

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**MAGNESIUM FERTILISER EFFECTS ON  
FOREST SOILS UNDER *Pinus radiata***

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science  
at Massey University, Palmerston North  
New Zealand

**Andrew David Mitchell**

**2000**

## ABSTRACT

Magnesium deficiency is of concern in a number of forest regions in New Zealand and has been linked in recent years to a condition in *Pinus radiata* called upper mid crown yellowing (UMCY). Magnesium deficiency is also acknowledged as a common nutrient disorder linked to 'new type forest decline' in Europe and the USA. With increases in the number of rotations and increased growth rates through tree breeding, the incidence of Mg deficiency and UMCY is expected to increase. This study investigated the Mg fertility of a range of forest soils, their responses to the application of Mg fertilisers and Mg uptake by *P. radiata*.

New Zealand Forest Research (Institute Ltd) has established a series of Mg fertiliser trials (FR190 series) in a range of forest soils. Five of these trial sites, located in the North Island of New Zealand, where calcined magnesite (calmag) was applied at 150 kg Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, were sampled to investigate the effectiveness of calmag in increasing plant available Mg and to determine the fate of the fertiliser. At all of the sites, within two and three years following calmag application, both soil exchangeable Mg and solution Mg concentrations were increased in the top 5 cm. However, the increases were significant only at three of the sites, where the initial soil exchangeable Mg was low. The other two soils had medium to high concentrations of soil exchangeable Mg prior to Mg fertiliser application. Between 70-90% of the applied fertiliser Mg had dissolved and estimated losses due to leaching ranged from 0-20%. Foliar concentrations of Mg were generally improved in the fertilised trees, but the increases were not significant.

Symptoms of Mg deficiency and UMCY are worse in trees that have high foliar K:Mg ratios. High foliar K:Mg ratios reflect changes in the pools of soil exchangeable Mg and K. Therefore, the effects of Mg fertiliser application on soil K:Mg molar ratios at the FR190 series trials were examined. In the trials where Mg fertiliser application significantly increased soil exchangeable Mg the soil K:Mg molar ratio was significantly reduced. This could see a reversal of the trend of the soil K:Mg ratios increasing with time and a reduction in the severity of Mg and UMCY symptoms. In

the trials that had medium to high concentrations of Mg, the K:Mg molar ratio was not affected by increases in exchangeable Mg from Mg fertiliser application.

As there are several Mg fertilisers with varied solubilities available to foresters a study was conducted to determine the rates of dissolution of a range of Mg fertilisers applied at 200 kg Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> to a pumice soil under *P. radiata* in Kaingaroa Forest near Rotorua. Twenty seven months after fertiliser application the mean percentage of Mg dissolved were 100% for Epsom salts, 92% for calcined magnesite 1-2 mm, 91% for Granmag 20 (granulated product from 20% acidulation of calcined magnesite, 2-4 mm), 83% for calcined magnesite 2-4 mm and 70% for forestry grade dolomite. The specific dissolution rate constants ( $\mu\text{g fertiliser cm}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ ) for the slowly soluble Mg fertilisers were 279 for calcined magnesite 1-2 mm, 220 for calcined magnesite 2-4 mm, 212 for Granmag 20 and 13 for forestry grade dolomite. A computer program based on an elemental sulfur (S<sup>0</sup>) oxidation model, where the rate of S<sup>0</sup> oxidation depends on surface area of the particles, explained the rate of dissolution of Mg fertilisers within a narrow fertiliser particle size range.

Application of Mg fertiliser has been shown to increase plant-available Mg. However, there has been no significant increase in foliar Mg concentrations in the fertilised trees. It was thought that though the bulk soil had sufficient plant-available Mg, some factors in the rhizosphere might be inhibiting Mg uptake by *P. radiata*. Therefore, trials were conducted to increase the understanding of Mg availability in the soil immediately surrounding the tree roots. Two glasshouse experiments were conducted investigating the tree-induced changes in Mg availability in the rhizosphere of *P. radiata* seedlings. The first used pumice topsoil fertilised with various forms of Mg fertilisers. The second used pumice sub-soil that had lower exchangeable Mg concentrations and pH buffering capacity. The subsoil was fertilised with different rates of Mg and K fertilisers.

