroxyproline content. Error bars represent standard deviation. 129
Figure 46: Structures of hydroxylysine diastereomers and their conversion into lactones. 134
Figure 47: Plot of % collagen III estimated from the IIe/Val ratio against the grain thickness (mm) of goat, deer and cow skins. 137
Figure 48: Mole percentages of amino acids in sheep, goat, deer and cow pickled skins. 139
Figure 49: Collagen content in sheep, goat, deer and cow raw and pickled skins. .. 140
Figure 50: A comparison of the weight ratio of different amino acids in sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. Hydroxyproline is the sum of the hydroxyproline + proline; hydrophobic is the sum of leucine+ isoleucine + phenylalanine + alanine + valine; acidic is the sum of (glutamic acid+ aspartic acid); basic is the sum of (lysine + arginine). 141

Figure 51: A comparison of the weight ratio of different amino acids in pickled sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. Hydroxyproline is the sum of the hydroxyproline + proline + glycine; hydrophobic is the sum of leucine+ isoleucine + phenylalanine + alanine + valine; acidic is the sum of glutamic acid + aspartic acid; and basic is the sum of lysine + arginine. 142
Figure 52: Mole percentages of amino acids of 100 mg of sheep skin at different steps during leather processing....................143
Figure 53: Soxhlet Apparatus....................148
Figure 54: Absorbance of first, second and third GAG extractions. Error bars represent standard deviation. 151
Figure 55: Absorbance of first glycosaminoglycan extraction fraction after 24 hours digestion time using two different concentration ratios of the sample to papain. Error bars represent standard deviation. 152
Figure 56: Calibration curve of chondroitin sulfate standards. 152
Figure 57: Sulfated glycosaminoglycans percentage in sheep, goat, deer and cow raw and pickled skins. Error bars represent standard deviation. 153
Figure 58: Glycosaminoglycan content of sheep skin during the leather processing steps. Error bars represent standard deviation. 154
Figure 59: Calibration curve of glucose. 155
Figure 60: Carbohydrate content in sheep, goat, deer and cow in raw and pickled skins. Error bars represent standard deviation....................156
Figure 61: Carbohydrate content in sheep skin during various processing steps. Error bars represent standard deviation. 156
Figure 62: Lipid content in sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. Error bars represent standard deviation....................157
Figure 63: Silica TLC of total lipid extracts of the sheep, goat, deer and cow raw skins. Skin1, skin2 and skin3 indicate increased application volumes of total lipid. (1) Phospholipids (PLS), (2) Monoglycerides (MG), (3) Free fatty acids (FFA), (4) Sterols (S), (5) Diglycerides (DG), (6) Triglycerides (TG), (7) O-alkyl diglycerides (OAD), (8) Plasmalogens (P), (9) fatty acids esters (FFE), (10) Waxes and (11) Sterol esters (SE). [315, 418, 426]. The TLC plate was developed using chloroform then the plate was charred with 10 % H_2SO_4. Spots 4 and 11 were pink before turning black. 159
Figure 64: Cellulose TLC of total lipid extracts of the sheep, goat, deer and cow raw skins. (1) Phospholipids, (2) Sterols, (3) Triglycerides, (4) Sterol esters. Plate developed using chloroform then charred with 10 % H_2SO_4. Spots 2 and 4 were pink before turning black. Several lipid classes were not separated as well as by silica TLC. 160
Figure 65: Stabilisation of the reducible crosslinks by reduction with sodium borohydride where the imine bond is reduced into secondary amine which is acid resistance. 167
Figure 66: TLC carried out using ethyl acetate:water:acetic acid (1.5:1:1) on the fractions eluted with butanol-water-acetic acid (4:1:1) (1A to 10A) and water (1B to 10B). Cystine (Cys), Lysine (Lys), hydroxylysine (Hlys), glycine (gly), histidine (His) and hydroxyproline (Hpro) standards were used to mark the location of the different spots. To detect the spots, the TLC plate was sprayed with ninhydrin then heated at 100 ºC for 20 minutes. 169

