

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

178.899 Master Thesis

**On the Drivers of Fiscal Consolidation Attempts –
A Bayesian Approach**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of
Business Studies in Economics at Massey University

Student Name: Matthias Mueller

Student ID: 09255257

Supervisors: Dr. Otto Reich and A/Prof. Christoph Schumacher

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First and foremost I would like to thank my two initial supervisors, Dr. Otto Reich and Dr. Peren Arin, for their great support and all the fruitful discussions. Their critical inputs motivated me to delve deep into the topic of fiscal consolidation and Bayesian Model Averaging, hopefully resulting in a research paper that provides insights in addition to the existing literature. In addition, I would like to thank A/Prof. Christoph Schumacher for the very interesting lectures which helped me to further develop my economic thinking.

I am also very grateful for the help of Dr. Daniel Walsh from the Statistics Department at Massey University. He assisted me with questions about the empirical model and was very helpful when solving technical problems with R.

Finally, I would like to thank my partner Andrea who was very patient when I had to work long hours. During this time she may have also become an expert in questions concerning fiscal consolidation, because I had many discussions with her regarding this topic.

“The budget should be balanced, the Treasury should be refilled, public debt should be reduced, the arrogance of officialdom should be tempered and controlled, and the assistance to foreign lands should be curtailed, lest Rome become bankrupt. People must again learn to work, instead of living on public assistance.”

Marcus Tullius Cicero¹

¹ Marcus Tullius Cicero was an orator, statesman, political theorist, lawyer and philosopher of Ancient Rome. He lived from about 106 BC to 43 BC. It is uncertain if he can actually be credited with this quote, or whether the quote was attributed to him in later writings. Nevertheless, it nicely describes the state of the Roman Empire at the time Marcus Tullius Cicero lived and the quote also seems to be a suitable summery of the very high government indebtedness of the present time.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we investigate which factors determine successful fiscal consolidation attempts. The literature on fiscal consolidation suggests a variety of fiscal, macroeconomic and political factors that are likely to influence the success rate of fiscal adjustment attempts. We focus on 31 of these aspects, as independent variables, and analyse their influence on a binary dependent success variable in a Bayesian model averaging framework for generalised linear models. The applied dataset includes 18 OECD countries and comprises the time period from 1975 to 2009. Bayesian model averaging estimates the generalised linear models with the highest posterior probabilities. By applying this method we can test for model uncertainty. As the posterior probabilities for the best models are, however, quite low we emphasise the posterior probabilities of the individual variables being included in the model. We find that the three variables that control for government social spending, the monetary stance and the formation of the government are highly likely to be included in the best model. Accordingly, a decrease in government social spending, an expansionary monetary policy and a unified government are supportive of successful budget consolidation. These results are mostly in line with findings in the previous research. Finally, several robustness checks confirm that the three variables have a high explanatory power for the dependent success variable.

Keywords: *Fiscal Budget Deficit, Public Debt, Fiscal Consolidation, Model Uncertainty, Taxation, Public Expenditure, Bayesian Model Averaging (BMA), Generalised Linear Models*

JEL Classification: B22, B30, C11, C23, E12, E13, E62, H12, H62, H63

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	1
ABSTRACT.....	4
TABLE OF CONTENTS	5
LIST OF TABLES	7
LIST OF FIGURES	8
1 Introduction.....	9
1.1 Definitions.....	12
1.1.1 Cyclically adjusted primary deficit	12
1.1.2 Attempts at, and success of, fiscal consolidation.....	13
2 What the literature says about fiscal consolidation and its success factors	17
2.1 Direct adjustments of the fiscal budget.....	17
2.1.1 Components of the fiscal budget.....	17
2.1.2 Success factors for fiscal budget adjustments.....	18
2.2 Macroeconomic effects and fiscal consolidation	20
2.2.1 Expansionary fiscal consolidations	20
2.2.2 Other macroeconomic factors accommodating fiscal consolidation	21
2.3 Politics and fiscal adjustment.....	23
2.3.1 Political consequences of fiscal adjustments	24
2.3.2 Why are adjustments delayed?.....	24
2.3.3 Fiscal rules and institutions.....	26
3 Data and Methodology	29
3.1 Data	29
3.1.1 Dataset.....	29
3.1.2 Dependent success variable.....	30

3.1.3	Independent variables.....	34
3.1.4	Summary statistics	39
3.2	Methodology	44
3.2.1	Bayesian model averaging	44
3.2.2	Theoretical concept of Bayesian model averaging	45
3.2.3	The bic.glm function	49
4	Results.....	52
4.1	BMA with dependent variable loose criterion	52
4.2	BMA with dependent variable loose criterion and leading government wage consumption	56
5	Robustness checks.....	58
5.1	BMA with dependent variable strict criterion.....	58
5.2	BMA with fiscal, macroeconomic and political independent variables separated.....	61
5.3	BMA with dependent variable from Alesina and Ardagna (2010).....	64
6	Conclusion	68
	Appendix A – Methodology for measuring cyclically adjusted budget balances.....	70
	Appendix B – R codes used for Bayesian model averaging	72
	Appendix C – BMA posterior distribution of the coefficients.....	73
	Appendix D – Models selected by BMA	76
	Appendix E – Dependent variable according to Alesina and Ardagna (2010).....	78
	REFERENCES.....	80

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: General government gross financial liabilities as percentage of GDP	11
Table 2: Consolidation attempts <i>loose criterion</i>	31
Table 3: Successful consolidation attempts <i>loose criterion</i>	32
Table 4: Consolidation attempts <i>strict criterion</i>	33
Table 5: Successful consolidation attempts <i>strict criterion</i>	34
Table 6: Summary dependent variables	34
Table 7: Independent fiscal variables	36
Table 8: Independent macroeconomic variables	38
Table 9: Independent political variables	39
Table 10: Summary statistics	42
Table 11: BMA results with dependent variable <i>loose</i>	53
Table 12: BMA results with dependent variable <i>loose</i> and leading government wage consumption	57
Table 13: BMA results with dependent variable <i>strict criterion</i>	60
Table 14: BMA results with only fiscal independent variables	62
Table 15: BMA results with only macroeconomic independent variables	63
Table 16: BMA results with only political independent variables	64
Table 17: BMA results with dependent variable from Alesina and Ardagna (2010)	67
Table 18: Consolidation attempts Alesina and Ardagna (2010)	78
Table 19: Successful consolidation attempts Alesina and Ardagna (2010)	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The fiscal budget	18
Figure 2: BMA posterior distribution of the coefficients	73
Figure 3: Models Selected by BMA	77