

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**CHEMICAL MANIPULATION OF *LOTUS ULIGINOSUS* SCHK.  
CV. 'GRASSLANDS MAKU' GROWN FOR SEED PRODUCTION.**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the  
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
in Agricultural Science with specialization  
in Seed Technology  
at Massey University  
Palmerston North  
New Zealand**

**ROGELIO S. TABORA**

**1991**

## ABSTRACT

This thesis reports results of experiments conducted at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand on seed production of 'Grasslands Maku' lotus (*Lotus uliginosus* Schk. syn. *Lotus pedunculatus* Cav.). Both field (2 years) and single plant (1 year) studies were conducted, and plant responses to the effects of three plant growth regulating chemicals applied at different rates and times, and the effects of hand cutting, were recorded.

Chapter 3 records an analysis of growth of individual Maku lotus plants and outlines the morphological characteristics which may limit seed yield potential. One major factor influencing seed yield, in addition to the crop's long indeterminate flowering and pod shattering characteristics, was the competition which developed between vegetative and reproductive growth for photosynthetic assimilate. The plant continuously produced new main shoots which, along with the growth and development of lateral shoots, cumulatively added to the dense vegetative 'bulk' during the early summer under increasing conditions of daylength and temperature. Intense lateral branching occurred at the same sites as inflorescences also appeared in November. Intensive vegetative and reproductive growth developed simultaneously during the flowering months (December and January). As a result, physiological compensation became important and was expressed in floret bud, floret and pod abortion which decreased potential seed yield. Reproductive abortion was more prevalent in the early and late season flowers. Main shoots originating from September to December contributed most to final seed yield.

Chapter 4 deals with results of a two-year field experiment (1988-90) investigating the effects of foliar-applied plant growth regulators (paclobutrazol and cycocel for two years, Alar for one year), at different rates and times of application; and hand cutting (for one year) at the same times as chemical application. Paclobutrazol significantly altered vegetative shoot development by reducing shoot internode length and total dry matter yield, and by inhibiting apical dominance thus inducing lateral branching which subsequently increased reproductive sites. Paclobutrazol application rates of 0.5 or 1.0 kg ai/ha in early October (50 days before

the onset of flowering) significantly increased seed yield by 53.7 and 75.9% respectively in 1988-89 and at 54.8 and 111.9% respectively in 1989-90. These yield increases were due to increased numbers of flowers in the first year and an increase in numbers of pods per umbel and seeds per pod in the second year. Paclobutrazol (1.0 kg ai/ha) applied in November or December also significantly increased seed yield by 63.3 and 53.7% respectively in the first year and by 68.6 and 67.2% respectively in the second year. Residual effects of paclobutrazol on the succeeding crop of Maku lotus also significantly increased seed yield by about 79.4% compared to the untreated plants but analysis of yield components did not clearly explain why.

Cycocel application did not retard internode length or increase flowering. However, application at 2.5 kg a.i./ha in early October (50 days before flowering) significantly increased seed yield in both years (89.6 and 92.2%, respectively). Cycocel applied in November and December and at a lower rate (1.25 kg ai/ha) also increased seed yield but to a lesser extent and was less consistent in its effect. These yield increases resulted from an improvement in seed yield components, particularly enhanced pods per umbel and seeds per pod. Although cycocel did not reduce the dense vegetative 'bulk' of the crop, its cost-effectiveness makes it a cheaper alternative (about 94% cost saving) than paclobutrazol.

Alar application and hand cutting which were used only during the first year, did not affect main shoot internode length, seed yield components or germination percentage. Alar applied in October at two rates (2.0 and 4.0 kg ai/ha) increased seed yield by 76.6 and 86.1% as a result of increased main and lateral shoot production which consequently increased the number of flowers per unit area. Later application (November and December) did not produce this effect. Hand cutting, especially in December, delayed flowering and depressed seed yield due to the removal of earlier-formed main shoots (September, October and November) which are major contributors to seed yield.

The plant responded positively to the applications of paclobutrazol and cycocel during the mid-season flowering by decreasing reproductive abortion as shown by the increased number of pods per umbel and seeds per pod. The early and late season flowers did not respond to the effects of these chemicals.

Chapter 5 reports single plant studies on the effects of paclobutrazol and cycocel applied at 1.0 or 1.25 kg a.i./ha, respectively on shoot growth and reproductive development. Both chemicals significantly altered shoot growth in favour of reproductive growth. Paclobutrazol applied in early October (48 days prior to flowering) significantly reduced the number of main shoots following treatment, but increased the number of lateral shoots and altered the plant structure by inducing earlier branching at lower nodes on main shoots. Cycocel applied at the same plant growth stage did not alter the shoot numbers but, like paclobutrazol, induced the earlier formation of lateral shoots prior to flowering. Both chemicals effectively altered the time of vegetative and reproductive growth, reduced competition for assimilates in favour of seed yield, and increased pods per umbel and seeds per pod. The same PGRs applied later (November and December) did not alter plant structure or time of occurrence of vegetative and reproductive growth. The implications of crop manipulation for improving seed yield in *Lotus uliginosus* are discussed.

