

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The Tactical Management Processes used by
Pastoral-Based Dairy Farmers:**

A Multiple-Case Study of Experts

David Ian Gray

2001

**The Tactical Management Processes used by
Pastoral-Based Dairy Farmers:**

A Multiple-Case Study of Experts

**A thesis presented in
partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy
in Farm Management
at Massey University**

David Ian Gray

2001

Abstract

A competitive advantage of the New Zealand dairy industry is the ability of its farmers to produce milk from “*low-cost*” pastoral-based systems. Despite the importance of these systems to the New Zealand economy little is known about how farmers actually manage them. It has long been recognised that considerable variation exists between farmers in terms of milksolids production. An important reason for this is management capability. Some farmers have greater expertise in the management of pastoral-based dairy systems than others. Analysis of the management processes used by “*expert*” dairy farmers may help identify management practices “*less expert*” farmers could adopt to improve productivity. Such research would provide a cornerstone for maintaining the competitive advantage of New Zealand’s dairy industry.

A particularly important period in relation to the management of a pastoral-based dairy farm is summer-autumn. Management decisions made during this time affect milksolids production in both the current and subsequent lactations. Management is also particularly difficult during this period because pasture growth, the farmers’ primary source of feed, is highly variable. Therefore, this study set out to develop a model to explain the tactical management processes used over the summer-autumn by “*expert*” pastoral-based dairy farmers.

From a review of the normative and descriptive farm management literature, important concepts relevant to research into tactical management were identified. A longitudinal (three years), embedded multiple-case study approach was used to investigate the tactical management processes used by selected “*expert*” dairy farmers. From this investigation, a general model of tactical management was developed and compared to the literature. Importantly, the adoption of a suitable theoretical framework for case selection allowed more consistent and effective cross-study comparisons within the farm management discipline.

Several theoretically important findings were identified through the study. Factors that determined the case farmers’ choice of planning horizon were identified, as were the termination targets they used to overcome the planning problems of interdependency and consequences. The case farmers used both qualitative and quantitative planning processes. A model of the informal planning process was developed that demonstrated how the case farmers modified their “*typical*” or predefined plan in response to prior learning, strategic and tactical decisions made previously, and the farm state at the start of the planning period. The importance of targets (standards) and contingency plans (components of the plan) for control was confirmed. New typologies for classifying targets and contingency plans were also generated.

A more refined model of the control process was developed. This focused on models of the important sub-processes: monitoring, decision point recognition, control response selection, opportunity recognition and selection, diagnosis, evaluation and learning. Similarly, typologies for classifying aspects of these sub-processes were developed or extended. Differences between “*structured*” and “*unstructured*” decisions were identified. The next challenge is to find ways to effectively transfer the practices of “*expert*” farmers to their less proficient colleagues.

Acknowledgements

One of the humbling aspects of writing a thesis, is the formal recognition of the people who have contributed to its production. In the first instance, I must thank my supervisors, Dr Warren Parker and Professor Elizabeth Kemp. It was through Warren's efforts that this thesis was initiated and he has provided positive support throughout the study. This has been much appreciated. To Liz, I owe a huge debt for the time spent discussing the intricacies of the research and for her excellent guidance. Despite the rather long time-frame, a study spanning two millennia, the research has been a rewarding experience and this reflects the supportive, positive and totally professional approach of my supervisors for which I am indebted and most appreciative.

Secondly, I would like to thank the farmers involved in the study, without whom none of this would have been possible. Data collection is often seen as a tedious process in research but in this case it was one of the most enjoyable aspects of the study. The stimulating discussion and friendship proffered by the case farmers and their families were most appreciated. Thank you to Alan, Heather and Owen Greig, Martin and Jo MacMillan, and Jim and Elaine Malone. I would also like to thank Jo and Elaine for the insights they provided in the area of child rearing.

Thanks to Liz Todd, James Lockhart and Gerard Lynch, the original decision-making group and to my colleagues, past and present, who have provided support in numerous ways. My family and I are most grateful to Russ Tillman for ensuring the completion of this thesis. Thank you also to Denise Stewart for all the typing and innumerable other ways she has helped. Without her this tome would never have made the deadline. My thanks also to AGMARDT for providing the funding that made this research possible.