There was a significant accumulation of exchangeable Mg in the soil layers near the rhizosphere, compared to the bulk soil for the Epsom salts and granmag fertiliser treatments in the first experiment. A similar accumulation occurred for treatments where Mg fertiliser was applied in the second experiment. Magnesium accumulation at the root surface is probably due to a higher rate of Mg movement by mass-flow

compared to Mg uptake by the seedlings. The higher rate of Mg movement was probably caused by high seedling transpiration rates. Magnesium accumulation in the rhizosphere could have also been influenced by ectomycorrhizal fungi growth.

Soil pH in the rhizosphere soil of the first experiment was generally unaffected by nutrient uptake of the seedling compared to the bulk soil, probably due to the high buffering capacity of this soil. Whereas, in the second experiment the soil pH, because of the low pH buffering capacity of the soil, was significantly reduced in the rhizosphere compared to the bulk in all treatments. Cation-anion balance without considering N uptake, showed that the seedlings took up an excess of cations compared to anions. Because the ionic form of N taken up by the seedlings was not determined, it was not possible to explain the rhizosphere acidification from the cation-anion balance in the seedlings. Magnesium concentrations in the fertilised seedling in the first experiment increased for all fertiliser types used, but only the increases in root Mg concentrations were significant. In the second experiment Mg fertiliser application significantly increased Mg concentrations in both the shoots and roots.

Recently, Forest Research installed a fertiliser trial that manipulated the soil K:Mg ratio through the application of Mg and K fertiliser. This trial was used to study the losses of Mg due to leaching under *P. radiata* after the application of Mg and K fertiliser. Suction cup lysimeters were installed at 2 depths (10 cm and 45 cm) to monitor changes in soil solution Mg concentrations in the top-soil where the active roots are and the leaching losses of Mg down below 45 cm over an 18 month period after fertiliser application. Magnesium and K fertiliser application resulted in significant increases in soil solution Mg and K concentrations in the 0-10 cm soil layer soon after fertiliser application. However, by 90 to 180 days after application concentrations have returned to levels not significantly different from those of the control treatment.

The soil solution K:Mg molar ratio in the 0-10 cm soil layer was significantly increased by both K fertiliser treatments at all sampling times. Magnesium fertiliser application generally decreased the soil solution K:Mg molar ratio, although none of the decreases were significant. Magnesium fertiliser application significantly decreased the soil

exchangeable K:Mg molar ratio and K fertiliser application significantly increased the soil exchangeable K:Mg molar ratio.

Between 180 to 240 days following application, concentrations of Mg and K in the sub soil lysimeters peaked. Concentrations of solution Mg in the sub soil lysimeters of the fertilised and unfertilised plots were generally greater than solution K concentrations. Estimated leaching losses of Mg were 39.4 kg Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Mg fertilised plots and 11.2 to 26.9 kg Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> in the K fertilised plots. Estimated leaching losses of K were 8.9 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 200 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> treated plots and 17.4 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> for the 400 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> treated plots. Magnesium fertiliser application did not cause any increase in the leaching losses of K.

This thesis has increased the knowledge base of the Mg fertility of a range of forest soils and their response to application of Mg fertiliser. More research is required to determine the reasons for the slow tree response to increases in soil Mg from Mg fertiliser application and the role of ectomycorrhizal in the Mg uptake by *P. radiata*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to the following people and organisations:

My chief supervisor, Dr P. Loganathan, for his guidance, assistance, constructive criticism and friendship throughout all stages of my studies.

Dr T. W. Payn, of Forest Research (Institute Ltd), Rotorua for his assistance and advice particularly in relation to field experiments, also for his friendship and hospitality during the many trips to Rotorua.

Professor R. W. Tillman, for his help and guidance, particularly in the preparation of this thesis.

My fellow postgrade students, both past and present, for their friendship and morale support.

Mr Lance Currie for his help in the laboratory and for sorting out funding issues. The laboratory technicians in particular, Bob Toes, Ian Furkert, James Hanly, Ross Wallace, Glenys Wallace and Anne West for their help with analytical procedures and assistance with field work. Mrs Denise Brunskill and Mrs Marian Teel for the help with organising rental cars, etc. and assistance with word processing problems. Also to Bob Toes and Mike Bretherton, thanks for the hunting and fishing trips which helped to keep me sane.

Associate Professor M. J. Hedley and Mike Bretherton, for their help with the modelling in Chapter 5.

Dr D. R. Scotter, for his assistance and advice with Chapter 7.

The staff at the soils group of Forest Research (Institute Ltd), Rotorua for their friendship and help during the time I have spent in Rotorua. In particular, to Doug Graham, Carolyn Anderson and Graham Oliver for their help with field work, Malcolm Skinner and Peter Beets for the technical help and good advice, and Mary Bates for organising accommodation etc.

