

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE X-LINKED *LSP1* α GENE OF
DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER IS NOT
ACETYLATED BY MOF, BUT IS SEX-
SPECIFICALLY REGULATED BY
INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS OF THE MSL
COMPLEX**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Genetics

at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

**Vikki Marie Weake
2005**

ABSTRACT

Male *Drosophila melanogaster* double the transcription of most of the genes on their single X chromosome, to equal that from the two female X chromosomes, in a process termed dosage compensation. This process is mediated by the MSL complex, consisting of both protein and non-coding RNA components. This complex is only active in males due to the presence of MSL2, which is not translated in females.

The X-linked *Lsp1 α* gene of *Drosophila melanogaster* appears to escape dosage compensation, and exhibits two-fold higher levels of expression in females compared to males. The apparent lack of dosage compensation of *Lsp1 α* could be due to the promoter being more active in females than in males, or to a lack of regulation by the MSL complex. In this study, the mechanism by which this happens has been addressed. *Lsp1 α* is expressed exclusively in the fat body tissue of third instar larvae, and forms part of a multi-protein complex that acts as a nutrient reservoir during pupariation. In this study it has been shown that transgenes, in which the reporter gene, *lacZ*, is under the control of the *Lsp1 α* promoter, exhibit variable levels of increased activity in female compared to male third instar larvae. At high levels of transgene expression, activity of the transgene is equal in female and male larvae. When the expression of the transgene is low, the activity of the transgene is much higher in female compared to male larvae. This increased sensitivity of the *Lsp1 α* promoter to position effects in females appears to be mediated by one or more components of the MSL complex. Females ectopically expressing MSL2 exhibit decreased levels of transgene activity. Furthermore, overexpression of MSL1 causes an increase in the activity of transgenes subject to strong position effects.

Despite these findings, the sex-specific regulation of the *Lsp1 α* promoter does not account for the non-dosage compensated appearance of *Lsp1 α* . Instead, unlike control dosage compensated X-linked genes, *Lsp1 α* is not enriched for a histone modification, acetylation of lysine 16 of histone H4 that is essential for dosage compensation by the MSL complex.

The developmental stage at which the four genes flanking *Lsp1 α* are expressed has been determined using northern RNA hybridization. Expression of the gene immediately 3' of *Lsp1 α* could not be detected at any developmental stage using northern RNA hybridization or in adults by RT-PCR. However, the two genes flanking *Lsp1 α* are expressed in equal levels in male and female *Drosophila* as determined by quantitative RNase protection analysis. Furthermore, the regions between *Lsp1 α* and these flanking dosage compensated genes do not prevent dosage compensation of an X-linked *arm-lacZ* reporter gene.

Bioinformatic analysis shows that *Lsp1 α* is present in three species closely related to *D. melanogaster* but is absent in more distantly related species. It is probable that because of its recent evolutionary origin, the *Lsp1 α* gene lacks the DNA sequences that are required to attract the MSL complex. More generally, a model is proposed in which dosage compensation involves binding of the MSL complex to DNA sequences in actively transcribed regions with possible limited spreading to closely associated active genes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to my supervisor Dr. Max Scott, for his unflagging support, cheerful optimism, and willingness to listen to my most outrageous ideas for new experiments. Thanks also to my co-supervisor Dr. Kathryn Stowell, for her support and encouragement, and for all her practical advice throughout my entire time at university. Special acknowledgment must be made to all the past and present members of the Flyspot lab: Asela, Emma, Xuelei, Simon, Wayne, Wouter, Abhi, Anja, Corey, Carolina, Julia, Bradley, Esther, Jess and Fang. Special thanks go to my family and to Karl for all their support during my seemingly endless studies, and for at least trying to be interested in my crazy little flies.

I would like to acknowledge the funding I have received from the Foundation for Research, Science and Technology in the form of a Doctoral Scholarship, and from the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund.

Thanks also to Dr. Maria Flores and Professor Phil Crozier at the Auckland Medical school for the use of the Storm phosphorimager. I especially thank Dr. Tim Parnell and Dr. Edwin Smith for their advice on chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis, Dr. Brendon Monahan for assistance with Southern hybridization analysis, and Dr. Pete Lockhart for help with the phylogenetic analysis.