Chapter 6 highlights the major conclusions and recommendations from this study, and suggests areas for future research.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

*I wish to express my sincere thanks and deep gratitude to the following:*

*Professor Murray J. Hill, my chief supervisor and Director of the Seed Technology Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand; for his wise counsel, untiring guidance, understanding and encouragement throughout my study i.e. during my course work, the conduct of my field experiments; for his constructive criticism and patient correction of my thesis; and for his ready smile, humour, friendly and invaluable advice whenever I needed it.*

*Dr. John G. Hampton, my second supervisor, for his expert guidance, especially for the agronomic management strategies during the conduct of my field experiments, for editing my thesis and for his contribution to the discussion of results.*

*Dr. Alex C. P. Chu (Agronomy Department), my third supervisor, for his brief but wise advice, for editing my thesis, and for his contribution to the discussion of results.*

*To all other members of the Seed Technology Centre staff: Dr. Peter Coolbear, Mrs. Karen Johnstone, Mr. Craig McGill, Mrs. Dulcie Humphrey and Mr. Robert Southward for their valuable assistance in many ways.*

*The New Zealand Government, through the Ministry of External Relations and Trade for its Overseas Development Assistance programme which financed my study at Massey University.*

*The Executive and Administrative Council of the University of Southern Mindanao, Kabacan, Cotabato, Philippines, my home office; for approving my study leave and endorsing my scholarship grant.*

*To all postgraduate students of the Seed Technology Centre, Massey University, i.e. Abe and Fendi, for their friendship, camaraderie, humour and help, especially those who helped harvest my lotus crop.*

*To my loving wife Florecita and children; Anne Catherine, Sheryl May, John Aries and Angeli for their love, moral support and understanding.*

*To all who in one way or another made my life in New Zealand pleasant and memorable.*

*And finally to Almighty God for showering me His graces of good health, luck and good disposition, and for His Divine Guidance.*

***From the innermost recesses of my heart, Thank you!***

**ROGER**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	vi
List of Tables .....	xiv
List of Figures.....	xviii
List of Plates .....	xx
List of Appendices .....	xxiii
<b>CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>	
<i>PART 2.1. 'GRASSLANDS MAKU' LOTUS.....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>2.1.1. The Origin of Lotus uliginosus. ....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>2.1.2. Nomenclature of Lotus uliginosus, Schk.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>2.1.3. Breeding of 'Grasslands Maku' lotus.....</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>2.1.4. Vegetative and Reproductive development.....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>2.1.5. The uses of 'Grasslands Maku' lotus.....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>2.1.5.1. As a pioneer forage legume. ....</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>2.1.5.2. As a forage legume. ....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>2.1.5.3. Forest Grazing.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>2.1.5.4. Re-vegetation of eroded areas. ....</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>2.1.5.5. Stabilization of roadsides. ....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>2.1.6. The Agronomy of seed production in</i> <i>'Grasslands Maku' lotus.....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>2.1.6.1. Establishment and sowing. ....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>2.1.6.1.1. Sowing. ....</i>	<i>11</i>

2.1.6.1.2.	<i>Land preparation and inoculation.</i>	12
2.1.6.1.3.	<i>Sowing rate.</i>	12
2.1.6.1.4.	<i>Time of sowing.</i>	12
2.1.6.2.	<i>Weed control.</i>	12
2.1.6.2.1.	<i>Selection of seed production area.</i>	13
2.1.6.2.2.	<i>Good land preparation and management.</i>	13
2.1.6.2.3.	<i>The use of herbicides.</i>	13
2.1.6.2.3.1.	<i>Pre-plant application.</i>	14
2.1.6.2.3.2.	<i>Pre-emergence application.</i>	15
2.1.6.2.3.3.	<i>Post emergence application.</i>	15
2.1.6.2.4.	<i>Lenient defoliation or grazing.</i>	16
2.1.6.3.	<i>Insect pest control.</i>	16
2.1.6.3.1.	<i>The tomato fruit worm or corn earworm.</i>	16
2.1.6.3.2.	<i>The Australian crop mirid, leaf roller, potato bug, aphids and the common blue butterfly</i>	16
2.1.6.4.	<i>Soil fertility requirements.</i>	17
2.1.6.5.	<i>Defoliation and grazing management.</i>	17
2.1.6.6.	<i>Pollination.</i>	18
2.1.6.7.	<i>Irrigation.</i>	18
2.1.6.8.	<i>Time and method of harvesting.</i>	18
2.1.6.9.	<i>Seed cleaning.</i>	19
2.1.7.	<i>Seed production problems in 'Grasslands Maku' lotus.</i>	19
2.1.7.1.	<i>Extended flowering period.</i>	19
2.1.7.1.1.	<i>Controlled Irrigation.</i>	19
2.1.7.1.2.	<i>Biological Method.</i>	20
2.1.7.1.3.	<i>The Use of Plant Growth Retardants.</i>	20
2.1.7.2.	<i>Pod Shattering.</i>	21
2.1.7.3.	<i>Dense vegetative growth.</i>	21
2.1.7.4.	<i>Competition for assimilates.</i>	22
PART 2.2.	<i>THE USE OF PLANT GROWTH RETARDANTS.</i>	22
2.2.1.	<i>Plant growth retardants and objectives of their use.</i>	23