Fletcher Challenge Forests, Carter Holt Harvey Forests and Rayonier New Zealand Ltd for allowing access to the field installations and for providing foliage and UMCY data.

I wish to express my gratitude to

Fernz Chemicals (NZ) Ltd, for their financial support during my studies.

The Vice Chancellor of Massey University for granting a Graduate Assistance Award in 1997.

The Academic Council of Agriculture-Horticulture Faculty, College of Sciences, Massey University for Awarding a Helen E. Akers Ph.D. Scholarship and Taranaki Tree Crops Association Scholarship.

Mr Eric Rose and Dr Jim Kerr, for their help in proof reading my thesis.

Most importantly to my wife, Margot, and daughter, Hannah, thanks for all your support and understanding over the past four - very long years.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xxi

### CHAPTER 1

#### INTRODUCTION

1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	OBJECTIVES OF THE THESIS	3

### CHAPTER 2

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	INTRODUCTION	4
	2.1.1 The Mg cycle in a <i>Pinus radiata</i> forest	4
2.2	MAGNESIUM NUTRITION OF FOREST TREES	6
	2.2.1 Functions of Mg in forest trees	6
	2.2.2 Magnesium uptake by trees	8
	2.2.2.1 Nutrient uptake kinetics	8
	2.2.2.2 Magnesium requirements of forest trees	11
	2.2.2.3 Partitioning of Mg within <i>P. radiata</i>	13
	2.2.2.4 Role of ectomycorrhizal fungi in tree uptake of Mg	15
	2.2.3 Effect of Mg on tree growth and yields	15
2.3	MAGNESIUM DEFICIENCY IN FOREST TREES	16
	2.3.1 Magnesium deficiency and 'new type forest decline' in Europe and North America	16
	2.3.1.1 Symptoms of Mg deficiency	17
	2.3.1.2 Extent of Mg deficiency in Europe and North America	17

2.3.1.3	Magnesium deficiency in relation to acid deposition	18
2.3.1.4	Correction of 'new type forest decline'	19
2.3.2	Magnesium deficiency in Australian forests	20
2.3.3	Magnesium deficiency in <i>P. radiata</i> in New Zealand	21
2.3.3.1	History of Mg deficiency	21
2.3.3.2	'Upper mid crown yellowing'	23
2.3.3.3	Symptoms of Mg deficiency and UMCY	24
2.3.3.4	Correction of Mg deficiency and UMCY by the application of Mg fertiliser	26
2.4	MAGNESIUM IN FOREST SOILS OF NEW ZEALAND	29
2.4.1	Non-exchangeable Mg	29
2.4.1.1	Mineral Mg	29
2.4.1.2	Magnesium in soil organic matter	31
2.4.2	Exchangeable Mg	32
2.4.3	Measurement of exchangeable and non-exchangeable Mg	33
2.4.3.1	Non-exchangeable Mg	33
2.4.3.2	Exchangeable Mg	34
2.4.4	Fertiliser Mg	35
2.4.4.1	Dissolution of Mg fertilisers	38
2.5	PLANT AVAILABILITY OF MAGNESIUM	39
2.5.1	Soil factors affecting Mg availability	39
2.5.1.1	Supply of Mg to the roots	39
2.5.1.2	Effect of soil pH on Mg availability	40
2.5.1.3	Antagonisms with K, Ca and Al	41
2.5.2	Chemistry of the soil-root interface (rhizosphere)	43
2.5.2.1	Cation availability in the rhizosphere	43
2.5.2.2	Rhizosphere acidification	47
2.5.3	Losses of plant available Mg	48
2.5.3.1	Leaching of Mg from forest soils	48
2.5.3.2	Estimating leaching losses of Mg	49
2.5.3.3	Magnesium removal in harvested crop	51
2.6	SUMMARY AND RESEARCH NEEDS	51

## CHAPTER 3

### EFFECT OF CALCINED MAGNESITE ON SOIL AND *Pinus radiata* FOLIAGE MAGNESIUM IN TWO PUMICE SOILS OF KAINGAROA FOREST

3.1	INTRODUCTION	54
3.2	OBJECTIVES	55
3.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	56
3.3.1	Field trial description	56
3.3.2	Soil sampling and analysis	56
3.3.3	Foliar sampling and analysis	61
3.3.4	UMCY scoring FR190/5	61
3.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	61
3.4.1	Effect of Mg fertiliser on soil pH and exchangeable cations	61
3.4.2	Effect of Mg fertiliser on exchangeable K to Mg molar ratio	66
3.4.3	Effect of Mg fertiliser on soil solution concentrations of cations and pH	68
3.4.4	Calmag fertiliser dissolution in pumice soils	68
3.4.5	Effect of Mg fertiliser on foliar Mg	71
3.4.6	Effect of Mg fertiliser on foliar K and K to Mg ratio	73
3.4.7	Effect of Mg fertiliser on UMCY in FR190/5	74
3.4.8	Correlations between foliage and soil Mg and K concentrations	74
3.5	CONCLUSIONS	78