Figure 67: HPLC separation of amino acids using AQC-derivatisation of (A) amino acids in butanol-water-acetic acid (4:1:1) fractions and (B) amino acids in water fractions from the CF11 column. See chapter 4 for the separation conditions. 169
Figure 68: Fractions from size exclusion chromatography were analysed using TLC with ethyl acetate:water:acetic acid (1.5:1:1) as the mobile phase. HHMD is seen pure in spot 28 while HHL and HLNL are partially pure in spots 32 to 37. Lysine (Lys), hydroxylysine (Hlys), histidine (His) and hydroxyproline standards were used to mark the location of the different spots. To detect the spots, the TLC plate was sprayed with ninhydrin then heated at 100 ^{o}C for 20 minutes. 170
Figure 69: Total ion chromatogram of the mass spectra of three spots 28, 33 and 36 as analysed by TLC in Figure 68. Spot 28 appears to be pure HHMD while spots 33 and 36 have a mixture of HHMD, HH, HLNL and basic amino acids and suspected new crosslinks of 427.30 and 556.30 m/z. See section 6.2.8 for experimental conditions. 171
Figure 70: Chemical structures of lysine, hydroxylysine, histidine and norleucine including their diastereomers. 173
Figure 71: Fragmentation pathway of (a) lysine, (b) hydroxylysine and (c) histidine. 174
Figure 72: HSQC of hydroxylysine. Blue spots are positive peaks (CH_3 or CH) while red spots are negative peaks (CH_2) in DEPT-135 spectra.......................................177
Figure 73: Chemical Structure of the two possible diastereomers of HLNL...........178
Figure 74: Mass spectrum of the HLNL showing the singly (292.2010 m/z) and doubly (146.6030 m/z) charged ions...180
Figure 75: The major product ions formed from the fragmentation of HLNL at 17 KeV. Insets show the possible structures of selected ions. The blue diamond represents the parent ion. All possible major structures of HLNL fragments are given in the appendix for this chapter. (see "chapter 6 - MS-MS – fragments" folder). 180
Figure 76: Two possible fragmentation pathways of HLNL which result in the production of 163.11, 146.08 and 128.07 m/z ions...181
Figure 77: The chemical structure of HLNL. The blue part shows the lysine moiety and red part shows the hydroxylysine moiety. The dashed box shows the new bond formed between the lysine and hydroxylysine moieties to produce HLNL.............181
Figure 78: The ^{13}C spectra of (A) hydroxylysine (B) lysine and (C) HLNL. The singlets and doublets in HLNL matched up with those in hydroxylysine and lysine, respectively. Boxes show the correlation between the peaks of HLNL and those for lysine and hydroxylysine...185
Figure 79: ^{1}H NMR spectrum of HLNL. 186
Figure 80: The sum of ^{1}H spectra of lysine and hydroxylysine 186
Figure 81: Chemical structure of HHL proposed by Yamauchi et al. (1987). Hydroxylysine moiety (red); lysine moiety (blue); histidine (black). The green bond shows where HLNL is linked to the histidine moiety. The combined structures of lysine and hydroxylysine gives HLNL..187
Figure 82: Mass spectrum of the HHL showing the singly (445.2210 m/z) and doubly (223.1100 m/z) charged ions. The 283.1370 m/z monoisotopic mass is a characteristic mass of HHL. 188
Figure 83: The major products ions formed from the fragmentation of HHL at 22 KeV. Insets show the possible structures of selected ions. The blue diamond

represents the parent ion. All possible major structures of HHL fragments are given in the appendix for this chapter ("chapter 6 - MS-MS – fragments" folder). 188
Figure 84: HHL fragmentation pathway showing the formation of the 283.14 m/z ion. The red box shows the fragment lost. 189
Figure 85: HHL fragmentation pathway showing the formation of the 156.08 m/z ion. The red box contains the fragment lost. 189
Figure 86: ^{1}H NMR spectrum of HHL showing the peak at 7.02 ppm. 194
