Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Objective Differentiation of Cheese Type and Maturity



A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN FOOD TECHNOLOGY

BY

CHRISTINA JUNE PURYER COKER

(RIDDET CENTRE AND INSTITUTE OF FOOD, NUTRITION
AND HUMAN HEALTH, COLLEGE OF SCIENCES,
MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PALMERSTON NORTH)

This thesis is dedicated to my parents Eric and Sylvia, my husband Neil and children Nicholas, Michael, Justin and Timothy

ABSTRACT

The main objectives of this study were to develop instrumental methods for determining cheese maturity and for differentiating variety irrespective of maturity. Traditionally this has been done by sensory (texture and taste) evaluation, but it is desirable to systematise the process, for both non-varietal cheese that is destined to become an ingredient in a complex food and for table cheese.

An initial study developed a size exclusion (high performance liquid) chromatography (SE-HPLC) method for the characterisation of proteolysis in cheese. The method provided predictable elution of peptide and amino acid standards on the basis of hydrodynamic volume (which equates well with molecular weight), although the presence of a net positive charge caused smaller peptides and amino acids to elute slightly earlier than anticipated. The repeatability of the elution time and peak intensity of a range of molecular weight standards was excellent, and their elution time was used to develop a standard curve for determining molecular weight.

The potential of the SE-HPLC method for characterising proteolysis in cheese was compared with commonly used methods by examining seven cheeses of different types. Non-casein nitrogen and non-protein nitrogen were useful for demonstrating differences in the overall amounts of protease and peptidase activity; alkaline urea-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (urea-PAGE) of the urea-soluble fraction (USF) of cheese was useful for comparing the amounts of chymosin and plasmin action; urea-PAGE of the water-soluble fraction (WSF) of cheese was useful for comparing the amounts of several large peptides (>~5000 Da) and reverse phase (RP)-HPLC of the WSF was useful for comparing patterns of peptides in different cheese types. SE-HPLC was useful for demonstrating differences in the pattern of molecular weight distribution of the peptides and amino acids present in the WSF of different cheese types, but was less useful for demonstrating the molecular weight distribution of the caseins (which eluted as a single peak), peptides and amino acids present in the USF. Good repeatability of the extraction of the WSF was demonstrated.

A larger study compared the SE-HPLC (WSF) method with alternative methods (urea-PAGE of the USF and WSF, and RP-HPLC of the WSF) for following the maturation of five cheese types (New Zealand-style Cheddar, Elsberg, Gouda, Mozzarella and Swiss) from pressed curd to beyond normal maturity. Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to reduce the data from a large number of peaks to a few principal components that accounted for most of the variation in the data set. Depending on the method of sample analysis, the correlation form of PCA accounted for between 72% and 83% of the variation in the data within the first three principal components, and generally provided better differentiation of cheese type than the covariance form of PCA which accounted for between 85 and 97% of the variation in the data and was better for determining

maturity. Plots of the first three principal components showed that urea-PAGE of the USF and SE-and RP-HPLC of the WSF could be used to differentiate the cheese types throughout ripening; urea-PAGE of the USF, which measured primary proteolysis, provided useful maturity trends in the early stages of ripening of most cheese types and throughout the ripening of slowly maturing cheese while RP- and SE-HPLC of the WSF, which mainly measured secondary proteolysis, provided useful maturity trends throughout the ripening of each cheese type.