## CHAPTER 4

### INFLUENCE OF SOIL PROPERTIES AND RAINFALL ON THE RATE OF DISSOLUTION OF CALCINED MAGNESITE FERTILISER APPLIED TO A SERIES OF FOREST SOILS OF THE NORTH ISLAND UNDER *Pinus radiata*

4.1	INTRODUCTION	80
4.2	OBJECTIVES	81
4.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	82

4.3.1	Field trial design	82
4.3.2	Soil sampling and analysis	82
4.3.3	Foliar sampling and analysis	85
4.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	85
4.4.1	Calmag fertiliser dissolution in soils	85
4.4.2	Factors influencing fertiliser Mg dissolution	88
4.4.3	Effect of Mg fertiliser on soil pH, exchange acidity and ECEC	92
4.4.4	Effect of Mg fertiliser on soil exchangeable cations	94
4.4.5	Effect of Mg fertiliser on soil exchangeable K to Mg molar ratio	98
4.4.6	Fertiliser Mg recovery in soils and Mg leaching loss estimation	101
4.4.7	Effect of Mg fertiliser on soil solution concentrations of cations and pH	102
4.4.8	Effect of Mg fertiliser on foliar Mg	102
4.4.9	Effect of Mg fertiliser on foliar K and K to Mg ratio	107
4.4.10	Correlations between foliage and soil Mg and K concentrations	107
4.5	CONCLUSIONS	110

## CHAPTER 5

### MAGNESIUM FERTILISER DISSOLUTION RATES IN PUMICE SOILS UNDER *Pinus radiata*

5.1	INTRODUCTION	112
5.2	OBJECTIVES	114
5.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	114
5.3.1	Fertilisers	114
5.3.2	Field trial site and soil description	116
5.3.3	Field trial establishment	116
5.3.4	Soil sampling and analysis	118
5.3.5	Liming value	119
5.3.6	Cubic model of fertiliser dissolution	119
5.3.7	Laboratory incubation of dolomite fertiliser in soils	122
5.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	122
5.4.1	Dissolution of Mg fertiliser in field trial	122
5.4.2	Soil exchangeable Mg in field trial	126
5.4.3	Fertiliser effects on soil pH in field trial	126

5.4.4	Modelling fertiliser dissolution rates	129
5.4.4.1	Laboratory trial	129
5.4.4.2	Field trial	133
5.5	CONCLUSIONS	134

## CHAPTER 6

### PLANT INDUCED CHANGES IN MAGNESIUM AVAILABILITY IN THE RHIZOSPHERE OF *Pinus radiata* SEEDLINGS FERTILISED WITH VARIOUS FORMS AND RATES OF MAGNESIUM AND POTASSIUM FERTILISERS

6.1	INTRODUCTION	135
6.2	OBJECTIVES	137
6.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	137
6.3.1	Experiment 1	137
6.3.2	Experiment 2	141
6.3.3	Height and transpiration measurements	142
6.3.4	Soil and plant sampling	142
	6.3.4.1 Experiment 1	142
	6.3.4.2 Experiment 2	143
6.3.5	Plant and soil analysis	145
6.3.6	Analysis of covariance	146
6.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	146
6.4.1	Ectomycorrhizal growth	146
6.4.2	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on seedling growth	153
6.4.3	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on seedling cation concentrations	153
6.4.4	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on seedling cation uptake	157
6.4.5	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on seedling K to Mg ratio	157
6.4.6	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers, and plant roots on soil exchangeable Mg	159
6.4.7	Estimation of Mg movement to roots by mass flow	166
6.4.8	Influence of ectomycorrhizal hyphae on the accumulation of Mg in the rhizosphere	172
6.4.9	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers, and plant roots on soil exchangeable K	172
6.4.10	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers, and plant roots on soil exchangeable Ca	174

6.4.11	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers, and plant roots on soil exchangeable K to Mg molar ratio	174
6.4.12	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers, and plant roots on soil pH	176
6.5	CONCLUSIONS	181