The creation of transgenic *Drosophila* lines in this study has been approved under the protocol number GMO 00/MU/51 by the Massey University Genetic Technology Committee.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES.....	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XV
ABBREVIATIONS.....	XVII
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 CHROMATIN STRUCTURE.....	1
1.1.1 <i>Histone acetylation</i>	1
1.1.2 <i>Histone methylation</i>	2
1.1.3 <i>Histone phosphorylation</i>	2
1.1.4 <i>Histone ubiquitination</i>	3
1.1.5 <i>Chromatin assembly and remodeling</i>	3
1.2 TRANSCRIPTION.....	5
1.3 CHROMATIN INSULATORS AND BOUNDARY ELEMENTS.....	5
1.3.1 <i>The SCS/SCS' insulators</i>	5
1.3.2 <i>The gypsy insulator</i>	6
1.3.3 <i>The bithorax complex insulators</i>	7
1.3.4 <i>Vertebrate insulators</i>	7
1.3.5 <i>Models for insulator function</i>	8
1.4 DOSAGE COMPENSATION IN MAMMALIAN CELLS	9
1.5 DOSAGE COMPENSATION IN <i>DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER</i>	10
1.5.1 <i>Male-specific lethal mutations</i>	11
1.5.2 <i>Evidence for a complex consisting of the MSL proteins</i>	13
1.5.3 <i>Ectopic expression of msl2 in females induces association of the MSL proteins with the X chromosome</i>	15
1.5.4 <i>Chromatin structure of the male X chromosome</i>	15
1.5.5 <i>Histone acetylation is associated with the presence of MSL complex and is essential for dosage compensation</i>	15

1.5.6	<i>A kinase, JIL-1 is involved in the complex</i>	16
1.5.7	<i>Noncoding RNAs form part of the MSL complex</i>	17
1.5.8	<i>ISWI functions antagonistically to MSL complex</i>	18
1.5.9	<i>Histone methylation of H4K20 is under-represented on the male X chromosome</i>	19
1.5.10	<i>The helicase activity of MLE is essential for dosage compensation</i>	20
1.5.11	<i>Assembly of the MSL complex</i>	20
1.5.12	<i>Recognition of the X chromosome by the MSL complex</i>	23
1.5.12.1	<i>A partial MSL complex bind to 30-40 high affinity sites that include the roX genes</i>	24
1.5.12.2	<i>The MSL complex exhibits limited spreading from CES into flanking regions of the chromosome</i>	26
1.5.12.3	<i>The MSL complex is recruited to sequences lacking CES</i>	28
1.5.13	<i>The MSL complex upregulates transcription and relieves position effects</i>	29
1.6	GENES DOSAGE COMPENSATED BY AN ALTERNATIVE MECHANISM TO THE MSL COMPLEX	31
1.7	NON-DOSAGE COMPENSATED GENES	31
1.7.1	<i>Lsp-1α is not dosage compensated</i>	31
1.7.2	<i>The Lsp1α gene domain</i>	33
1.8	AIM	36
2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	38
2.1	BACTERIAL MEDIA	38
2.2	ANTIBIOTICS AND MEDIA ADDITIVES	38
2.3	GROWTH OF BACTERIAL CULTURES	38
2.4	STOCK SOLUTIONS	38
2.5	DROSOPHILA MELANOGASTER	38
2.5.1	<i>Description of stocks</i>	38
2.5.1.1	<i>y w</i>	39
2.5.1.2	<i>Male-specific actin-GFP</i>	39
2.5.1.3	<i>L²/CyO</i>	39
2.5.1.4	<i>GFP CyO</i>	39
2.5.1.5	<i>Ser/Sb</i>	39
2.5.1.6	<i>GFP Ser/Sb</i>	39
2.5.1.7	<i>CyO/Bc</i>	39