2.2.2.	<i>Paclobutrazol</i> .....	24
2.2.2.1.	<i>Mode of Action</i> .....	24
2.2.2.2.	<i>Uptake</i> .....	24
2.2.2.3.	<i>Growth Responses to paclobutrazol</i> .....	25
2.2.2.3.1.	<i>Vegetative growth</i> .....	25
2.2.2.3.1.1.	<i>Effect on plant shoots</i> .....	25
2.2.2.3.1.2.	<i>Effect on individual internodes and lodging</i> .....	26
2.2.2.3.1.3.	<i>Effect on leaf and leaf area</i> .....	27
2.2.2.3.2.	<i>Effect on Roots</i> .....	28
2.2.2.3.3.	<i>Reproductive Development</i> .....	29
2.2.2.3.3.1.	<i>Effect on flowering and flowering pattern</i> .....	29
2.2.2.3.3.2.	<i>Effect on yield components</i> .....	30
2.2.2.3.3.3.	<i>Effect on fruits or seed yield</i> .....	31
2.2.2.3.3.4.	<i>Effect on seed viability and germination</i> .....	32
2.2.2.3.4.	<i>Effect on photosynthesis</i> .....	32
2.2.2.3.5.	<i>Chlorophyll content</i> .....	33
2.2.2.4.	<i>Stress tolerance</i> .....	33
2.2.2.4.1.	<i>Water stress</i> .....	33
2.2.2.4.2.	<i>Other stresses</i> .....	34
2.2.2.5.	<i>Senescence</i> .....	35
2.2.2.6.	<i>Residual Effects of Paclobutrazol</i> .....	35
2.2.3.	<i>Chlormequat chloride</i> .....	36
2.2.3.1.	<i>Growth Responses to CCC</i> .....	37
2.2.3.1.1.	<i>Effects on plant shoot height, internode length and lodging</i> .....	37
2.2.3.1.1.1.	<i>Effect on tillering of grass crops</i> .....	38
2.2.3.1.2.	<i>Effect on Yield components</i> .....	39
2.2.3.1.3.	<i>Effect on Seed Yield</i> .....	39
2.2.3.1.4.	<i>Other effects</i> .....	40
2.2.3.1.5.	<i>Mode of application</i> .....	41
2.2.3.1.6.	<i>Time of application</i> .....	41

2.2.3.2.	<i>Residual Effects.</i> .....	42
2.2.3.2.1.	<i>In Plants and Grains</i> .....	42
2.2.3.2.2.	<i>Residual effects to succeeding crops.</i> .....	42
2.2.3.3.	<i>Metabolism of CCC by cereals.</i> .....	42
2.2.4.	<i>Daminozide</i> .....	43
2.2.5.	<i>Some Hypotheses on Chemical Selectivity between Species.</i> .....	44
2.2.5.	<i>Concluding Remarks</i> .....	45

**CHAPTER 3: AN EXAMINATION OF VEGETATIVE AND REPRODUCTIVE GROWTH HABITS AND THEIR CONTRIBUTION TO SEED YIELD IN 'GRASSLANDS MAKU' LOTUS (*LOTUS ULIGINOSUS* SCHK.).**

3.1.	<i>INTRODUCTION</i> .....	46
3.2.	<i>Materials and Methods</i> .....	48
3.2.1.	<i>Materials and methods used.</i> .....	48
3.2.2.	<i>Definition of terms used.</i> .....	49
3.3.	<i>RESULTS</i> .....	51
3.3.1.	<i>Vegetative Growth</i> .....	51
3.3.1.1.	<i>Number of main shoots.</i> .....	51
3.3.1.2.	<i>Number of nodes per main shoot.</i> .....	52
3.3.1.3.	<i>Number of primary lateral shoots.</i> .....	52
3.3.1.4.	<i>Number of secondary lateral shoots.</i> .....	52
3.3.2.	<i>Reproductive growth</i> .....	56
3.3.2.1.	<i>Reproductive growth in relation to climate</i> .....	56
3.3.2.2.	<i>Number of umbels per plant contributed by the plant shoots by month of origin.</i> .....	59
3.3.3.	<i>Components of seed yield</i> .....	61
3.3.3.1.	<i>The flowering pattern.</i> .....	61
3.3.3.2.	<i>Number of floret or pod per umbel.</i> .....	61
3.3.3.3.	<i>Number of seeds per pod, thousand seed weight,</i>	

	<i>and seed yield.</i> .....	64
3.4.	<i>DISCUSSION</i> .....	67
3.4.1.	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	70

**CHAPTER 4: EFFECTS OF HAND CUTTING AND CHEMICAL  
MANIPULATION ON SEED YIELD OF 'GRASSLANDS MAKU' LOTUS (*LOTUS  
ULIGINOSUS* SCHK.)**