## CHAPTER 7

### EFFECT OF MAGNESIUM AND POTASSIUM FERTILISERS ON LEACHING, AND SOIL AND SOIL SOLUTION CONCENTRATIONS OF MAGNESIUM AND POTASSIUM IN A PUMICE SOIL UNDER *Pinus radiata*

7.1	INTRODUCTION	183
7.2	OBJECTIVES	184
7.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	185
7.3.1	Site and soil description	185
7.3.2	Treatments	185
7.3.3	Suction cup lysimeter installation	185
7.3.4	Solution and soil sampling, and sample analysis	186
7.3.5	Foliar sampling and analysis	188
7.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	188
7.4.1	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on concentrations of soil solution Mg, K and Ca in the lysimeters at 10 cm	188
7.4.1.1	Soil solution Mg	188
7.4.1.2	Soil solution K	190
7.4.1.3	Soil solution Ca	193
7.4.2	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil solution K to Mg molar ratios in the lysimeters at 10 cm	193
7.4.3	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil pH, exchange acidity and ECEC in 0-10 cm soil layer	195
7.4.4	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil exchangeable Mg in 0-10 cm soil layer	195
7.4.5	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil exchangeable K in 0-10 cm soil layer	199
7.4.6	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil exchangeable Ca and Al in 0-10 cm soil layer	200
7.4.7	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil exchangeable K to Mg molar ratio in 0-10 cm soil layer	200
7.4.8	Comparison of concentrations of cations in soil solutions extracted by lysimeters and centrifugation	203

7.4.9	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on concentrations of soil solution Mg, K and Ca in the lysimeters at 45 cm	208
7.4.10	Estimations of leaching losses of Mg and K below 45 cm soil depth	211
7.4.11	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on foliar Mg, K and K to Mg ratio	222
7.5	CONCLUSIONS	224

## CHAPTER 8

### SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

8.1	REQUIREMENT FOR THE STUDY	226
8.2	DISSOLUTION AND EFFECTIVENESS OF CALMAG IN FIELD CONDITIONS	227
8.3	COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT MAGNESIUM FERTILISERS IN A PUMICE SOIL	229
8.4	MAGNESIUM CHEMISTRY IN THE RHIZOSPHERE OF <i>Pinus radiata</i> SEEDLINGS	230
8.5	LEACHING LOSSES OF MAGNESIUM	231
8.6	OVERALL CONCLUSION	232

	REFERENCES	233
--	------------	-----

	APPENDICES	246
--	------------	-----

<b>Appendix 1:</b>	Brief soil profile descriptions for Pekepeke sand and Kaingaroa loamy sand	246
<b>Appendix 2:</b>	Description of the UMCY scores for <i>P.radiata</i> and their corresponding numeric value	247

## LIST OF FIGURES

### CHAPTER 2

<b>Figure 2.1</b>	The Mg cycle in a <i>P. radiata</i> forest system	5
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	Structure of chlorophyll-a molecule showing Mg as the central ion. Absorption of light is due to the ring system of the molecule. In the chlorophyll-b molecule an aldehyde group (CHO) replaces the encircled methyl group (CH <sub>3</sub> ) (Mengel and Kirkby 1987)	7
<b>Figure 2.3</b>	Magnesium content (kg Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) and concentration (% Mg) on a dry weight basis of fertilised (100 kg Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) 11-year-old <i>P. radiata</i> trees (Hunter <i>et al.</i> 1986)	14
<b>Figure 2.4</b>	Magnesium deficiency in a young <i>P. radiata</i> tree	25
<b>Figure 2.5</b>	Upper mid crown yellowing in <i>P. radiata</i> trees	26

### CHAPTER 3

<b>Figure 3.1</b>	Kaingaroa Forest sites for (a) FR190/4 trial and (b) FR190/5 trial	58
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil pH, exchange acidity and ECEC for FR190/4 and FR190/5 trials. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	62
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil exchangeable cations and cation saturation of ECEC at FR190/4 trial. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	64
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil exchangeable cations and cation saturation of ECEC at FR190/5 trial. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	65
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil and soil solution K:Mg molar ratios at FR190/4 and FR190/5 trials. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	67



<b>Figure 3.6</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil solution cation concentrations and soil solution pH at FR190/4 and FR190/5 trials. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	69
<b>Figure 3.7</b>	Foliage Mg, K and K:Mg, and UMCY score in FR190/4 and FR190/5 trials for 1996 and 1997. a - Difference significant at $P = 0.05$ , n.s. - difference not significant	72