2.5.1.8	CyO/Tft.....	40
2.5.1.9	Transposase stock	40
2.5.1.10	Homologous recombination	40
2.5.1.11	Constitutive FLP	40
2.5.1.12	FLP test stock	40
2.5.1.13	hsp83-MSL2	40
2.5.1.14	hsp70-MSL1	41
2.5.1.15	hsp70-MSL3	41
2.5.1.16	hsp70-MLE.....	41
2.5.1.17	hsp70-HA-MOF.....	41
2.5.2	<i>Description of fly crosses</i>	43
2.5.2.1	Linkage analysis.....	43
2.5.2.2	Scheme to move transgene inserts from the second chromosome to the X chromosome by mobilization of <i>P</i> -elements.....	43
2.5.2.3	Homologous recombination scheme.....	44
2.5.2.4	Homologous recombination test crosses	44
2.5.2.5	Overexpression of MSL2 in flies carrying reporter constructs.....	44
2.5.2.6	Overexpression of MSL1 in flies carrying reporter constructs.....	44
2.5.2.7	Overexpression of MSL3 in flies carrying reporter constructs.....	45
2.5.2.8	Overexpression of MLE in flies carrying reporter constructs.....	45
2.5.2.9	Overexpression of MOF in flies carrying reporter constructs.....	45
2.5.3	<i>Generation of transgenic fly lines</i>	45
2.5.3.1	Co-precipitation of plasmid DNA.....	45
2.5.3.2	Microinjection of plasmid DNA into <i>Drosophila</i> embryos	46
2.5.3.3	Crossing of adult survivors and identification of transformants.....	46
2.5.4	<i>Collection of developmental stages of Drosophila</i>	46
2.5.4.1	Collection of <i>Drosophila</i> staged embryos, larvae, pupae and adult flies.....	46
2.5.4.2	Collection of synchronous third instar larvae	46
2.6	MOLECULAR BIOLOGY METHODS	47
2.6.1	<i>RNA</i>	47
2.6.1.1	Isolation of RNA from <i>Drosophila</i>	47
2.6.1.2	DNase treatment of RNA for RNase protection analysis.....	47
2.6.1.3	DNase treatment of RNA for real-time RT-PCR analysis	48
2.6.1.4	Quantification of RNA with Ribogreen kit	48
2.6.1.5	Purification of poly(A) ⁺ RNA from total RNA preparations.....	48
2.6.1.6	Northern hybridization analysis	48

2.6.1.7	<i>In vitro</i> transcription of RNA probes for RNase protection analysis	49
2.6.1.8	RNase protection analysis	50
2.6.1.9	Reverse transcription	50
2.6.2	<i>DNA</i>	51
2.6.2.1	Molecular size markers.....	51
2.6.2.2	Isolation of DNA from adult <i>Drosophila</i>	51
2.6.2.3	Southern hybridization analysis	51
2.6.2.4	Plasmid DNA	52
2.6.2.5	Restriction endonuclease digestion.....	52
2.6.2.6	Dephosphorylation of 5' ends.....	52
2.6.2.7	Polynucleotide kinase treatment of linker DNA	53
2.6.2.8	Blunt end production	53
2.6.2.9	Ligation.....	53
2.6.2.10	Gel purification	53
2.6.3	<i>PCR</i>	53
2.6.3.1	Inverse PCR determination of the site of transgene insertion.....	54
2.6.4	<i>Transformation of E. coli</i>	54
2.6.5	<i>Sequencing</i>	55
2.6.6	<i>Chromatin immunoprecipitation</i>	55
2.6.7	<i>Quantitative real-time PCR</i>	57
2.7	ENZYME ASSAYS.....	58
2.7.1	β -galactosidase assays.....	58
2.7.2	Protein concentration determination.....	59

3 STAGE AND DOSAGE COMPENSATION STATUS DETERMINATION .. 60

3.1	CDNA AND GENOMIC CLONES UTILIZED IN NORTHERN AND RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSES	60
3.1.1	<i>Acquired cDNA and genomic clones</i>	60
3.1.2	<i>Experimentally obtained cDNA and genomic clones</i>	61
3.1.2.1	<i>CG15730</i> in pBluescript II KS.....	61
3.1.2.2	<i>Lsp1α</i> into pBluescript II KS	61
3.1.2.3	Cloning of <i>Lsp1α</i> 3' UTR into pGEM®-T Easy.....	62
3.1.2.4	Subcloning of <i>CG2560</i> fragment into pBluescript II KS	62
3.2	STAGE-SPECIFIC EXPRESSION OF GENES WITHIN THE <i>LSP1α</i> GENE DOMAIN	64