Figure 87: ^{1}H NMR spectrum of histidine showing the two peaks of the imidazole at 8.60 ppm and 7.30 ppm. 194
Figure 88: The chemical structure of HHMD showing the four major moieties. Red, hydroxylysine; blue, lysine; black, histidine and green, norleucine. The red and blue moieties give HLNL. The orange line shows the bond made between the histidine and norleucine moieties. 196
Figure 89: Mass spectrum of HHMD showing singly (574.3390), doubly (287.6733) and triply (192.1177) charged ions. 196
Figure 90: The major product ions formed from the fragmentation of HHMD at 35 KeV. Insets show the possible structures of selected ions. The blue diamond shows the parent ion. All possible major structures of HHMD fragments are given in Appendix "chapter 6 - MS-MS – fragments"). 197
Figure 91: MSMS spectra of HHMD singly charged ions to produce product ions of 419.23 m/z and 156.08 m/z. 197
Figure 92: The chemical shift of C-5 which was observed at around 66-68 ppm in (A) hydroxylysine, (B) HLNL, (C) HHMD and (D) HHL. It is similar in hydroxylysine, HLNL and HHMD and different in HHL. 201
Figure 93: ^{1}H NMR spectrum of HHMD. 202
Figure 94: The sum of the ^{1}H NMR spectra of HLNL, histidine and lysine. 202
Figure 95: HSQC of HHMD. Blue spots are positive peaks (CH_3 or CH) while red spots are negative peaks (CH_2) in DEPT-135 spectra. 203
Figure 96: Chemical structure of the surface of (A) Normal silica and (B) Silica hydride. 211
Figure 97: Response ratio ($[M+2H]^{2+}/[M+1H]^{+}$) for different crosslinks. Separation was carried out using isocratic conditions with 30 % acetonitrile or methanol in water containing 0.1 % formic acid, flow rate at 400 µL/min. 212
Figure 98: Retention times for basic amino acids arginine (Arg), hydroxylysine (Hlys), lysine (Lys) and histidine (His), cystine (Cys), immature crosslinks (DHLNL, HLNL. LNL) and mature crosslinks (HHL, HHMD and Des). 213
Figure 99: Effect of source temperature (nitrogen gas temperature in ºC) on four crosslink retention times. The crosslinks were eluted isocratically using 80 % acetonitrile with a flow rate of 400 µL/min and total run time of 60 minutes. 214
Figure 100: Comparison of the peak width of HHMD using two isocratic conditions: (A) 40 % acetonitrile and (B) 20 % acetonitrile both containing 0.1 % formic acid. Flow rate was 400 µL/min. 215
Figure 101: Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) chromatograms of DHLNL, HLNL, LNL, HHL, Des and HHMD crosslink standards separated on the Cogent Diamond Hydride HPLC column (1) DHLNL, (2) HLNL (3) LNL, (4) HHL, (5) Des and (6) HHMD. Inset table shows gradient used to eluted the crosslinks. Total run time was 20 minutes and flow rate was 400 µL/ml. 216

Figure 102: Extracted ion chromatogram (EIC) chromatograms of Pyr (A) day one (B) 14 days. Separation was carried out using 80 % water in acetonitrile. Total run time was 15 minutes and the flow rate was 400 µL/ml. 218
Figure 103: Collagen crosslinks in sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. All values are normalised to the collagen content based on the hydroxyproline concentration in the skins. Average of five biological samples of sheep and goat and four biological replicates of deer and cow. 221
Figure 104: Ratio of mature crosslinks (HHL+HHMD) to immature crosslinks (HLNL+DHLNL). 221
Figure 105: Crosslink chromatogram from one cow skin. Separation by gradient elution. (1) DHLNL (2) HLNL (3) LNL (4) HHL (5) 530.3276 (6) Des (7) 427.2439 (8) HHMD and 556.2031. Separation was carried out with same gradient conditions shown in Figure 95. Total run time was 20 minutes and flow rate was 400 µL/ml. . 222
Figure 106: Schematic diagram of skin shows the skin slices in green and the direction of the SAXS analysis. 228
Figure 107: The 2D raw data image in Cartesian co-ordinates showing the on- and off-axis directions according to the position of the collagen diffraction peaks. The azimuthal angle is defined relative to the beamstop. Vertical and horizontal black bars are the gaps between the detector modules. 230