4.1.	<i>INTRODUCTION</i> .....	71
4.1.1.	<i>Objectives of the study:</i> .....	73
4.2.	<i>MATERIALS AND METHODS</i> .....	74
4.2.1.	<i>Experimental site and cultural management.</i> .....	74
4.2.2.	<i>Treatments.</i> .....	74
4.2.3.	<i>Experimental design and statistical analysis.</i> .....	78
4.2.4.	<i>Plant morphology.</i> .....	78
4.2.5.	<i>Inflorescence production.</i> .....	78
4.2.6.	<i>Yield components.</i> .....	79
4.2.7.	<i>Seed yield data gathering.</i> .....	81
4.2.8.	<i>Seed Germination.</i> .....	81
4.2.9.	<i>Weather data.</i> .....	81
4.3.	<i>RESULTS</i> .....	83
4.3.1.	<i>The weather data for the 1988-90 cropping years.</i> .....	83
4.3.2.	<i>EFFECTS OF PACLOBUTRAZOL.</i> .....	85
4.3.2.1	<i>Effects on plant shoots.</i> .....	85
4.3.2.2.	<i>Effect on internode length (cm).</i> .....	85
4.3.2.3.	<i>Effects on the number of inflorescences and flowering pattern.</i> .....	89
4.3.2.4.	<i>Effects on the components of seed yield.</i> .....	95
4.3.2.5.	<i>Effects on seed yield.</i> .....	98
4.3.2.6.	<i>Effects on floret and pod abortion.</i> .....	102
4.3.2.7.	<i>Residual Effects.</i> .....	102

4.3.2.8.	<i>Effects on seed germination.....</i>	105
4.3.3.	<i>EFFECTS OF CYCOCEL.....</i>	105
4.3.3.1.	<i>Effects on plant shoots.....</i>	105
4.3.3.2.	<i>Effect on number of inflorescences, flowering period and pattern. ....</i>	109
4.3.3.3.	<i>Effects on yield components.....</i>	116
4.3.3.4.	<i>Effect on seed yield. ....</i>	116
4.3.3.5.	<i>Effect on floret or pod abortion. ....</i>	120
4.3.3.6.	<i>Effect on seed germination. ....</i>	120
4.3.4.	<i>EFFECTS OF ALAR.....</i>	120
4.3.4.1.	<i>Effects on plant shoots.....</i>	120
4.3.4.2.	<i>Effect on the number of inflorescences, flowering period and pattern. ....</i>	122
4.3.4.3.	<i>Effects on yield components and seed yield.....</i>	122
4.3.5.	<i>EFFECTS OF HAND CUTTING.....</i>	128
4.3.5.1.	<i>Effects on plant shoots.....</i>	128
4.3.5.2.	<i>Effects on the number of inflorescences, flowering period and pattern. ....</i>	128
4.3.5.3.	<i>Effects on yield components and seed yield.....</i>	136
4.3.6.	<i>TREATMENT COMPARISON.....</i>	136
4.4.	<i>DISCUSSION.....</i>	142
4.4.1.	<i>Apical dominance and internode length.....</i>	142
4.4.2.	<i>Shoot growth.....</i>	143
4.4.3.	<i>Time and rate of treatment application.....</i>	144
4.4.3.1.	<i>Flowering period and pattern.....</i>	144
4.4.3.2.	<i>Flower numbers as affected by time and rate of treatment.....</i>	145
4.4.4.3.	<i>Seed yield as affected by time and rate of treatment. ....</i>	146
4.4.5.	<i>Flower and seed abortion.....</i>	148
4.4.6.	<i>Seed quality and seed weight.....</i>	149
4.4.7.	<i>Residual effects.....</i>	150

4.4.8.	<i>Summary and conclusions.</i> .....	151
--------	---------------------------------------	-----

**CHAPTER 5: MODIFICATION OF SHOOT GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT BY GROWTH RETARDANTS AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON SEED YIELD IN 'GRASSLANDS MAKU' LOTUS (*LOTUS ULIGINOSUS* SCHK.)**

5.1.	<i>INTRODUCTION</i> .....	153
5.2.	<i>MATERIALS AND METHODS</i> .....	155
5.3.	<i>RESULTS</i> .....	158
5.3.1.	<i>Climate Data</i> .....	158
5.3.2.	<i>The number of main shoots per plant and time of occurrence.</i> .....	158
5.3.3.	<i>Time of reproductive growth and development.</i> .....	161
5.3.4.	<i>The number of reproductive and vegetative shoots per plant</i> .....	161
5.3.5.	<i>Dry matter and dry weight of roots.</i> .....	163
5.3.6.	<i>The number of nodes per main shoot.</i> .....	163
5.3.7.	<i>Internode length of main shoots.</i> .....	165
5.3.8.	<i>Number of primary lateral shoots per plant and time of occurrence.</i> .....	165
5.3.9.	<i>Location of site of primary lateral shoot occurrence.</i> .....	165
5.3.10.	<i>Contribution of main shoots by month of occurrence to the number of primary lateral shoots.</i> .....	172
5.3.11.	<i>Inflorescences per reproductive shoot</i> .....	172
5.3.12.	<i>Site of umbels from shoots</i> .....	178
5.3.13.	<i>Flowering pattern.</i> .....	178
5.3.14.	<i>Number of pods per umbel</i> .....	178
5.3.15.	<i>Number of seeds per pod.</i> .....	182
5.3.16.	<i>Seed weight.</i> .....	182