The final study used RP- and SE-HPLC of the WSF to examine the diversity of a range of first grade mild to mature commercial cheese of one type (Cheddar), and to examine the potential of the instrumental methods for establishing a cheese maturity index that could accurately predict a sensory maturity score (SMS; 1.00 – 9.00 scale) provided by a trained sensory panel. Each cheese sample (77 samples of Cheddar cheese manufactured at 8 New Zealand factories over 2 seasons and ripened at 5, 10 or 13 °C for 3, 6, 12 or 15 months) was analysed using both methods, as well as traditional methods for determining maturity (non-protein nitrogen (NPN), water-soluble nitrogen (WSN)). The relationship between each set of instrumental results and the SMSs was modelled using multivariate statistics and equations were developed for predicting cheese sensory maturity. Multiple linear regression (MLR) analysis showed that the simplest measures of maturity provided a reasonable correlation with the SMS (R = 0.88, R^2 (adi) = 0.77 for NPN and total nitrogen (TN); and R = 0.90 and R^2 (adj) = 0.80 for WSN and TN). The covariance form of PCA accounted for the most variation in the data (PC1 to PC3 = 88% for RP-HPLC; and PC1 to PC3 = 93% for SE-HPLC) and provided trends that were more closely associated with sensory maturity. MLR analysis showed the close correlation between the first three principal components and the SMSs $(RP-HPLC: R = 0.94, R^2 \text{ (adj)} = 0.87 \text{ for PC1}, PC2, PC3 \text{ and TN; and SE-HPLC}: R = 0.92, R^2 \text{ (adj)}$ = 0.84 for PC1, PC2, PC3 and TN). The PCA factor loading scores were used to select influential peaks and MLR was used to demonstrate their close correlation with the SMS (RP-HPLC: R = $0.99, R^2 \text{ (adj)} = 0.98 \text{ for } 32 \text{ peaks and TN (Model K)}; \text{ and SE-HPLC: } R = 0.96, R^2 \text{ (adj)} = 0.90 \text{ for } 1.90 \text{ for } 1$ 15 'peaks' and TN (Model M)). The regression equations for Models K and M were validated. RP-HPLC or SE-HPLC could be used to provide effective indices of maturity.

It was possible to conclude that: varietal differences could be captured using either the SE-HPLC method or RP-HPLC; differentiation of the source of cheese within a variety was not possible using SE- or RP-HPLC methods; a standardised SE-HPLC procedure or a RP-HPLC procedure could be developed for accurately predicting the sensory maturity Cheddar cheese; and that the SE-HPLC procedure provided the most practical instrumental means of estimating the sensory maturity of Cheddar cheese.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank Drs Mike Boland and Jeremy Hill for providing me with the opportunity to pursue this course of study and Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd for providing the funding and the time to make it possible.

I sincerely thank my supervisors Professor Harjinder Singh (Riddet Centre and Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health, College of Sciences, Massey University), Dr Lawrie Creamer (Principal Research Scientist, Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd) and Keith Johnston (Principal Research Technologist, Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd) for their guidance during the long period of part-time study. I am particularly grateful to Dr Creamer for his expert guidance and support, both during the course of the work and when reviewing drafts of the thesis.

I wish to thank Professor Pat Fox (University of Cork, Ireland) for reviewing the early work and providing guidance in the selection of the more appropriate of the two paths pursued at the start of this study.

I wish to provide special thanks to Dr Rob Crawford (Senior Statistician, Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd) for tuition in multivariate statistical analysis and for periodic statistical advice during the course of study; and Nicky White for taking on a larger workload in order to free up my time for this study.

I also wish to thank the following people who have each helped me in different ways during the course of this study:

- Dr Vaughan Crow, Brian Curry and Peter Elston for helpful discussions on cheese manufacturing processes and microflora at the Southland factory;
- Brian Gadsby (Head Cheese-maker, Mainland Products) for manufacturing some of the cheeses used for experimental work;
- Graeme Holdaway, Gary Taekema and Steve Boleyn (Cheese-makers, FRC) for teaching me to make cheese;
- Tracey Dodds, Stephen Gregory and Susan Greenwood for sharing information and cheese samples from a commercial trial;
- Dr Rose Motion for teaching me to use and repair an HPLC and for providing me with the SE HPLC method used for monitoring the hydrolysis of whey proteins;
- David Copestake for technical (HPLC) assistance and Lisa Zhang for technical assistance with the SE-HPLC repeatability experiment (Ch. 5, section 5.4.9);

- Marianne Lukkien, Rizana Imtiaz, Ann Ram and Beverley Wheeler (Knowledge Services, Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd) for assistance in locating some of the less accessible references;
- Analytical Services (Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd) for routine chemical analyses;
- Drs Don Otter and Tasa Havea for friendly badgering about thesis completion.

And lastly, special thanks to Neil and our children Nick, Mike, Justin and Tim for putting up with the long hours, and for accepting my absences on many social occasions and family holidays during the course of this study.