## CHAPTER 4

<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Magnesium fertiliser dissolution in 42 months after fertiliser application in the 0 - 10 cm soil layer versus (a) mean annual rainfall of the site, (b) soil exchangeable Mg, (c) percent Mg saturation of exchange capacity and (d) soil pH	90
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil pH, exchange acidity and ECEC at FR190/1, FR190/6 and FR190/7 trials	93
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil exchangeable cations and cation saturation of ECEC at FR190/1 trial. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	95
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil exchangeable cations and cation saturation of ECEC at FR190/6 trial	96
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil exchangeable cations and cation saturation of ECEC at FR190/7 trial	97
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil and soil solution K:Mg molar ratios at FR190/1, FR190/6 and FR190/7 trials. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	99
<b>Figure 4.7</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil solution cation concentrations at FR190/1 trial. Horizontal bars represent l.s.d. for treatment means which are significantly different at $P = 0.05$	103
<b>Figure 4.8</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil solution cation concentrations at FR190/6 trial	104
<b>Figure 4.9</b>	Effect of Mg fertiliser application on soil solution cation concentrations at FR190/7 trial	105

<b>Figure 4.10</b>	Foliar Mg, K and K:Mg in FR190/1 and FR190/7 trials. n.s. - differences not significant	106
<b>Figure 4.11</b>	Foliar Mg concentrations versus soil exchangeable Mg and soil solution Mg for FR190/1 and FR190/7, and FR190/4 and FR190/5 (Chapter 3)	109

## CHAPTER 5

<b>Figure 5.1</b>	Sketch of trial set-up in compartment 1079, Kaingaroa Forest	117
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	Trial setup in compartment 1079, Kaingaroa Forest	117
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	Dissolved, partially dissolved and undissolved Mg in the soil as a percentage of Mg fertiliser (200 kg Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) six months after application (CM1-calmag 1-2 mm; CM2- calmag 2-4 mm; GM-granmag; Dol-dolomite; Eps-Epsom salts; w-with litter (litter remaining); wo-without litter (litter removed)	123
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	Rates of dissolution of Mg fertilisers applied at 200 kg Mg ha <sup>-1</sup>	125
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Effect of Mg fertilisers applied at 200 kg Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> on soil pH, n.s.-no significant differences	128
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	(a) Fit of laboratory incubation data to the cubic model of Swartzendruber and Barber (1965) and (b) the slopes of the fitted lines versus geometric means for the 5 different size fractions of forestry grade dolomite	130
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	Predicted and measured rates of dissolution of Mg fertilisers applied at 200 kg Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> for the 'with-litter' plots	133

## CHAPTER 6

<b>Figure 6.1</b>	(a) Schematic representation of the root study container (RSC) technique and (b) experimental setup in the glasshouse	140
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	(a) Piston microtome and (b) slicing soils from the bottom RSC	144
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	Top view of ectomycorrhizal hyphae on the surface of the bottom RSCs of two sets of replicates from Experiment 1	147

<b>Figure 6.4</b>	Top view of ectomycorrhizal hyphae on the surface of the bottom RSCs of two replicates chosen at random from Experiment 2	148
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	Bottom view of the root mats formed above the polyester mesh in RSC and associated ectomycorrhizal hyphae of 2 replicates chosen at random from Experiment 2	149
<b>Figure 6.6</b>	(a) Top view of ectomycorrhizal hyphae on the surface of the bottom RSC of a replicate chosen at random from Experiment 1, (b), (c) and (d) the same compartment after successive 0.5 mm soil slice has been removed	151/152
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	Effect Mg fertiliser types on shoot and root Mg, K and Ca (a) concentrations and (b) uptake in Experiment 1. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ , n.s. - differences not significant at $P = 0.05$	155
<b>Figure 6.8</b>	Effect of rates of Mg and K applied as Epsom salts and $K_2SO_4$ respectively on shoot and root Mg, K and Ca (a) concentrations and (b) uptake in Experiment 2. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ , n.s. - differences not significant at $P = 0.05$	156
<b>Figure 6.9</b>	Effect of (a) Mg fertiliser types (Experiment 1) and (b) rates of Mg and K applied as Epsom salts and $K_2SO_4$ (Experiment 2) respectively on shoot and root K to Mg concentration ratio of <i>P. radiata</i> seedlings. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.1$ , n.s. - differences not significant at $P = 0.1$	158
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	Effects of Mg fertiliser types on soil exchangeable Mg, K and Ca in the rhizosphere of <i>P. radiata</i> seedlings in Experiment 1. Vertical bars correspond to l.s.d. at $P = 0.05$ for fertiliser source comparisons	160
<b>Figure 6.11</b>	Effect of rates of Mg and K applied as Epsom salts and $K_2SO_4$ respectively on soil exchangeable Mg, K and Ca in the rhizosphere of <i>P. radiata</i> seedlings in Experiment 2. Vertical bars correspond to l.s.d. at $P = 0.05$ for fertiliser treatment comparisons	163
<b>Figure 6.12</b>	Relationship between soil solution and exchangeable Mg in (a) 0-10 and (b) 10-20 cm soil depths at FR190/5 and FR190/8	168