5.3.17.	<i>Seed yield</i> .....	182
5.4.	<i>DISCUSSION</i> .....	187
5.4.1.	<i>Early October application of paclobutrazol</i> .....	187
5.4.2.	<i>Early October application of cycocel</i> .....	188
5.4.3.	<i>Early November application</i> .....	189
5.4.4.	<i>Early December application</i> .....	190
5.4.5.	<i>Effects on seed yield components, flowering and root dry weight</i> .....	191
5.4.6.	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	191

## CHAPTER 6: GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1.	<i>'Grasslands Maku lotus as a seed producer</i> .....	193
6.2.	<i>Effects of climate</i> .....	194
6.3.	<i>Plant growth retardant effects</i> .....	194
6.3.1.	<i>Paclobutrazol effects</i> .....	195
6.3.2.	<i>Cycocel effects</i> .....	196
6.3.3.	<i>Alar effects</i> .....	197
6.4.	<i>Effects of time and rate of PGR application</i> .....	198
6.5.	<i>Effects of PGRs on seed weight</i> .....	200
6.6.	<i>Hand cutting treatment effects</i> .....	200
6.7.	<i>Abortion of florets, pods per umbel and ovules per carpel</i> .....	201
6.8.	<i>Conclusions and recommendations</i> .....	202
	<i>REFERENCES</i> .....	205
	<i>Appendices</i> .....	233

*LIST OF TABLES*

Table 2.1. Lotus and clover yields three years after sowing on a range of tussock grassland sites (kg DM/ha).....	8
Table 2.2. Comparative liveweight gains of sheep grazing Maku lotus and other pasture species. ....	9
Table 3.1. Mean number of main shoots per plant occurring each month and percentage survival at harvest. ....	51
Table 3.2. Number of nodes per plant formed each month on main shoots (assessed at harvest, 10 February). ....	53
Table 3.3. Number of primary lateral shoots formed per month on main shoots originating each month and their location of emergence from the main shoot nodes (assessed at harvest, 10 February 1990). ....	53
Table 3.4. Number of secondary lateral shoots per plant and their main shoot origin (assessed at harvest, 10 February). ....	55
Table 3.5. Number of umbels per plant formed at different reproductive shoots and number of open flowers and flower buds still existing at harvest (10 February 1990). ....	60
Table 3.6. Number of floret buds per flower, florets per flower and pods per umbel at three different stages of the flowering pattern, and date of sampling at each stage. ....	63
Table 3.7. Number of seeds per pod, one thousand seed weight and seed yield per plant. ....	66
Table 4.1. Comparison of commercial price of cultar (paclobutrazol) and cycocel (chlormequat chloride).....	73
Table 4.2. Cultural management and experimental details for two cropping seasons. ....	76
Table 4.3. List of treatments and time of application. ....	77
Table 4.4. Paclobutrazol effects on the number of main and lateral shoots/m <sup>2</sup> (First year seed crop 1988-89). ....	86

Table 4.5. Paclobutrazol effects on the number of main and lateral shoots/m <sup>2</sup> and dry matter yield (Second Year 1989-90). .....	87
Table 4.6. Paclobutrazol effects on the number of inflorescences present at 7 DAPF, total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole season, pods per umbel, seeds per pod and thousand seed weight (First year seed crop 1988-89).....	92
Table 4.7. Paclobutrazol effects on the number of inflorescences/m <sup>2</sup> present at 7 DAPF, the total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole season, pods per umbel, ovules per carpel, seeds per pod and thousand seed weight (Second Year 1989-90). .....	93
Table 4.8. Paclobutrazol effect on seed yield (kg/ha), potential seed yield and percentage of actual seed yield obtained relative to the potential seed yield (First year 1988-89). .....	100
Table 4.9. Paclobutrazol effects on the seed yield (kg/ha), harvest index (%), potential seed yield (kg/ha) and actual seed yield as a percentage of the potential seed yield (Second Year 1989-90).....	101
Table 4.10. Paclobutrazol effects on floret and pod abortion per inflorescence from the early, middle and late season flowers (Second year 1989-90). .....	103
Table 4.11. Residual effects of paclobutrazol on the reproductive shoots, yield components and seed yield of Maku lotus one year after application. ....	106
Table 4.12. Cycocel effects on the number of main and lateral shoots/m <sup>2</sup> (First year 1988-89). .....	107
Table 4.13. Cycocel effects on the number of main and lateral shoots/m <sup>2</sup> and dry matter yield (Second Year 1989-90). .....	108
Table 4.14. Cycocel effects on the number of inflorescences/m <sup>2</sup> present at 7 DAPF, total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole season, pods per umbel, number of seeds per pod and thousand seed weight. (First year 1988-89).....	112
Table 4.15. Cycocel effects on the number of inflorescences present at 7 DAPF/m <sup>2</sup> , total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole season, pods per umbel, ovules per carpel, seeds per pod, and thousand seed weight (Second Year 1989-90). .....	113