3.2.1	<i>The CG15730 transcript can not be detected in adult poly(A)+ mRNA using RT-PCR.....</i>	66
3.3	PRODUCTION OF PROBES FOR <i>IN VITRO</i> TRANSCRIPTION.....	72
3.4	DETERMINATION OF THE LINEAR RANGE OF THE RNASE PROTECTION ASSAYS....	75
3.5	<i>CG15926</i> IS UPREGULATED IN MALE ADULTS.....	82
3.6	DETERMINATION OF THE DOSAGE COMPENSATION STATUS OF <i>CG2560</i>	86
3.7	DETERMINATION OF THE DOSAGE COMPENSATION STATUS OF <i>Lsp1α</i> AND <i>CG2556</i>	90
3.8	EXPRESSION OF <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>CG2560</i> IN THE FAT BODY OF THIRD INSTAR LARVAE.....	99
4	MEASUREMENT OF PROMOTER ACTIVITY.....	102
4.1	GENERATION OF CONSTRUCTS.....	104
4.1.1	<i>Isolation of Lsp1α genomic regions.....</i>	<i>104</i>
4.1.1.1	<i>Lsp1α promoter into pGEM$\text{\textcircled{R}}$-T Easy.....</i>	<i>104</i>
4.1.1.2	<i>Mutant Lsp1α promoter into pGEM$\text{\textcircled{R}}$-T Easy.....</i>	<i>104</i>
4.1.1.3	<i>Genomic region between CG2560 and Lsp1α into pGEM$\text{\textcircled{R}}$-T Easy.....</i>	<i>104</i>
4.1.1.4	<i>Genomic region between Lsp1α and CG2556 into pGEM$\text{\textcircled{R}}$-T Easy.....</i>	<i>105</i>
4.1.2	<i>Construction of the pCaSpeR-Lsp1α-βgal and pCaSpeR-I-βgal reporter constructs.....</i>	<i>105</i>
4.1.3	<i>Construction of the pCaSpeR-Lsp1αmut-βgal plasmid containing a mutation in the putative DSX binding site of the Lsp1α promoter.....</i>	<i>106</i>
4.1.4	<i>Insertion of the putative insulator region between Lsp1α and CG2556, 3' of lacZ under the control of the extended Lsp1α promoter.....</i>	<i>106</i>
4.1.5	<i>Insertion of putative insulator regions either side of arm-lacZ in pCaSpeR-arm-βgal.....</i>	<i>107</i>
4.2	GENERATION OF TRANSGENIC FLY LINES CARRYING REPORTER CONSTRUCTS...	108
4.2.1	<i>Characterization of transgenic Drosophila lines.....</i>	<i>109</i>
4.2.2	<i>β-galactosidase activity is linear over a large range.....</i>	<i>115</i>
4.2.3	<i>The difference in the level of protein between female and male third instar larvae does not affect the female/male β-galactosidase activity ratio.....</i>	<i>116</i>
4.3	THE REGIONS FLANKING <i>LSP1α</i> DO NOT BLOCK DOSAGE COMPENSATION OF AN X-LINKED TRANSGENE.....	117

4.4	AUTOSOMAL INSERTIONS OF X-LINKED PROMOTERS SHOW INCREASED ACTIVITY IN MALES.....	119
4.5	<i>LSP1</i> α PROMOTER ACTIVITY IS HIGHER IN FEMALE LARVAE	119
4.5.1	<i>The female to male promoter activity ratio decreases with increased activity.</i>	121
4.5.2	<i>Mutation of a putative DSX binding site in the Lsp1 α promoter does not reduce female promoter activity</i>	125
4.5.3	<i>Lsp1 α promoter activity is reduced by overexpression of MSL2</i>	126
4.5.4	<i>The Lsp1 α promoter is upregulated by overexpression of MSL1, but not by other components of the MSL complex</i>	128
4.6	SUMMARY OF THE PROMOTER ACTIVITY RESULTS	131
5	ATTEMPT TO INTRODUCE REPORTER GENES INTO THE ORF OF <i>LSP1</i> α IN VIVO	132
5.1	HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION CONSTRUCT FOR INSERTION OF <i>ARM-LACZ</i> INTO THE <i>LSP1</i> α ORF	132
5.1.1	<i>Genomic region between CG2560 and CG2556 into pGEM[®]-T Easy</i>	132
5.1.2	<i>Insertion of GMR-3xP3-DsRed-hsp70polyA into pCaSpeR-arm-βgal StuI/NotI</i>	133
5.1.3	<i>Replacement of GH02424 cDNA with PstI – NotI linker in pOT2/GH02424</i>	133
5.1.4	<i>Insertion of the 9.4 kb Lsp1 α genomic region into pOT2</i>	133
5.1.5	<i>Insertion of the arm-lacZ/GMR-3xP3-DsRed-hsp70polyA reporter genes into the Lsp1 α open reading frame</i>	134
5.1.6	<i>Insertion of the 9.4 kb Lsp1 α genomic region carrying the arm-lacZ/GMR-3xP3-DsRed-hsp70polyA reporter genes into the pW30 homologous recombination vector</i>	134
5.2	HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION CONSTRUCT FOR INSERTION OF <i>ARM-EGFP</i> INTO THE ORF OF <i>LSP1</i> α	135
5.2.1	<i>Replacement of lacZ-SV40 with the Asp718 – PstI linker</i>	135
5.2.2	<i>Replacement of Pub promoter in pB[PUBnlsEGFP] with armadillo promoter</i>	135
5.2.3	<i>Insertion of the arm-EGFP reporter gene into the Lsp1 α open reading frame</i>	136