CONTENTS

Absti	ract		V
Ackn	owledgr	ments	vii
Cont	ents		ix
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2.0	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	INTRO	DDUCTION	5
2.2	CASE	INS AND MICELLES	5
	2.2.1	The casein fraction of milk	5
		2.2.1.1 α _{S1} -Casein	6
		2.2.1.2 β-Casein	6
		2.2.1.3 α _{S2} -Casein	6
		2.2.1.4 κ-Casein	7
	2.2.2	Casein micelles.	8
2.3	MILK	AND CHEESE MANUFACTURE	8
	2.3.1	Milk coagulation and curd formation	10
	2.3.2	Factors that influence curd formation.	11
		2.3.2.1 Stage of lactation	11
		2.3.2.2 Refrigeration of milk	12
		2.3.2.3 Application of heat to milk	12
		2.3.2.4 Homogenisation of milk	12
2.4	COAC	GULANT ENZYMES	
	2.4.1	Animal rennet	13
	2.4.2	Fermentation-derived (recombinant) rennet	13
	2.4.3	Microbial rennet	14
	2.4.4	Plant rennet.	15

2.5	INDIG	GENOUS MILK ENZYMES	16
	2.5.1	Plasmin	16
		2.5.1.1 Plasmin and the whey proteins	17
	2.5.2	Cathepsin D	18
2.6	PROT	EOLYSIS IN CHEESE	18
	2.6.1	Rennet and proteolysis in cheese	18
		2.6.1.1 Chymosin action on α _{s1} -casein	18
		2.6.1.2 Chymosin action on β-casein	20
		2.6.1.3 Chymosin action on α _{s2} -casein	21
	2.6.2	Plasmin and proteolysis in cheese	22
	2.6.3	Cathepsin D and proteolysis in cheese	24
	2.6.4	Microbial proteinases and peptidases	24
		2.6.4.1 Starter enzymes	24
		2.6.4.2 Enzymes of secondary microflora	26
		2.6.4.3 Enzymes of non-starter lactic acid bacteria	26
2.7	METI	HODS FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF PROTEOLYSIS IN CHEESE	27
	2.7.1	NCN, NPN and WSN	27
	2.7.2	Alkaline urea-PAGE of the urea-soluble fraction of cheese	28
	2.7.3	Alkaline urea-PAGE of the water-soluble fraction of cheese	28
	2.7.4	Reverse phase-HPLC of the water-soluble fraction of cheese	29
	2.7.5	Size-exclusion chromatography	29
2.8	OBJE	CTIVE ASSESSMENT OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS	30
	2.8.1	Discriminant analysis	30
	2.8.2	Principal component analysis	31
		2.8.2.1 Differentiation of cheese maturity	31
		2.8.2.2 Differentiation of cheese type	34
3.0	OBJE	CTIVES	35
4.0	MATI	ERIALS AND METHODS	37
4.1	MATE	EDIAIS	37

	4.1.1	Commercial cheese supplied as mature and ready to eat (Cn. 6)
	4.1.2	Commercial cheese and cheese manufactured at NZDRI (Ch. 7)37
	4.1.3	Commercial Cheddar cheese (Ch. 8)
	4.1.4	Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (urea-PAGE and SDS-PAGE)37
	4.1.5	Size Exclusion and Reverse Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography38
	4.1.6	Casein purification
	4.1.7	Casein hydrolysis
	4.1.8	Cheese manufacture
4.2	МЕТН	ODS40
	4.2.1	Cheese manufacture
		4.2.1.1 Cheddar cheese
		4.2.1.2 Mozzarella cheese
	4.2.2	Chemical analyses of cheese
	4.2.3	Methods for the analysis of proteolysis in cheese, casein purity and casein hydrolysis
		4.2.3.1 Urea-PAGE
		4.2.3.2 SDS-PAGE
		4.2.3.3 SE-HPLC
		4.2.3.4 RP-HPLC
	4.2.4	Purification of caseins
	4.2.5	Hydrolysis of caseins
	4.2.6	Multivariate statistical analyses
		4.2.6.1 Principal component analysis
		4.2.6.2 Multiple linear regression
4	3 API	PENDIX
	4.3.	1 Urea-PAGE – Linear range for casein quantity versus Coomassie dye boundxxi
5.0	DEVE	LOPMENT OF SIZE EXCLUSION HPLC FOR CHEESE ANALYSIS55
5.1	INTRO	DDUCTION56
5.2	OBJE	CTIVES58
5.3	MATE	ERIALS AND METHODS59
	5.3.1	Materials59