<b>Figure 6.13</b>	Effect of (a) Mg fertiliser types (Experiment 1) and (b) rates of Mg and K applied as Epsom salts and K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> respectively (Experiment 2) on soil exchangeable K:Mg ratio in the rhizosphere of <i>P. radiata</i> seedlings. Vertical bars correspond to l.s.d. at $P = 0.05$ for fertiliser source comparison	175
<b>Figure 6.14</b>	Effect of (a) Mg fertiliser types (Experiment 1) on soil pH (water, 1:2.5 soil to solution w/w ratio) and (b) rates of Mg and K applied as Epsom salts and K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> respectively (Experiment 2) on soil pH (water and 0.1 M CaCl <sub>2</sub> ; 1:2.5 soil to solution w/w ratio) in the rhizosphere of <i>P. radiata</i> seedlings	177
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>		
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	Lysimeters installation at FR190/8 trial	186
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil solution concentrations of Mg in lysimeters at 10 cm soil depth in FR190/8. Vertical bars represent l.s.d. at $P = 0.05$ . (Note change of scale after day 180)	189
<b>Figure 7.3</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil solution concentrations of K in lysimeters at 10 cm soil depth in FR190/8. Vertical bars represent l.s.d. at $P = 0.05$ . (Note change of scale after day 180)	191
<b>Figure 7.4</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil solution concentrations of Ca in lysimeters at 10 cm soil depth in FR190/8. Vertical bars represent l.s.d. at $P = 0.05$ . (Note change of scale at day 180)	192
<b>Figure 7.5</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil solution K:Mg molar ratio in lysimeters at 10 cm soil depth in FR190/8. Vertical bars represent l.s.d. $P = 0.05$	194
<b>Figure 7.6</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil pH, exchange acidity and ECEC in the top 10 cm soil layer at FR190/8, 180 and 550 days after application. n.s. - no significant difference between treatment means	196
<b>Figure 7.7</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil exchangeable cations in the top 10 cm soil layer at FR190/8, 180 and 550 days after application. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . n.s. - no significant difference between treatment means	197

<b>Figure 7.8</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on cation saturation of ECEC in the top 10 cm soil layer at FR190/8, 180 and 550 days after application. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . n.s. - no significant difference between treatment means	201
<b>Figure 7.9</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on soil exchangeable K:Mg molar ratio in the top 10 cm soil layer at FR190/8, 180 and 550 days after application. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$	202
<b>Figure 7.10</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on centrifuged soil solution Mg, K and Ca concentrations, and pH in the top 10 cm soil layer at FR190/8, 180 and 550 days after application. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at $P = 0.05$ . n.s. - no significant difference between treatment means	207
<b>Figure 7.11</b>	Effect of Mg and K fertilisers on concentrations of Mg, K and Ca in soil solution in lysimeters at 45 cm soil depth in FR190/8. Vertical bars represent l.s.d. at $P = 0.05$	209
<b>Figure 7.12</b>	Foliage Mg and K concentrations, and K:Mg concentration ratios in FR190/8, in each of the three years after fertiliser application. n.s. - no significant difference between treatment means	223

## LIST OF TABLES

### CHAPTER 2

<b>Table 2.1</b>	Rates of Mg uptake for a range of forest trees	12
<b>Table 2.2</b>	Distribution of exchangeable magnesium and 'reserve' magnesium (means) for the topsoil (0 to 15-20 cm soil depth) of some major New Zealand soil groups (adapted from Metson and Brooks 1975)	30
<b>Table 2.3</b>	Selected examples of the main magnesium-containing soil minerals	32
<b>Table 2.4</b>	Exchangeable Mg concentrations in unfertilised pumice soils under <i>P. radiata</i>	36
<b>Table 2.5</b>	Selected magnesium fertilisers	37