I would like to recognise others who have helped shape my thinking leading up to and through the thesis. Alan McRae influenced my thinking more than anyone else in terms of farm management. Through his work on "Farmer First" he made me realise that farm management was not about mathematical models but about farmers. Frank Anderson made me think about research and what is required to be an academic. Murray and Jules McGregor made my stay in Edinburgh a very enjoyable experience and provided the environment within which I could start investigating this area. James Lockhart helped shape this thesis through hours of stimulating discussion and debate in the areas of philosophy, methodology and management.

Finally I would like to thank friends that have provided support throughout the study, Ewen and Heather, Janet, Sal, James and Caroline, Gerard and Kate and Colin and Diane, and particularly Alan and Alison McRae, Georgia and Alex. Thanks for your help, child minding, humour (usually at my expense) and evenings of red wine. Also to Mum and Dad for their help through the years and for believing this thesis would one day be finished. Thanks to my boys, Liam and Cameron, who have understood that Dad has had to work Saturdays but not anymore!

It is not often that a husband gets to formally recognise his wife and her contribution to one's life. Unfortunately such things are often left unsaid. So my dear, your support in all things, has been more than I could hope for. I guess it is payback time!!!!!!

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 The relationship between management and farm performance.....	4
1.3 The management process: Reasons for the paucity of empirical research	6
1.4 A framework for considering the research question	9
1.4.1 Management process.....	10
1.4.2 Decision-making process	13
1.4.3 Problem-solving process	19
1.4.4 A framework for studying farmers' management practices	21
1.5 Scoping the study	22
1.6 Purpose and scope of the investigation	26
1.7 Outline of the study.....	27
CHAPTER 2: THE MANAGEMENT PROCESS.....	28
2.1 Introduction.....	28
2.2 Goals and values and their role in the management process.....	29
2.3 The normative view of the management process.....	32
2.3.1 The interdependence of planning and control and the hierarchical nature of the management process	33
2.3.2 Planning	36
2.3.2.1 Planning horizon	36
2.3.2.2 Hierarchies of plans.....	38
2.3.2.3 Objectives and the planning process.....	38
2.3.2.4 Planning process.....	39
2.3.2.5 Effort in the planning process	44
2.3.2.6 Planning aids.....	44
2.4 Control	45
2.4.1 Types of control.....	47
2.4.2 Levels of control.....	48
2.4.3 The control process.....	48
2.4.3.1 Monitoring	50
2.4.3.2 Recording, data processing and analysis	53
2.4.3.3 Comparison to standards and decision point recognition.....	54
2.4.3.4 Evaluation and control response selection	54
2.4.3.5 Learning.....	57
2.4.4 Limits to control or the law of requisite variety	57
2.5 The environment and control	59
2.6 Summary and conclusions.....	61

CHAPTER 3: DESCRIPTIVE STUDIES	63
3.1 The requirements for a more balanced research focus	63
3.2 Descriptive studies in farm management.....	67
3.2.1 Descriptive studies of the tactical management process.....	67
3.2.1.1 The tactical management process	70
3.2.1.2 The planning process	71
3.2.1.3 The plan	72
3.2.1.4 The interdependence between planning and control.....	78
3.2.1.5 The control process	78
3.2.1.6 The monitoring process	79
3.2.1.7 Decision point recognition.....	80
3.2.1.8 Plan implementation and control response selection	80
3.2.1.9 Evaluation process	81
3.3 The non-adoption of formal planning and monitoring systems.....	83
3.4 Empirical studies of decision-making from a management process perspective.....	85
3.4.1 Goals and values.....	86
3.4.2 Planning process.....	87
3.4.2.1 Option generation.....	88
3.4.2.2 Option evaluation and selection.....	89
3.4.3 Control.....	90
3.4.3.1 Monitoring and problem detection.....	91
3.4.3.2 Diagnosis and evaluation.....	92
3.4.4 Control responses	93
3.5 The descriptive risk literature	93
3.5.1 Tactical versus strategic responses to risk.....	96
3.6 Summary and Conclusions	97
CHAPTER 4: METHOD	99
4.1 Introduction	99
4.2 Choice of research strategy	100
4.3 Definition of a case study	101
4.4 Research design – an overview	101
4.4.1 Case selection	104
4.4.2 Data collection.....	105
4.4.3 Data analysis	110
4.4.3.1 Within-case analysis.....	110
4.4.3.2 Description	111
4.4.3.3 Classification	111
4.4.3.4 Connection	113
4.4.3.5 Subsequent analysis	113
4.4.3.6 Within-case, cross-year analysis	115
4.4.3.7 Cross-case analysis	115
4.4.3.8 Comparison to the literature.....	116
4.5 Quality of case study research.....	116
4.6 Summary	122