Figure 108: The data in Figure 107 converted into angular co-ordinates using FIT2D. The diffraction peaks (order n as indicated) appear as vertical lines representing the intensity extracted over a 30 degree range around the on- and off-axis directions... 230
Figure 109: Intensity versus q extracted over 30 degrees around the on- and off-axis directions as indicated in Figure 107. The off-axis data shows form factor scattering from the fibril bundles and no collagen diffraction peaks, while the on-axis data shows strong collagen diffraction peaks superimposed on the (weaker) form factor scattering. 231
Figure 110: Selected region of processed data from Figure 108, showing how the n = 3 diffraction peak data in each 1° azimuthal slice were fitted using a Gaussian peak (red line) on a linear background (blue line). The peak areas are then plotted versus azimuthal angle to produce Figure 111(a). 232
Figure 111: (a) Fitted peak areas of the n = 3 diffraction peak, versus azimuthal angle. (b) The same data, displayed in polar form, clearly demonstrates the directional spread of the fibrils as being 180 degrees. 233
Figure 112: Azimuthal plot of the 3rd diffraction peak of the skin samples. (a) Thick sample (1000 µm). (b) Thin sample (50 µm). Insets show the beam size. 236
Figure 113: Effect of radiation dose on the q-plot. The same spot was illuminated 10 times with an X-ray that had a beam size of (50×50) µm. The sample thickness was 50 µm and the exposure time was 10 seconds. The inset shows the diffraction peak 3 (n = 3). It is clear that there was no change in the intensity suggesting that no skin damage occurred. 237
Figure 114: Effect of dehydration on diffraction peaks during SAXS data collection. Dry and fully hydrated 50 µm skin samples were illuminated with an X-ray. Beam size was (50×50) µm and exposure time was 10 seconds. The 6th diffraction ring is barely visibly in hydrated skin sample and when skin dried its intensity increased. 239
Figure 115: Graph of peak area and the diffraction peak number. This plot was extracted from the meridional (on-axis) data, encompassing the diffraction rings... 240

Figure 116: Example of off-axis SAXS data for the sheep, goat, deer and cow skins, showing the form factor oscillations. Dashed lines serve as guides to the eye to illustrate the similarity between cow, goat and sheep, while for deer the oscillations occur at higher q (indicating a smaller fibril diameter). 241
Figure 117: Maps of orientations in different animal skins, obtained from the azimuthal plot of the n = 3 diffraction ring. 245
Figure 118: Azimuthal plots corresponding to the narrowest distributions in the maps in Figure 117. 246
Figure 119: Three example curves with different functions having the same orientation index. The polar plots (top left) clearly show the differences in shape. The parameters are given in Table 25 247
Figure 120: (A) A schematic diagram of the hierarchical structure of the collagen fibre network, from undulated collagen fibres idealized by a sinusoidal geometry, to collagen fibrils composed of tropocollagen molecules under stretch, (B) the corresponding probability density functions of a single fibre at different stretching states and (C) Predicted fibre orientation distribution function with and without the collagen fibre crimp effect. Taken from [489] with permission from publisher.......248
Figure 121: Measurement of collagen fibril diameters using imageJ software v.1.50i. (a) TEM image showing the transverse section view of the collagen fibril. (b) Binary image obtained using the thresholding algorithm. (c) Image b after removal of the light/dark outliers and the "fill holes" tools were applied, followed by application of the "watershed" algorithm. (d) The outline of each fibril after the application of "analyse particles" option in imageJ software. 254
Figure 122: A pairwise multiple comparison (Tukey test) (P < 0.05) of the mean fibril diameter in sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. 257