5.2.4	<i>Insertion of the 9.4 kb LspIα genomic region carrying the arm-EGFP reporter gene into the pW30 homologous recombination vector</i>	136
5.3	THE <i>DsRED</i> MARKER IS NOT VISIBLE IN <i>PW30-LSP1α-ARMLACZ/GMR-3XP3-DsRED</i> TRANSGENIC FLY LINES	140
5.4	HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION WAS NOT SUCCESSFUL WITH <i>PW30-LSP1α-ARMLACZ/GMR-3XP3-DsRED</i> TRANSGENIC FLY LINES	140
5.5	HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION WAS NOT SUCCESSFUL WITH <i>PW30-LSP1α-ARMEGFP</i> TRANSGENIC FLY LINES	141
5.6	THE <i>PW30-LSP1α-ARMEGFP</i> CONSTRUCT IS NOT EXCISED BY THE FLP SITE-SPECIFIC RECOMBINASE	141
6	CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION ANALYSIS	143
7	PHYLOGENY	153
8	DISCUSSION	158
8.1	FUTURE DIRECTIONS	166
9	REFERENCES	170
10	APPENDICES	189
10.1	PRIMER SEQUENCES	189
10.2	INVERSE PCR PRIMERS	192
10.3	SEQUENCING PRIMERS	193
10.4	OLIGONUCLEOTIDE PAIRS ANNEALED AS LINKERS IN CLONING	194
10.5	PLASMID MAPS	195
10.5.1	<i>pCaSpeR-arm-βgal (13881 bp)</i>	195
10.5.2	<i>pCaSpeR-arm-βgal StuI/NotI (13919 bp)</i>	196
10.5.3	<i>pCaSpeR-EA-βgal (12120 bp)</i>	197
10.5.4	<i>pCaSpeR-LspIα-βgal (12753 bp)</i>	198
10.5.5	<i>pCaSpeR-LspIαmut-βgal (12753 bp)</i>	199
10.5.6	<i>pCaSpeR-I-βgal (13043 bp)</i>	200
10.5.7	<i>pCaSpeR-I-βgal-I2 (17695 bp)</i>	201
10.5.8	<i>pCaSpeR-I-arm-βgal-I2 (19433 bp)</i>	202
10.5.9	<i>pGEM[®]-T Easy (3015 bp)</i>	203

10.5.10 pOT2 (1665 bp)	204
10.5.11 pB[PUBnlsEGFP] (9423 bp)	205
10.5.12 pB[SCS-GMR-3xP3-DsRed-SCS'] (8827 bp)	206
10.5.13 pFLC-1 (3005 bp).....	207
10.5.14 pOTB7 (1815 bp).....	208
10.5.15 pW30 (8865 bp).....	209
10.6 ALIGNMENT OF GENOMIC SEQUENCES FROM <i>y w</i> STRAIN WITH <i>y2; cn bw sp</i> STRAIN USED FOR BDGP GENOMIC SEQUENCE.....	210
10.6.1 Alignment of <i>Lsp1α</i> 3' UTR genomic sequences from <i>y w</i> strain and <i>y2; cn bw sp</i> BDGP strain.....	210
10.6.2 Alignment of genomic region from CG2560 – <i>Lsp1α</i> , including <i>Lsp1α</i> promoter, from <i>y w</i> flies and the <i>y2; cn bw sp</i> BDGP strain.....	212
10.7 ALIGNMENT OF PROTEIN SEQUENCES FROM <i>DROSOPHILA</i> SPECIES	215
10.7.1 Alignment of putative CG15730 proteins from <i>Drosophila</i> species.....	215
10.7.2 Alignment of putative LSP1 proteins from <i>Drosophila</i> species	217
10.8 RAW REAL-TIME RT-PCR DATA.....	222
10.9 RAW CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION DATA	224
10.9.1 Example graph showing fluorescence intensity over the PCR reaction...	224
10.9.2 Chromatin immunoprecipitation crossing points for each PCR reaction	225