CHAPTER 5: CROSS-YEAR CASE REPORT FOR FARMER A	124
5.1 Introduction.....	124
5.2 Case description.....	124
5.3 Description of the three years	126
5.4 The tactical management processes used by Farmer A.....	129
5.5 Planning	130
5.5.1 The planning horizon.....	130
5.5.2 Planning process.....	132
5.5.2.1 Informal planning process	132
5.5.2.2 Formal planning process	136
5.5.2.3 Rolling planning.....	139
5.5.3 The plan	139
5.5.3.1 Goals and values	140
5.5.3.2 The predictive schedule of events	141
5.5.3.3 The targets.....	145
5.5.3.4 Contingency plans.....	148
5.6 The control process	150
5.6.1 Monitoring	151
5.6.1.1 Activation, termination and frequency of monitoring	158
5.6.2 Recording and data processing	159
5.6.3 The environment	160
5.6.4 Control responses	161
5.6.4.1 Control response selection.....	164
5.6.5 Evaluation	167
5.6.6 Learning.....	170
5.7 Conclusion.....	172
CHAPTER 6: CROSS-YEAR CASE REPORT FOR FARMER B	174
6.1 Introduction.....	174
6.2 Case description.....	174
6.3 Description of the three years	176
6.4 The tactical management processes used by Farmer B.....	179
6.5 Planning	179
6.5.1 The planning horizon.....	179
6.5.2 Planning process.....	182
6.5.2.1 Informal planning process	182
6.5.2.2 Formal planning process	185
6.5.2.3 Rolling planning.....	187
6.5.3 The plan	188
6.5.3.1 Goals and values	188
6.5.3.2 The predictive schedule of events	190
6.5.3.3 The targets.....	193
6.5.3.4 Contingency plans.....	197
6.6 The control process	198
6.6.1 Monitoring	200
6.6.1.1 Activation, termination and frequency of monitoring	206
6.6.2 Recording and data processing.....	208

6.6.3	The environment.....	209
6.6.4	Control responses	210
6.6.4.1	Control response selection	213
6.6.4.2	Opportunity selection	217
6.6.5	Evaluation.....	218
6.6.6	Learning	221
6.7	Conclusion	222
CHAPTER 7: CROSS-CASE ANALYSIS.....		223
7.1	Introduction	223
7.2	The tactical management process.....	223
7.3	Planning.....	224
7.3.1	Planning horizon.....	224
7.3.2	Planning process	225
7.3.2.1	Informal planning process.....	226
7.3.2.2	Formal planning process	229
7.3.2.3	Rolling planning.....	232
7.3.3	The plan	232
7.3.3.1	Goals and values	234
7.3.3.2	The predictive schedule of events	235
7.3.3.3	The targets	237
7.3.3.4	The contingency plans	240
7.4	The control process.....	244
7.4.1	Monitoring.....	246
7.4.2	Activation, termination and frequency of monitoring.....	252
7.4.3	Recording and data analysis.....	254
7.4.4	The environment.....	254
7.4.5	Control responses	256
7.4.5.1	Control response selection	259
7.4.5.2	Target selection	264
7.4.5.3	Opportunity selection	264
7.4.6	Evaluation.....	266
7.4.7	Learning	271
7.5	Conclusion	277
CHAPTER 8: COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS WITH THE LITERATURE		278
8.1	Introduction	278
8.2	Classification of the cases.....	278
8.3	Tactical management process	279
8.4	Planning horizon	280
8.4.1	Interdependence of planning and control and hierarchies of plans.....	282
8.4.2	The planning process	283
8.4.2.1	Informal planning process.....	284
8.4.2.2	Formal planning process	288
8.5	The plan.....	294
8.5.1	Goals and values.....	297