Table 4.16. Cycocel effect on seed yield (kg/ha), potential seed yield and percentage of actual seed yield obtained relative to the potential seed yield (First year seed crop 1988-89). .....	118
Table 4.17. Cycocel effects on the seed yield (kg/ha), harvest index (%), potential seed yield (kg/ha) and actual seed yield as a percentage of the potential seed yield (%) (Second Year 1989-90). .....	119
Table 4.18. Cycocel effects on floret and pod abortion per inflorescence from the early, middle and late season flowers (Second year 1989-90). .....	121
Table 4.19. Alar effects on the number of main and lateral shoots/m <sup>2</sup> (First year 1988-89). .....	123
Table 4.20. Alar effects on the number of inflorescences/m <sup>2</sup> present at 7 DAPF, total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole season, pods per umbel, seeds per pod and thousand seed weight (First year 1988-89). .....	126
Table 4.21. Alar effects on the seed yield (kg/ha), potential seed yield and percentage of actual seed yield obtained relative to the potential seed yield (First year 1988-89). .....	129
Table 4.22. Hand cutting effects on the number of main and lateral shoots /.1m <sup>2</sup> (First year seed crop 1988-89). .....	130
Table 4.23. Hand cutting effects on the number of inflorescences/m <sup>2</sup> present at 7 DAPF, total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole season, pods per umbel, seeds per pod and thousand seed weight. (First year 1988-89). .....	133
Table 4.24. Hand cutting effect on seed yield (kg/ha), potential seed yield and percentage of actual seed yield obtained relative to the potential seed yield (First year 1988-89). .....	137
Table 4.25. Total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and time of PGRs application and hand cutting (First year 1988-89). ....	138
Table 4.26. Seed yield (kg/ha) of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and time of PGRs application and hand cutting (First year seed crop 1988-89). .....	139
Table 4.27. Total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> and seed yield of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of paclobutrazol and	

cycocel application (Second year 1989-90).....	141
Table 5.1.A. The stages of plant growth and date of PGR application. ....	155
Table 5.1.B. Date of tagging and colour of wires used each time. ....	156
Table 5.2. Number and percentage of reproductive and vegetative main shoot, primary and secondary lateral shoots, total dry matter yield and dry weight of roots per plant as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages (assessed at harvest - February 10, 1990).....	162
Table 5.3. Total number of nodes per main shoot originating from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages (assessed at harvest).....	164
Table 5.4. Number of umbels contributed from the different reproductive shoots at harvest (10 February 1990).....	179
Table 5.5. The effects of paclobutrazol and cycocel on the number of pods per umbel from the main shoots originating from August to January (1989-90). ....	181
Table 5.6. Number of seeds per pod from main shoot groups originating from August to December (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different plant growth stages. ....	183
Table 5.7. Seed weight, seed yield and harvest index per plant as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different plant growth stages (determined at harvest - 10 February 1990). ....	184

**LIST OF FIGURES**

- Figure 3.1. Reproductive growth in relation to temperature and daylength. PLS - primary lateral shoots, RepMS -reproductive main shoots, SLS - secondary lateral shoots, VegMS - vegetative main shoots, I - Standard error of means bars. ....57
- Figure 3.2. The flowering pattern of 'Grasslands Maku' lotus in relation to daylength and temperature. O - daylength, \* - temperature, X - flowering pattern. ....62
- Figure 4.1. Daylength, temperature and rainfall data for Palmerston North in two cropping seasons (1988-90). ....84
- Figure 4.2. The internode length of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of paclobutrazol application (First year seed crop 1988-89). X - Control, \* - 0.5 paclobutrazol, O - 1.0 paclobutrazol, I - LSD(0.05). ....90
- Figure 4.3. The flowering pattern of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of paclobutrazol application (First year seed crop 1988-89). ....96
- Figure 4.4. The flowering pattern of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of paclobutrazol application (Second year seed crop 1989-90). ....97
- Figure 4.5. The internode length of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of cycocel application (First year seed crop 1988-89). ....111
- Figure 4.6. The flowering pattern of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of cycocel application (First year seed crop 1988-89). ....114
- Figure 4.7. The flowering pattern of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of cycocel application (Second year seed crop 1988-89). ....115
- Figure 4.8. Internode length of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of Alar application (First year seed crop 1988-89). ....127
- Figure 4.9. The flowering pattern of Maku lotus as affected by different rates and times of Alar treatment (First year seed crop 1988-89). ....127
- Figure 4.10. Internode length of Maku lotus as affected by different times of hand cutting treatment (First year seed crop 1988-89). ....131

- Figure 4.11. The flowering pattern of Maku lotus as affected by different times of hand cutting treatment (First year seed crop 1988-89)..... 134
- Figure 5.1. Daylength, temperature and rainfall data for Palmerston North in 1988-89 with the normal mean daily temperature and monthly rainfall (average for 30 years). ..... 159
- Figure 5.2. The number and time of main shoot occurrence per plant as affected by paclobutrazol (A) and cycocel (B) treatments and the time of bud formation, flowering and pod development (A). ..... 160
- Figure 5.3. The number and time of reproductive primary lateral shoot occurrence per plant as affected by paclobutrazol (A) and cycocel (B) treatments..... 169
- Figure 5.4. The number of reproductive primary lateral shoots formed on nodes of main shoots per plant as affected by paclobutrazol (A) and cycocel (B) treatments..... 173
- Figure 5.5. The contribution of different main shoots to the number of reproductive primary lateral shoots per plant as affected by paclobutrazol (A) and cycocel (B) application. .... 175
- Figure 5.6. Contribution of each main shoot group by month of occurrence to the number of matured umbels per plant as affected by paclobutrazol (A) and cycocel (B) application (assessed at harvest, 10 February, 1989). ..... 176
- Figure 5.7. The flowering pattern per plant as affected by paclobutrazol (A) and cycocel (B) treatments. .... 180
- Figure 5.8. Seed yield per plant of 'Grasslands Maku' lotus from each main shoot groupings by month of occurrence as affected by paclobutrazol (A) and cycocel (B) application. .... 185