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1. THE MALE-SPECIFIC LETHAL PROTEINS	13
TABLE 2. PREDICTED GENES WITHIN THE <i>LSP1</i> α GENE DOMAIN	35
TABLE 3. FLY STOCKS UTILIZED IN THIS STUDY THAT WERE NOT GENERATED IN THIS PROJECT	42
TABLE 4. SUMMARY OF THE TEMPLATES USED FOR THE <i>IN VITRO</i> TRANSCRIPTION OF ANTISENSE SSRNA PROBES FOR RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	50
TABLE 5. THE LIGHTCYCLER PROTOCOL USED IN QUANTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR.....	58
TABLE 6. VOLUME OF HOMOGENATE ASSAYED FOR β -GALACTOSIDASE ACTIVITY	59
TABLE 7. cDNA AND GENOMIC CLONES ACQUIRED FROM RESGEN OR DR. SCOTT.....	63
TABLE 8. cDNA AND GENOMIC CLONES CONSTRUCTED FOR NORTHERN AND RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSES	63
TABLE 9. SUMMARY OF THE TEMPLATES USED FOR THE <i>IN VITRO</i> TRANSCRIPTION OF ANTISENSE SSRNA PROBES FOR RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	73
TABLE 10. LEVELS OF <i>CG15926</i> , <i>PGD</i> AND <i>RP49</i> TRANSCRIPTS IN MALE AND FEMALE HEMISECTED <i>Y W</i> ADULT FLIES DETERMINED BY RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	84
TABLE 11. FEMALE: MALE RATIOS OF <i>CG15926</i> AND <i>PGD</i> TRANSCRIPT LEVELS.....	85
TABLE 12. LEVELS OF <i>CG2560</i> , <i>PGD</i> AND <i>RP49</i> TRANSCRIPTS IN MALE AND FEMALE FIRST INSTAR LARVAE DETERMINED BY MULTI-PROBE RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	88
TABLE 13. FEMALE: MALE RATIOS OF <i>CG2560</i> AND <i>PGD</i> TRANSCRIPT LEVELS	89
TABLE 14. MEAN FEMALE: MALE RATIOS OF <i>CG2560</i> AND <i>PGD</i>	89
TABLE 15. LEVELS OF <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1</i> α TRANSCRIPTS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY THE BROMOPHENOL BLUE-METHOD	94
TABLE 16. LEVELS OF <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1</i> α TRANSCRIPTS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY AGE/SIZE	95
TABLE 17. MEAN <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1</i> α TRANSCRIPT LEVELS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY BROMOPHENOL BLUE-METHOD AS DETERMINED BY RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS.....	96
TABLE 18. MEAN <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1</i> α TRANSCRIPT LEVELS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY AGE/SIZE AS DETERMINED BY RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	97