8.5.2	The predictive planning schedule	299
8.5.3	Targets.....	300
8.5.4	Contingency plans.....	303
8.6	The control process	304
8.6.1	Monitoring	308
8.6.1.1	The factors that are monitored	308
8.6.1.2	Monitoring methods.....	312
8.6.1.3	The role of information from the monitoring process.....	314
8.6.1.4	Activation, termination and frequency of monitoring	319
8.6.1.5	Sources of error in the monitoring system	322
8.6.1.6	Formal versus informal monitoring systems	322
8.6.2	Recording, data processing and analysis	323
8.6.3	Decision point recognition	323
8.6.4	Diagnosis	325
8.6.5	Evaluation	330
8.6.6	Limits to control and the environment.....	334
8.6.7	Control responses	335
8.6.8	Plan implementation and contingency plan selection.....	339
8.6.8.1	Target selection.....	342
8.6.8.2	Opportunity selection.....	342
8.6.8.3	Changing plans and goals.....	343
8.6.9	Learning.....	344
8.7	Summary and Conclusion.....	351
CHAPTER 9: CONCLUSIONS.....		352
9.1	Introduction.....	352
9.2	Research conclusions.....	353
9.3	Implications of the findings.....	355
9.4	Evaluation of the methodology.....	358
9.5	Future research	361
REFERENCES		366

List of Tables

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Table 1.1.	Components of models within management process theory as proposed by different authors in the 1950's – 1960's.	11
Table 1.2.	Development of management process theory as proposed by different authors in the 1970's – mid-1990.	11
Table 1.3.	The roots and component elements of decision-making process theory	14
Table 1.4.	Alternative models and elements of the decision-making process proposed in the farm management literature	15
Table 1.5.	Early research related to elements in the problem-solving and management functions	20

CHAPTER 2: THE MANAGEMENT PROCESS

Table 2.1.	Major activities of the planning function of management. (Source: Boehlje and Eidman, 1984).	42
Table 2.2.	Questions to ask when evaluating a decision. (Source: Osburn and Schneeberger, 1983, p. 14)	55

CHAPTER 3: DESCRIPTIVE STUDIES

Table 3.1.	A comparison of the ranking placed on different risk sources by livestock farmers in different studies.	94
Table 3.2.	A comparison of the ranking of alternative risk management responses used by farmers to manage production risk.	96

CHAPTER 4: METHOD

Table 4.1.	Criteria by which to select an appropriate research strategy. (Source: Yin, 1989).	100
Table 4.2.	Tactics used by qualitative researchers to minimise the threats to internal reliability.	117
Table 4.3.	Tactics used in qualitative research to minimise the threats to construct validity.	119
Table 4.4.	Important threats to internal validity of qualitative research and the tactics by which such threats are minimised.	120
Table 4.5.	Tactics used by qualitative researchers to minimise the threats to external validity.	122

CHAPTER 5: CROSS-YEAR CASE REPORT

Table 5.1.	The resources on-hand at the start of the summer-autumn period, and the monthly pasture growth rates for the three years of the study.	127
Table 5.2.	Examples of modifications to plan heuristics for a "typical", "dry" and "wet" summer.	135

Table 5.3.	Farmer A's plan for the summer-autumn for the three years of the study.	142
Table 5.4.	Terminating targets used by Farmer A for the two planning periods across the three years of the study.....	145
Table 5.5.	Intermediate targets specified in the plan that are used in the control process.	146
Table 5.6.	The contingency plans considered by Farmer A during the three years of the study.....	148
Table 5.7.	The contingency plans used or considered by Farmer A during the three years of the study.....	149
Table 5.8.	Classification of the methods used by Farmer A to monitor the farm over the summer-autumn.	152
Table 5.9.	The role of key indicators in the decision point recognition phase of the control process over the summer-autumn period.....	155
Table 5.10.	The nature of the concurrent control responses used by Farmer A across the three years of the study.....	162
Table 5.11.	A cross-year comparison of the contingency plans used by Farmer A.....	166
Table 5.12.	Classification of planning and control decisions evaluated by Farmer A....	170