### CHAPTER 3

<b>Table 3.1</b>	Site and soil descriptions of the field trials	57
<b>Table 3.2</b>	Details for the field trials	59
<b>Table 3.3</b>	Dissolved and undissolved fertiliser Mg in 1996 soil samples at FR190/4 and FR190/5 trials	70
<b>Table 3.4</b>	Correlation coefficients for linear relationships between various soil (0 - 5 cm depth) and foliar Mg and K concentrations at FR190/4 trial	75
<b>Table 3.5</b>	Correlation coefficients for linear relationships between various soil (0-5 cm depth) and foliar Mg and K concentrations at FR190/5 trial	76

### CHAPTER 4

<b>Table 4.1</b>	Site and soil descriptions of the field trials	83
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Details for the field trials	84

<b>Table 4.3</b>	Dissolved and undissolved fertiliser Mg in soil samples taken from the field trials 90 months (FR190/1) and 42 months (FR190/6 and FR190/7) after fertiliser application	86
<b>Table 4.4</b>	Correlation coefficients for linear relationships between various soil (0-5 cm depth) and foliar Mg and K concentrations at FR190/1 and FR190/7 trials	108

## CHAPTER 5

<b>Table 5.1</b>	Characteristics of the fertilisers used	115
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Fertiliser dissolution rate constants calculated using Chatupote's (1990) model and soil exchangeable Mg and pH (soil depth 0–10 cm) at 12 and 27 months	127
<b>Table 5.3</b>	Dissolution rate constants for dolomite size fractions investigated in the laboratory incubation study as calculated by (A) the computer based cubic model for S <sup>o</sup> oxidation of Chatupote (1990) and (B) the cubic model for limestone dissolution of Swartzendruber and Barber (1965)	132

## CHAPTER 6

<b>Table 6.1</b>	Characteristics of the soils used	138
<b>Table 6.2</b>	Ion concentrations in solution used to water the RSCs in the second rhizosphere study (Middleton and Toxopeus 1973)	142
<b>Table 6.3</b>	Effect of (a) various Mg fertilisers and (b) Epsom salts and K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> fertilisers on increase <sup>A</sup> in shoot and root dry matter yields, shoot to root dry matter ratio, increase <sup>A</sup> in seedling height and root length. Data represent means of four replicates in Experiment 1 and three replicates in Experiment 2. Means are adjusted for the covariate of initial seedling height.	154
<b>Table 6.4</b>	Ratio of pH(water) and nutrient concentrations at various distances from the roots to those in the bulk soil in Experiment 1	161

<b>Table 6.5</b>	Ratio of pH(water) and nutrient concentrations at various distances from the roots to those in the bulk soil in Experiment 2.	165
<b>Table 6.6</b>	Estimation of the proportions of seedling uptake of Mg as supplied by mass flow in Experiment 1	170
<b>Table 6.7</b>	Estimation of the proportions of seedling uptake of Mg as supplied by mass flow in Experiment 2	171
<b>Table 6.8</b>	Cation and anion balance in <i>P. radiata</i> seedlings grown in pumice soil treated with (a) various Mg fertilisers applied at 75 mg Mg kg <sup>-1</sup> of soil (Experiment 1) and (b) different rates of application of Epsom salts and K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> (Experiment 2)	180

## CHAPTER 7

<b>Table 7.1</b>	Comparison of concentrations of Mg and K in soil solutions (mean ± standard errors) extracted by lysimeters and centrifugation	204
<b>Table 7.2</b>	Soil water balance for the top 45 cm soil layer using Priestly-Taylor equation (equation 7.1) to estimate $E_t$ at FR190/8 ( $P$ - rainfall; $E_i$ - canopy interception; $E_t$ - dry canopy transpiration; $W_A$ - readily available soil water; $D$ - drainage)	215
<b>Table 7.3</b>	Soil water balance for the top 45 cm soil layer using amended* Priestly-Taylor equation (equation 7.1) to estimate $E_t$ at FR190/8 ( $P$ - rainfall; $E_i$ - canopy interception; $E_t$ - dry canopy transpiration; $W_A$ - readily available soil water; $D$ - drainage)	217
<b>Table 7.4</b>	Estimates of Mg leached from the top 45 cm of soil from 1 October 1996 to 31 March 1998 at FR190/8	219
<b>Table 7.5</b>	Estimates of K leached from the top 45 cm of soil from 1 October 1996 to 31 March 1998 at FR190/8	220