	5.3.2	Methods	S	59
		5.3.2.1	Preparation and analysis of individual molecular weight standards	59
		5.3.2.2	Preparation and analysis of amino acids and very small peptides	59
		5.3.2.3	Preparation and analysis of standard sets A and B	59
		5.3.2.4	Preparation and analysis of the USF and WSF of Cheddar cheese	60
		5.3.2.5	Fractionation of the WSF of Cheddar cheese	60
		5.3.2.6	Repeatability of SE-HPLC sample preparation and analysis	60
5.4	RESU	ULTS		61
	5.4.1	Elution o	of molecular weight standards	61
	5.4.2	Elution	of amino acids and very small peptides	62
		5.4.2.1	Effect of molecular weight on elution time	63
		5.4.2.2	Effect of hydrophobicity on elution time	64
		5.4.2.3	Effect of net charge on elution time	65
	5.4.3	Repeata	bility of peak elution time and area	66
	5.4.4	Calculat	ion of molecular weight standard curve	67
	5.4.5	Effect o	f acetonitrile concentration on protein elution time	69
	5.4.6	Measure	ement of absorbance of peptides and amino acids	71
		5.4.6.1	Amino acid absorption	71
		5.4.6.2	Peptide bond absorption	73
	5.4.7	SE-HPL	C of the USF and WSF of Cheddar cheese	74
	5.4.8	Molecul	ar weight distribution of cheese peptides	76
	5.4.9	Repeatal	bility of SE-HPLC sample preparation and analysis	77
5.5	DISC	CUSSION	I	80
5.6	CON	(CLUSIO)	NS	82
5.7	REC	OMMEN	DATIONS	82
5.8	APP	ENDIX		xxii
	5.8.1	SE-HPLO	C - Calibration table for estimates of molecular weight distribution (Fig.	g.
		5.5)		xxii
	5.8.2	SE-HPLO	C - Elution times of molecular weight standards using 35, 36 or 37%	
		acetonitri	ile	xxiii
	5.8.3	SE-HPLO	C - Calibration table for estimates of molecular weight distribution (Fig.	g.
		5 13)		xxiv

6.0	CHARACTERISATION OF PROTEOLYSIS IN SEVEN VARIETAL CHEESES					
	USIN	NG SE-H	PLC AND TRADITIONAL ANALYTICAL METHODS A			
	PRE	LIMINA	RY STUDY	83		
6.1	INTR	RODUCT	ION			
6.2	ОВЈЕ	ECTIVES	<u> </u>	87		
6.3	MAT	ERIALS	AND METHODS	88		
	6.3.1	Materia	ls	88		
	6.3.2	Methods	S	88		
		6.3.2.1	Cheese manufacture	88		
		6.3.2.2	Determination of the chemical composition of cheese	89		
		6.3.2.3	Preparation and analysis of the USF and WSF of cheese	89		
		6.3.2.4	Preparation and analysis of standard sets A and B	89		
		6.3.2.5	The relationship between SE-HPLC, urea-PAGE and RP-HPLC	89		
		6.3.2.6	Purification of caseins	89		
		6.3.2.7	Hydrolysis of the caseins	89		
6.4	RES	ULTS		90		
	6.4.1	Chemic	al composition of the cheese	90		
		6.4.1.1	Nitrogen distribution NCN AND NPN	90		
	6.4.2	SE-HPI	LC of the USF of seven cheese types	91		
	6.4.3	SE-HPI	LC of the WSF of seven cheese types	93		
		6.4.3.1	Mozzarella cheese	96		
		6.4.3.2	Elsberg and Gouda cheese	97		
		6.4.3.3	Cheddar cheese	97		
		6.4.3.4	Swiss cheese	98		
		6.4.3.5	Feta cheese	98		
		6.4.3.6	Blue cheese	99		
	6.4.4	Gel elec	ctrophoresis of the USF and WSF of seven cheese types	1 ••		
	6.4.5	RP-HPI	LC of the WSF of seven cheese types	102		
		6.4.5.1	Mozzarella cheese.	103		
		6.4.5.2	Swiss cheese	103		
		6.4.5.3	Cheddar cheese.	104		
		6.4.5.4	Elsberg and Gouda cheese	104		
		6.4 5 5	Feta cheese	105		