CHAPTER 6: CROSS-YEAR CASE REPORT FOR FARMER B

Table 6.1.	The resources on-hand at the start of the summer-autumn period, and the monthly pasture growth rates for the three years of the study.....	177
Table 6.2.	Examples of modifications to plan heuristics for a "typical", "dry" and "wet" summer.	184
Table 6.3.	Farmer B's plan for the summer-autumn for the three years of the study.	191
Table 6.4.	Terminating targets used by Farmer B for the two planning periods across the three years of the study.....	194
Table 6.5.	Intermediate targets specified in the plan that are used in the control process.	194
Table 6.6.	The contingency plans considered by Farmer B during the three years of the study.....	197
Table 6.7.	The contingency plans used or considered by Farmer B during the three years of the study.....	198
Table 6.8.	Classification of the methods used by Farmer B to monitor the farm over the summer-autumn.	201
Table 6.9.	The role of key indicators in the decision point recognition phase of the control process over the summer-autumn period.....	204
Table 6.10.	The nature of the concurrent control responses used by Farmer B across the three years of the study.....	212
Table 6.11.	A cross-year comparison of the contingency plans used by Farmer B.....	215
Table 6.12.	Classification of planning and control decisions evaluated by Farmer B....	220

CHAPTER 7: CROSS-CASE ANALYSIS

Table 7.1.	The predictive schedules used by the case farmers in their "typical" plan.	236
Table 7.2.	Terminating targets used by the case farmers for the two planning periods across the three years of the study.....	237
Table 7.3.	A comparison of the intermediate targets used by the case farmers in their summer and autumn plans.	238

Table 7.4.	Comparison of the contingency plans used or mentioned by the case farmers over the three years of the study.....	241
Table 7.5.	Classification of the contingency plans used by the case farmers on the basis of impact on the plan	243
Table 7.6.	A comparison of the indicators used by the case farmers for decision point recognition.	248
Table 7.7.	A comparison of the variability in pasture growth rates (kg DM/ha/day) on the case farms over the three years of the study.....	255
Table 7.8.	Subjective classification of the risks facing the case farmers over the summer-autumn period.....	256
Table 7.9.	A comparison of the control responses used by the case farmers.	257
Table 7.10.	A comparison of the nature of the concurrent control responses used by the case farmers.	258
Table 7.11.	The criteria used by the case farmers to decide between an alternative and the current plan.	260
Table 7.12.	A comparison of the contingency plans used by the case farmers to minimise the impact of feed deficit and feed surplus situations over the three years.....	262
Table 7.13.	The evaluations carried out by the case farmers.....	266
Table 7.14.	The four types of <i>ex-post</i> evaluation used by the case farmers	268
Table 7.15.	The evaluations carried out by the case farmers.....	269
Table 7.16.	A comparison of the types of planning and control decisions evaluated by the case farmers.....	270
Table 7.17.	The factors that initiated farmer learning.....	271
Table 7.18.	A comparison of the instances of learning by category, undertaken by the case farmers during the study period.	272
Table 7.19.	Management system learning by level: the percentage of instances (Appendices X & XIX) at each level within the management hierarchy.	274

CHAPTER 8: COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS WITH THE LITERATURE

Table 8.1.	Theoretically important characteristics of the case study.	279
------------	---	-----

List of Figures

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

- Figure 1.1. Conceptual model of the decision-making process.
(Source: Ohlmer *et al.*, 1998). 17
- Figure 1.2. A classification schema for decisions.
(Derived from Boehlje and Eidman, 1984). 22

CHAPTER 3: DESCRIPTIVE STUDIES

- Figure 3.1. Connections between techniques and practice.
(Source: Deffontaines, 1993). 69

CHAPTER 4: METHOD

- Figure 4.1. Basic types of design for case studies (Source: Yin, 1989). 102
- Figure 4.2. Case study method (Adapted from Yin, 1989). 103
- Figure 4.3. Broad question area covered on planning, implementation and control, respectively, during interview one. 107