## *LIST OF PLATES*

- Plate 3.1. 'Grasslands Maku' lotus - an indeterminate legume. The plant bears a wide range of inflorescence maturity from flower bud, fully-open flower to young and mature pods all on the same plant (12 days after peak flowering). .....47
- Plate 3.2. Flower buds emerging from nodes of main shoots formed in late November. The violet wire tag marks the end of October growth and the beginning of November shoot growth. ....54
- Plate 3.3. Part of a main shoot showing reproductive nodes formed in November. (1) Both early flowers and primary lateral shoots emerged at the same node. (2) The inflorescence has only one healthy floret, the rest of the florets appearing to have aborted. ....54
- Plate 3.4. A single Maku lotus plant at the reproductive stage. The earlier-formed main shoots are located below the later-formed, younger and more dominant shoots. Earlier-formed shoots are disadvantaged in relation to light interception and space. ....58
- Plate 3.5. (A.) Left: a flower bud bearing only two healthy florets. Most of the floret buds are undeveloped and show signs of abortion, right; normal flower bud. (B.) Flowers at fully open stage. First two flowers have high floret bud abortion. Rightmost flower is normal with fully-developed peduncle. (C.) Umbels at pod maturity.....65
- Plate 3.6. X-ray photograph showing variation in the number of seeds per pod.....66
- Plate 4.1. Top: The experimental site with rows oriented east to west at 50 cm interrow spacing. Bottom: The lawn sprayer used in the application of plant growth retardants. ....75
- Plate 4.2. Stages of development of Maku lotus inflorescences from flowering to maturity. (Numbers indicate days from the beginning of floret opening per inflorescence.).....80
- Plate 4.3. Crop harvesting with motorized hand pieces. Treatment effects are seen between treated plots. ....82
- Plate 4.4. Top: Inhibition of apical dominance by paclobutrazol treatment (October application) inducing dramatic lateral shoot growth of Maku lotus. Bottom: Untreated plant. (Photographed 1 December 1988, 60 days after paclobutrazol application.) .....88

- Plate 4.5. Top: October - treated Maku lotus plants (60 days after paclobutrazol application). Bottom: November - treated plants (30 days after paclobutrazol application). (Photographed 1 December 1988.).....91
- Plate 4.6. Top: October - treated Maku lotus plant 16 days after peak flowering. Bottom: Untreated plant 16 DAPF. (Photographed 9 January 1989.)...94
- Plate 4.7. Number of seeds/pod determined using X-ray photography. Top: Number of seeds per pod depressed by November application of paclobutrazol. Bottom: Pods from the control plants. ....99
- Plate 4.8. Second year Maku lotus plants showing retardation of shoots 8 months after paclobutrazol October application to the first seed crop (4 months after harvest). (Photographed 14 June 1990.) ..... 104
- Plate 4.9. Top: Maku lotus plants 60 days after cycocel treatment (October application). High rate of application (right) shows little retardation. Bottom: Plants 30 days after cycocel treatment (November application). (Photographed 1 December 1988.)..... 110
- Plate 4.10. Top: Effect of cycocel on the number of pods/umbel and seeds/pod (October application). Bottom: Umbels from control plants.....117
- Plate 4.11. Top: Maku lotus plants 60 days after Alar treatment (October) at different rates. Bottom: Plants at 30 days after Alar treatment (November) at different rates. (Photographed 1 December 1988)..... 125
- Plate 4.12. Top: Maku lotus plant hand-cut in early October compared to control and paclobutrazol-treated plants (60 days after cutting). Bottom: Plant cut in November compared to control and paclobutrazol-treated plants at 30 days after application. (Photographed 1 December 1988.) ..... 132
- Plate 4.13. Top: Recovery of plants hand-cut in 2 November compared with uncut plants which are at peak flowering. Bottom: Recovery of hand-cut plants in 1 December compared with the uncut plants which are at peak flowering (24 December 1988)..... 135
- Plate 5.1. Maku lotus plants of the same age. Top: October 4 paclobutrazol-treated plant 30 days after application. Bottom: untreated plant. (Photographed 4 November 1989.) ..... 166
- Plate 5.2. Main shoots from November-applied Maku lotus plant. Top: September-formed main shoot. Bottom: October-formed main shoot. (Name of