	6.4.5.6 Blue cheese	105
	6.4.6 The relationship between SE-HPLC, urea-PAGE and RP-HPLC	106
	6.4.6.1 Urea-PAGE of the SE-HPLC fractions	106
	6.4.6.2 RP-HPLC of the SE-HPLC fractions	106
6.5	DISCUSSION	109
	6.5.1 Nitrogen distribution - NCN and NPN in seven cheese types	109
	6.5.2 SE-HPLC of the USF of seven cheese types	111
	6.5.3 SE-HPLC of the WSF of seven cheese types	112
	6.5.4 Urea-PAGE of the USF of seven cheese types	112
	6.5.5 Urea-PAGE of the WSF of seven cheese types	114
	6.5.6 RP-HPLC of the WSF of seven cheese types	115
6.6	CONCLUSIONS	117
6.7	RECOMMENDATIONS	118
6.8	APPENDIX	XXV
	6.8.1 SE-HPLC-Calibration table for molecular weight standard sets A and B (Fig.	g. 6.2)xxv
	6.8.2 Chymosin hydrolysate of α _{s1} -casein	xxvi
	6.8.3 Plasmin hydrolysate of α _{s1} -casein	xxvi
	6.8.4 Chymosin hydrolysate of β-casein	xxvii
	6.8.5 Plasmin hydrolysate of β-casein	xxvii
	6.8.6 Chymosin hydrolysate of α _{s2} -casein	
	6.8.7 Plasmin hydrolysate of α_{s2} -casein	
	6.8.8 Chymosin hydrolysate of κ-casein	
	6.8.9 Plasmin hydrolysate of κ-casein	
	6.8.10 SE-HPLC- Calibration table for molecular weight standard sets A and B (F	
7.0	DIFFERENTIATION OF CHEESE TYPE DURING MATURATION - A	
	COMPARISON OF UREA-PAGE, SIZE EXCLUSION AND REVERSE PH	
	HPLC USING PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS	119
7 1	INTRODUCTION	120

7.2	OBJECTIVE	S	122		
7.3	MATERIALS AND METHODS				
	7.3.1 Materia	als	123		
	7.3.2 Method	ls	123		
	7.3.2.1	Cheese manufacture and maturation	123		
	7.3.2.2	Determination of the chemical composition of cheese	124		
	7.3.2.3				
	7.3.2.4	Principal Component Analysis	124		
7.4	RESULTS		125		
	7.4.1 Cheese	chemical composition	125		
	7.4.2 Cheese	e protein and peptide analysis	125		
	7.4.2.1	NCN and NPN	125		
	7.4.2.2	Urea-PAGE of the USF	127		
	7.4.2.3	Urea-PAGE of the WSF	133		
	7.4.2.4	SE-HPLC of the WSF	135		
	7.4.2.5	Reverse phase-HPLC of the WSF	139		
	7.4.3 PCA o	f data from urea-PAGE, SE-HPLC or RP-HPLC analysis of the WSF	142		
	7.4.3.1	PCA of data obtained from urea-PAGE of the USF	143		
		7.4.3.1.1 PCA of the correlation matrix (PAGE/USF)	144		
		7.4.3.1.2 PCA of the covariance matrix (PAGE/USF)	149		
	7.4.3.2	PCA of data obtained from urea-PAGE of the WSF	154		
		7.4.3.2.1 PCA of the correlation matrix (PAGE/WSF)	155		
		7.4.3.2.2 PCA of the covariance matrix (PAGE/WSF)	159		
	7.4.3.3	PCA of data obtained from SE-HPLC of the WSF	163		
		7.4.3.3.1 PCA of the correlation matrix (SE-HPLC/WSF)	164		
		7.4.3.3.2 PCA of the covariance matrix (SE-HPLC/WSF)	170		
	7.4.3.4	PCA of data obtained from RP-HPLC of the WSF	178		
		7.4.3.4.1 PCA of the correlation matrix (RP-HPLC/WSF)	178		
		7.3.3.4.2 PCAof the covariance matrix (RP-HPLC/WSF)	185		
7.5	DISCUSSIO	N	195		
	7.5.1 Differe	entiation of cheese type and maturity	195		
	7.5.1.1	Urea-PAGE of the USF with peak data analysis using PCA	196		
	7.5.1.2	2 Urea-PAGE of the WSF with peak data analysis using PCA	198		
	7513	SE-HPLC of the WSF with peak data analysis using PCA	199		