Figure 123: Fibril diameter histograms and transverse sections (a-d) Histograms of percentage frequency of fibril diameters of sheep, goat, deer and cow. (e-h) Transverse sections of collagen fibrils of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins (corium side). 258
Figure 124: Chemical structures of (A) alcian blue (B) cupromeronic blue (C) cuprolinic blue. 260
Figure 125: TEM images of the longitudinal sections of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins (corium side). (a-d) skin sections are not stained with cuprolinic blue. (e-h) skin sections are stained with cuprolinic blue. 263
Figure 126: Polarizing light microscopy images of skins of sheep, goat, deer and cow. Two different magnifications are shown. Arrows represent positions where the fibre bundles change direction. Stars show the hair follicles. 268
Figure 127: Laser scanning confocal images of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins stained with picrosirius red. 271
Figure 128: The top view of the 3D-images of the laser confocal scanning microscopy of (a) deer and (b) cow skins showing the waviness pattern in each skin. The curved and straight white lines show the waviness pattern of the fibre bundle in deer and cow skins, respectively. Scale bar is 50 μm. 272

6 List of Tables

Table 1: Normalized tear strength for thickness of sheep, goat, deer and cow skin and leather [4]. 19
Table 2: Collagen classification [6, 42]. 34
Table 3: Collagen types in Skin [6, 32, 33, 47, 59]. 41
Table 4: Repeating disaccharide units of various glycosaminoglycans [244]. 72
Table 5: Functions and characteristics of skin proteoglycans [304, 305] 78
Table 6: General Structure of Lipids. 81
Table 7: Total skin thickness and the thickness of the corium and grain layers of sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. The percentage of corium layer is based on the total skin thickness. 102
Table 8: Shrinkage temperature (Ts) of sheep, goat, deer and cow raw and pickled skins. Data represent the mean values of three technical replicates. 104
Table 9: Shrinkage temperatures of sheep skin during selected leather processing steps. Data represent the mean values of three technical replicates. 104
Table 10: Tensile strength results for sheep, goat, deer and cow skins (parallel to the backbone). 111
Table 11: Slopes at low and high strains of the tensile strength curves for sheep, goat, deer and cow skins. 112
Table 12: Optimised gradient for amino acid separation using Gemini Phenomenex and Zorbax Eclipse HPLC columns. Column temperature was set at 34 ºC. 121
Table 13: Accurate extracted masses of $[M+H]^+$ and $[M+2H]^{2+}$ charged ions of AQC-derivatized amino acids. 125
Table 14: Average of mole percentage of amino acids in dry sheep, goat, deer and cow skins determined using AQC labelling and RP-HPLC. Data represent the mean values of 5 biological replicates of sheep and goat and 4 biological replicates of deer and cow skins. The average of three technical replicates were measured for each biological replicate. 131
Table 15: Amino acid composition of collagen I, collagen III, elastin and decorin. Values expressed as a percentage of the total amino acids (mole %). 133
Table 16: The concentrations and the percentage distribution of hydroxylysine diastereomers compared to the total hydroxylysine content in sheep, goat, deer and cow skins given in Table 14. 135
Table 17: Theoretical correlation of the IIe/Val ratio to percentage of collagen III [402]. 136
Table 18: The ^{1}H and ^{13}C chemical shifts of lysine, hydroxylysine and histidine. ..179
Table 19: ^{13}C chemical shifts of HLNL. DEPT-135 signals are given in brackets for the experimental chemical shift: Quaternary carbon (none), tertiary and primary carbon (positive) and secondary carbon (negative). 184