- month is placed directly on the spot of monthly tags. Photographed at harvest 5 February 1990.)..... 167
- Plate 5.3. Single plants treated with paclobutrazol (top) and cycocel (bottom) on 4 October 1989. (Photographed 16 November 1989, 40 days after treatment.)..... 168
- Plate 5.4. Primary lateral shoots of Maku lotus occurred earlier than the control (top) following the October application of paclobutrazol (middle) and cycocel (bottom) treatments. (Photographed 15 November 1989.)..... 170
- Plate 5.5. Top: October 4 paclobutrazol-treated plant with well-developed primary lateral shoots at flowering time. Bottom: November 2 paclobutrazol-treated plant with simultaneous occurrence of lateral shoots and flower buds. (Photographed 6 December 1989.) ..... 171
- Plate 5.6. Top: Early shoots (August and September-formed) from October paclobutrazol-treated plant showing lateral branching from the lower nodes. Bottom: Main shoot (October-formed) from October cycocel-treated plant showing lateral branching from November-formed nodes (similar to control). ..... 174
- Plate 5.7. Top: A single plant treated with paclobutrazol on 4 October with main shoots grouped into months of occurrence showing great PGR effects on earlier-formed shoots. Bottom: Cycocel-treated plant with main shoots grouped by month of occurrence showing stimulation of later-formed shoots. .... 177

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

Appendix 1. Tokomaru soil series .....	233
Appendix 2. Climate data of the experimental site, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand during the cropping year 1988-90.....	237
Appendix 3. X-ray photography techniques for Maku lotus. ....	239
Appendix 4.1. Paclobutrazol effects on the number of developing umbels, open flowers and flower buds present at 7DAPF/m <sup>2</sup> (First year 1988-89). ....	241
Appendix 4.2. Paclobutrazol effects on the existing number of umbels as developing pods, open flowers, and flower buds, their total at 7 DAPF/m <sup>2</sup> and the total number of open flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole cropping season (Second Year 1989-90). ....	242
Appendix 4.3. Residual effects of paclobutrazol on the vegetative and reproductive shoots of Maku lotus after one year of application. ....	243
Appendix 4.4. Moisture content of seeds after air drying (two months) in ambient temperature and results of germination testing. ....	244
Appendix 4.5. Cycocel effects on the number of developing umbels, open flowers and flower buds present at 7DAPF/m <sup>2</sup> (First year 1988-89). ....	245
Appendix 4.6. Cycocel effects on the number of inflorescences as flower buds, open flowers, and developing pods present at 7 DAPF/m <sup>2</sup> and the total number of flowers/m <sup>2</sup> for the whole season (Second Year 1989-90). ....	246
Appendix 4.7. Alar effects on the number of developing umbels, open flowers and flower buds present at 7DAPF/m <sup>2</sup> (First year 1988-89). ....	247
Appendix 4.8. Hand cutting effects on the number of developing umbels, open flowers and flower buds present at 7DAPF/m <sup>2</sup> (First year 1988-89). ....	248

Appendix 5.1. Number of reproductive main shoots per plant which originated from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages (assessed at harvest - February 10, 1990).....	249
Appendix 5.2. Number of vegetative main shoots which originated from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages (assessed at harvest - February 10, 1990).....	250
Appendix 5.3. Number of reproductive primary lateral shoots and time of occurrence from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages. ....	251
Appendix 5.4. Number of vegetative primary lateral shoots and time of occurrence from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages. ....	252
Appendix 5.5. Number of reproductive secondary lateral shoots which originated from the main shoots from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages. ....	253
Appendix 5.6. Number of vegetative secondary lateral shoots which originated from the monthly main shoots from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages. ....	254
Appendix 5.7. Dry matter yield (g) of the main shoots which occurred from June to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages. ....	255
Appendix 5.8. Mean number of nodes of main shoots by month of formation of Maku lotus as affected by paclobutrazol treatment (1.0 kg ai/ha) applied in October 4, 1989 (assessed at harvest).....	256
Appendix 5.9. Mean number of nodes of main shoots by month of formation of Maku lotus as affected by paclobutrazol treatment (1.0 kg ai/ha) applied in November 2, 1989 (assessed at harvest).....	256

Appendix 5.10. Mean number of nodes of main shoots by month of formation of Maku lotus as affected by paclobutrazol treatment (1.0 kg ai/ha) in December 1, 1989 (assessed at harvest).....	257
Appendix 5.11. Mean number of nodes of main shoots by month of formation of Maku lotus as affected by cycocel treatment (1.25 kg ai/ha) in October 4, 1989 (assessed at harvest).....	257
Appendix 5.12. Mean number of nodes of main shoots by month of formation of Maku lotus as affected by cycocel treatment (1.25 kg ai/ha) in November 2, 1989 (assessed at harvest).....	258
Appendix 5.13. Mean number of nodes of main shoots by month of formation of Maku lotus as affected by cycocel treatment (1.25 kg ai/ha) in December 1, 1989 (assessed at harvest).....	258
Appendix 5.14. Mean number of nodes of main shoots by month of formation of Maku lotus (control plants, assessed at harvest). ....	259
Appendix 5.15. Harvest index of the main shoot grouping (per plant) originating from August to January (1989-90) as affected by paclobutrazol and cycocel treatments applied at different growth stages (%). ....	259