CHAPTER 5: CROSS-YEAR CASE REPORT FOR FARMER A

- Figure 5.1. The control process used by Farmer A. 150
- Figure 5.2. Causal relationships used in Farmer A's monitoring system. 154
- Figure 5.3. The structure of a decision point decision rule. 164

CHAPTER 6: CROSS-YEAR CASE REPORT FOR FARMER B

- Figure 6.1. The control process used by Farmer B. 199
- Figure 6.2. Causal relationships used in Farmer B's monitoring system. 203
- Figure 6.3. The opportunity recognition and selection process. 217

CHAPTER 7: CROSS-CASE ANALYSIS

- Figure 7.1. Representation from a decision-making perspective of the tactical management process used by the case farmers. 224
- Figure 7.2. The planning process used by the case farmers over the summer. 227
- Figure 7.3. A diagrammatic representation of a case farmer's plan. 233
- Figure 7.4. The control process used by the case farmers. 245
- Figure 7.5. Control response selection process. 259
- Figure 7.6. The opportunity recognition and selection process. 265
- Figure 7.7. The learning process used by the case farmers when introducing a new management practice. 276
- Figure 7.8. The learning process used by the case farmers when extreme conditions caused unexpected outcomes. 277

CHAPTER 8: COMPARISONS OF THE RESULTS WITH THE LITERATURE

Figure 8.1.	Representation of the tactical management process used by the case farmers from a decision-making perspective.....	280
Figure 8.2.	The planning process used by the case farmers over the summer.	286
Figure 8.3.	A diagrammatic representation of a case farmer's plan.....	296
Figure 8.4.	Factors that influence a farmer's predictive planning schedule.	300
Figure 8.5.	A typology of target types.	301
Figure 8.6.	Typology of contingency plans used by the case farmers.	304
Figure 8.7.	An alternative typology for the contingency plans used by the case farmers.	304
Figure 8.8.	The control process used by the case farmers.....	305
Figure 8.9.	A typology of the factors monitored by the case farmers.....	308
Figure 8.10.	A typology of the performance indicators used by the case farmers.	309
Figure 8.11.	A typology of the market indicators used by the case farmers.	310
Figure 8.12.	A typology of the production indicators used by the case farmers.....	310
Figure 8.13.	A typology of the feed indicators used by the case farmers.	311
Figure 8.14.	A typology of the livestock indicators used by the case farmers.....	311
Figure 8.15.	A typology of the monitoring methods used by the case farmers.	313
Figure 8.16.	A typology of the roles monitored information played in the tactical management process used by the case farmers.....	314
Figure 8.17.	The overlap method triangulation process used by the case farmers.....	317
Figure 8.18.	The role of the early warning system in contingency plan selection.	318
Figure 8.19.	Factors that influence the activation and termination of the monitoring process.....	320
Figure 8.20.	Factors that influence the monitoring interval.....	320
Figure 8.21.	A typology of decision point recognition processes.	324
Figure 8.22.	The process used by the case farmers to determine when to undertake a diagnosis.	326
Figure 8.23.	The diagnostic process used by the case farmers.	329
Figure 8.24.	The diagnostic process (Source: Scoullar, 1975).....	330
Figure 8.25.	A typology of <i>ex-post</i> evaluation types.....	332
Figure 8.26.	Important evaluation questions (Source: Osburn and Schneeberger, 1983).	332
Figure 8.27.	A typology of the areas of evaluation undertaken by the case farmers.....	333
Figure 8.28.	Sources of risk faced by the case farmers.	334
Figure 8.29.	A typology of concurrent control responses.	337
Figure 8.30.	A typology of historical control responses ¹⁴	338
Figure 8.31.	The control response selection process.....	339
Figure 8.32.	Factors that impact on a farmer's choice of contingency plans.	342
Figure 8.33.	The opportunity recognition and selection process.	343
Figure 8.34.	A typology of the areas of learning undertaken by the case farmers ¹⁴	345
Figure 8.35.	A typology of the areas of learning in relation to tactical management undertaken by the case farmers.....	346
Figure 8.36.	The learning process used by the case farmers when introducing a new management practice.....	348
Figure 8.37.	The learning process used by the case farmers when extreme conditions cause unexpected outcomes.	349