TABLE 19. FEMALE: MALE RATIOS OF PAIRS, AND MEAN RATIOS OF <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1α</i> TRANSCRIPT LEVELS IN THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY THE BROMOPHENOL BLUE-METHOD.....	98
TABLE 20. FEMALE: MALE RATIOS OF PAIRS, AND MEAN RATIOS OF <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1α</i> TRANSCRIPT LEVELS IN THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY AGE/SIZE.....	98
TABLE 21. FOLD ENRICHMENT OF SPECIFIC cDNAs IN FAT BODY TISSUE COMPARED TO WHOLE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE.....	100
TABLE 22. THE COPY NUMBER AND CHROMOSOMAL POSITION OF THE TRANSGENE IN EACH LINE AS DETERMINED BY SOUTHERN HYBRIDIZATION ANALYSIS AND INVERSE PCR.....	112
TABLE 23. THE POSITION OF INSERTION OF TRANSGENES UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE <i>LSP1α</i> PROMOTER ON THE X CHROMOSOME	114
TABLE 24. MEAN MALE AND FEMALE β -GALACTOSIDASE ACTIVITIES AND RATIOS IN ADULT FLIES	118
TABLE 25. MEAN MALE AND FEMALE β -GALACTOSIDASE ACTIVITIES AND RATIOS IN BROMOPHENOL BLUE-STAGED THIRD INSTAR LARVAE.....	124
TABLE 26. FAT BODY AND WHOLE LARVAL PROTEIN LEVELS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE.....	125
TABLE 27. MEAN β -GALACTOSIDASE ACTIVITY RATIOS IN STAGED-THIRD INSTAR LARVAE OVEREXPRESSING <i>MSL2</i>	127
TABLE 28. MEAN β -GALACTOSIDASE ACTIVITIES IN AUTOSOMAL <i>ARM-LACZ</i> AND <i>P-LACZ</i> STAGED-THIRD INSTAR LARVAE OVEREXPRESSING <i>MSL1</i> , <i>MSL3</i> , <i>MLE</i> OR <i>MOF</i> .	130
TABLE 29. FOLD ENRICHMENT FROM CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION WITH ANTI-H4K16AC ON <i>P-LACZ:9B4</i> , <i>P-LACZ:19E7</i> AND <i>Y W</i> MALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAL FAT BODIES.....	150
TABLE 30. PCR EFFICIENCIES OF REAL-TIME PCR DATA.....	152
TABLE 31. ACCESSION NUMBERS FOR <i>LSP1</i> PROTEIN SEQUENCES.....	156
TABLE 32. <i>LSP1α</i> IS FLANKED BY <i>CG2560</i> AND <i>CG15730</i> IN FOUR <i>DROSOPHILA</i> SPECIES, BUT THESE GENES LIE IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO ONE ANOTHER IN THE OTHER SPECIES THAT LACK <i>LSP1α</i>	156

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1. CHROMATIN LOOPING MODEL OF INSULATOR ACTION	9
FIGURE 2. MODEL OF THE MSL COMPLEX	23
FIGURE 3. <i>LSP1α</i> GENE DOMAIN	34
FIGURE 4. STAGE-SPECIFIC EXPRESSION OF <i>CG15926</i> , <i>CG2560</i> AND <i>CG2556</i>	68
FIGURE 5. NORTHERN ANALYSIS OF <i>CG15730</i>	69
FIGURE 6. STAGE-SPECIFIC EXPRESSION OF <i>LSP1α</i>	70
FIGURE 7. <i>CG15730</i> IS NOT DETECTED IN MIXED ADULT POLY(A) ⁺ mRNA RELATIVE TO <i>CG2556</i> USING RT-PCR	71
FIGURE 8. RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS OF <i>CG15926</i> , <i>CG2560</i> , <i>LSP1α</i> , <i>CG2556</i> , <i>PGD</i> AND <i>RP49</i>	74
FIGURE 9. LINEAR RANGE OF <i>RP49</i> RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS.....	76
FIGURE 10. LINEAR RANGE OF <i>PGD</i> RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS.....	77
FIGURE 11. LINEAR RANGE OF <i>LSP1α</i> RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS.....	78
FIGURE 12. LINEAR RANGE OF <i>CG2560</i> RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	79
FIGURE 13. LINEAR RANGE OF <i>CG2556</i> RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	80
FIGURE 14. LINEAR RANGE OF <i>CG15926</i> RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS.....	81
FIGURE 15. RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS OF <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> AND <i>CG15926</i> TRANSCRIPTS IN MALE AND FEMALE HEMISECTED ADULTS	83
FIGURE 16. <i>CG2560</i> DOSAGE COMPENSATION ASSAY	87
FIGURE 17. RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS OF <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1α</i> TRANSCRIPTS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE	93
FIGURE 18. MEAN <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1α</i> TRANSCRIPT LEVELS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY BROMOPHENOL BLUE-METHOD AS DETERMINED BY RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS.....	96
FIGURE 19. MEAN <i>RP49</i> , <i>PGD</i> , <i>CG2556</i> AND <i>LSP1α</i> TRANSCRIPT LEVELS IN MALE AND FEMALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAE STAGED BY AGE/SIZE AS DETERMINED BY RNASE PROTECTION ANALYSIS	97
FIGURE 20. FOLD ENRICHMENT OF THE GENES FLANKING <i>LSP1α</i> IN FAT BODY TISSUE COMPARED TO WHOLE THIRD INSTAR LARVA	101
FIGURE 21. SCHEMATIC OF CONSTRUCTS	103