Table 20: ^{13}C chemical shifts of HHL. DEPT-135 signals are given in brackets for the experimental chemical shift: Quaternary carbon (none), tertiary and primary carbon (positive) and secondary carbon (negative). 193

Table 21: ^{13}C chemical shifts of HHMD. DEPT-135 signals are given for the experimental chemical shift between the brackets. Quaternary carbons (none), tertiary and primary carbons (positive) and secondary carbons (negative). 200
Table 22: Results of the method validation 217
Table 23: Fibril diameter and d-spacing of raw skin samples of sheep, goat, deer and cow measured with beam size was (50×50) µm, sample thickness 50 µm and exposure time 2 seconds. Average of 4 biological replicates...................................242
Table 24: Fibril diameter and d-spacing of raw skin samples of sheep, goat, deer and cow measured with beam size was (200×100) µm, sample thickness 1000 µm and exposure time 10 seconds. Average of 4 biological replicates.................................243
Table 25: Parameters for example functions shown in Figure 119: one broad Gaussian peak (function 1), two overlapping Gaussian peaks (function 2), sharp Gaussian peak and isotropic component (function 3). 249
Table 26: Summary of the results of sheep. goat, deer and cow skins....................274

7 LIST OF PUBLICATIONS/CONFERENCES

1. **Naffa, R.,** Holmes, G., Ahn, M., Harding, D., & Norris, G. (2016). Liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry for the simultaneous quantitation of collagen and elastin crosslinks. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1478, 60-67.
2. **Rafea Naffa,** Meekyung Ahn, Richard Haverkamp and Gillian Norris. "Understanding the Molecular Basis of the Strength Differences in Skins Used in Leather Manufacture Part Three: Quantitation of Crosslinks", oral talk presented at the 68th Annual Leather and Shoe Research Association (LASRA®) Conference, 17th August 2017, Queenstown, New Zealand.
3. **Rafea Naffa** and Gillian Norris. "Rapid Simultaneous Quantitation of Mature Collagen Crosslinks by Silica Hydride Column and Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) without derivatization", oral talk presented at Australian and New Zealand Society for Mass Spectrometry Conference, Adelaide, Australia, (15-20) July, 2017.
4. **Rafea Naffa**, Meekyung Ahn and Gillian Norris. "Analysis of natural crosslinks by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry using a silica hydride column", oral talk presented at the Proceedings of World Congress on Chromatography, Amsterdam, Netherlands, (21-23) September, 2016.
5. **Rafea Naffa,** Meekyung Ahn, Richard Haverkamp and Gillian Norris. "Understanding the Molecular Basis of the Strength Differences in Skins Used in Leather Manufacture Part Three: Quantitation of Crosslinks", oral talk presented at the 67th Annual Leather and Shoe Research Association (LASRA®) Conference, 27th July 2016, Wellington, New Zealand.
6. **Rafea Naffa,** Meekyung Ahn, Richard Haverkamp and Gillian Norris. "The Isolation and Characterization of Natural Crosslinks in Animal skins by Liquid Chromatography and Electrospray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry Detection", poster presented at the 21st Annual Lorne Proteomics Symposium", Lorne, Victoria, Australia, (4-7) February, 2016.
7. **Rafea Naffa,** Meekyung Ahn, Richard Haverkamp and Gillian Norris. "Understanding the Molecular Basis of the Strength Differences in Skins Used in Leather Manufacture Part Two: Analysis of Glycosaminoglycans, elastin, carbohydrates and lipids", oral talk presented at the 66th Annual Leather and Shoe Research Association (LASRA®) Conference, 13th August 2015, Queenstown, New Zealand.
8. **Rafea Naffa,** Meekyung Ahn, Richard Haverkamp and Gillian Norris. "Understanding the Molecular Basis of the Strength Differences in Skins Used in Leather Manufacture Part One: Quantitation of Amino acids", oral talk presented at the 65th Annual Leather and Shoe Research Association (LASRA®) Conference, 13th August 2014, Wellington, New Zealand.