FIGURE 22. SOUTHERN HYBRIDIZATION ANALYSIS OF TRANSGENIC FLY LINES	113
FIGURE 23. β -GALACTOSIDASE ACTIVITY INCREASES IN A LINEAR MANNER WITH INCREASING HOMOGENATE VOLUME	115
FIGURE 24. THE FEMALE TO MALE ACTIVITY RATIO IS PROPORTIONAL TO THE LEVEL OF MALE ACTIVITY	123
FIGURE 25. SCHEMATIC OF HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION CONSTRUCTS	138
FIGURE 26. HOMOLOGOUS RECOMBINATION CROSSING SCHEME	139
FIGURE 27. DAPI STAIN OF FAT BODY NUCLEI PREPARATION PRIOR TO SONICATION AND IMMUNOPRECIPITATION.	148
FIGURE 28. SONICATION TRIAL OF DNA FROM NUCLEI PRIOR TO CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION	149
FIGURE 29. MEAN FOLD ENRICHMENT FROM CHROMATIN IMMUNOPRECIPITATION WITH ANTI-H4K16AC ON <i>P-LACZ:9B4</i> , <i>P-LACZ:19E7</i> AND γ W MALE THIRD INSTAR LARVAL FAT BODY NUCLEI.....	151
FIGURE 30. PHYLOGENY AND KARYOTYPE OF SEQUENCE \blacktriangleright <i>DROSOPHILA</i> SPECIES.....	155
FIGURE 31. <i>LSP1α</i> IS PRESENT IN FOUR CLOSELY RELATED <i>DROSOPHILA</i> SPECIES BUT IS ABSENT IN MORE DISTANTLY RELATED SPECIES, ALTHOUGH <i>LSP1β</i> AND <i>LSP1γ</i> ARE PRESENT IN ALL SPECIES	157
FIGURE 32. MODEL: <i>Lsp1α</i> IS NOT REGULATED BY THE MSL COMPLEX BECAUSE SPREADING OF THE COMPLEX IS LIMITED	165

ABBREVIATIONS

ATP	adenosine 5'-triphosphate
BDGP	Berkeley <i>Drosophila</i> Genome Project
bp	base pair
BSA	bovine serum albumin
°C	degrees Celsius
<i>ca.</i>	approximately
cDNA	copy deoxyribonucleic acid
CES	chromatin entry site
ChIP	chromatin immunoprecipitation
cpm	counts per minute
CsCl	cesium chloride
CTD	C-terminal domain
dATP	2'-deoxyadenosine 5'-triphosphate
dCTP	2-deoxycytidine 5'-triphosphate
DF	dilution factor
dGTP	2'-deoxyguanosine 5'-triphosphate
DHS	DNaseI hypersensitive site
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNase	deoxyribonuclease
DTT	dithiothreitol
dTTP	2'-deoxythymidine 5'-triphosphate
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
g	gram
GFP	green fluorescent protein
h	hour
H3K20	lysine 20 of histone H3
H3S10P	phosphorylated serine 10 of histone H3
H4K16ac	acetylated lysine 16 of histone H4
HA	hemagglutinin

HAT	histone acetyl transferase
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HDAC	histone deacetylase
HMT	histone methyl transferase
IGEPAL	octylphenylpolyethylene glycol
kb	kilobase-pairs
kDa	kilodaltons
KOAc	potassium acetate
L	litre
LB	Luria-Bertani (media or broth)
M	molar, moles per litre
mg	milligram
μL	microlitre
mL	millilitre
Milli-Q water	water purified by a Milli-Q ion exchange column
μM	micromolar, micromoles per litre
mM	millimolar, millimoles per litre
min	minute
M_r	relative molecular mass (g mol^{-1})
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
MSL	male specific lethal
NaOAc	sodium acetate
nmol	nanomole
nt	nucleotide
ORF	open reading frame
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
pH	$-\text{Log} [\text{H}^+]$
poly(A) ⁺	polyadenylated
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RNAPII	RNA polymerase II
RNase	ribonuclease
RNAi	RNA interference
RT-PCR	reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction
rpm	revolutions per minute

s	second
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
SNP	single nucleotide polymorphism
ss	single stranded
TE	10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)
TEMED	N, N, N', N'-tetramethyl-ethylendiamin
Tris	tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
Tween-20	polyoxyethylenesorbitan monolaurate
UTR	untranslated region
UV	ultra violet light
V	volt ($\text{m}^2 \text{kg s}^{-3} \text{A}^{-1}$)
WT	wild-type
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume
w/w	weight per weight