	,	7.5.1.4	RP-HPLC of the WSF with peak data analysis using PCA	201
7.6	CONC	LUSION	NS	204
7.7	RECO	MMENI	DATIONS	204
7.8	APPE	NDIX		xxxi
	7.8.1	Alkaline	urea-PAGE of the USF – Peak data	xxxi
		7.8.1.1	PCA of the correlation matrix	xxxii
		7.8.1.2	PCA of the covariance matrix	xxxiv
	7.8.2	Alkaline	urea-PAGE of the WSF – Peak data	xxxvi
		7.8.2.1	PCA of the correlation matrix	xxxvii
		7.8.2.2	PCA of the covariance matrix	xxxix
	7.8.3	SE-HPL	C of the WSF – Peak data	xli
		7.8.3.1	PCA of the correlation matrix	xlii
		7.8.3.2	PCA of the correlation matrix – without Mozzarella cheese data	xliv
		7.8.3.3	PCA of the covariance matrix	xlvi
		7.8.3.4	PCA of the covariance matrix - without Mozzarella cheese data	xlviii
	7.8.4	4 RP-HF	PLC of the WSF - Peak data	
		7.8.4.1	PCA of the correlation matrix	liii
		7.8.4.2	PCA of the correlation matrix - without Mozzarella cheese data	lvi
		7.8.4.3	PCA of the covariance matrix	lix
		7.8.4.4	PCA of the covariance matrix – without Mozzarella cheese data	lxii
	7.8.5	Poster P	resentation - ADSA Symposium 2002	lxv
8.0	OBJE	CTIVE .	ASSESSMENT OF CHEDDAR CHEESE MATURITY	205
8.1	INTRO	ODUCTI	ON	207
8.2	OBJE	CTIVES		210
8.3	MATE	ERIALS	AND METHODS	211
	8.3.1	Materia	als	211
	8.3.2	Method	ds	211
		8.3.2.1	Cheddar cheese manufacture.	211
		8322	Determination of chemical composition of cheese	211

		8.3.2.3 Determination of cheese sensory maturity	1
		8.3.2.4 Statistical analysis of the chemical composition data	2
		8.3.2.5 Preparation and analysis of the WSF of cheese	12
		8.3.2.6 Preparation and analysis of standard sets A and B	12
		8.3.2.7 Statistical analysis of SE-HPLC and RP-HPLC data	12
8.4	RESU	LTS2	13
	8.4.1	SMS and its relationship with cheese age, ripening temperature and Maturity Index	
		8.4.1.1 Distribution of sensory maturity scores	13
		8.4.1.2 Relationship between SMS and Maturity Index, age, or temperature2	14
	8.4.2	SMS and its relationship with simple measures of proteolysis	15
		8.4.2.1 SMS, WSN, TN and the prediction of cheese maturity21	8
	8.4.3	RP-HPLC and the maturity of Cheddar cheese	20
		8.4.3.1 PCA of the RP-HPLC peak areas	26
		8.4.3.1.1 PCA of the correlation matrix (RP-HPLC)22	26
		8.4.3.1.2 PCA of the covariance matrix (RP-HPLC)23	32
	8.4.4	SE-HPLC and the maturity of Cheddar cheese	37
		8.4.4.1 PCA of the SE-HPLC peak areas	1
		8.4.4.1.1 PCA of the correlation matrix (SE-HPLC)24	1
		8.4.4.1.2 PCA of the covariance matrix (SE-HPLC)24	16
	8.4.5	MLR of sensory maturity scores and selected RP- and SE-HPLC 'peak' areas2	51
		8.4.5.1 MLR of RP-HPLC peaks selected from PCA (correlation matrix) factor	
		loading scores24	51
		8.4.5.2 MLR of RP-HPLC peaks selected from PCA (covariance matrix) factor	
		loading scores24	56
		8.4.5.3 MLR of SE-HPLC peaks selected from PCA (correlation matrix) factor	
		loading scores24	58
		8.4.5.4 MLR of SE-HPLC peaks selected from PCA (covariance matrix) factor	
		loading scores20	52
	8.4.6	Models for the prediction of cheese sensory maturity - a summary of all models a	nd
		validation of selected models	63
		8.4.6.1 Five models for the prediction of cheese maturity	56
		8.4.6.2 Validation of five models	58
8.5	DISCU	USSION27	71
	8.5.1	Cheese age and ripening temperature as predictors of cheese sensory maturity2	71
	8.5.2	Traditional methods as predictors of cheese sensory maturity2	72

	8.5.3	RP-HPLC peaks as predictors of sensory maturity	273
	8.5.4	SE-HPLC peaks as predictors of sensory maturity	275
8.6	CONC	CLUSIONS	280
8.7	RECO	DMMENDATIONS	281
8.8	APPE	NDIX	lxvi
	8.8.1	Sensory evaluation of cheese	.lxvii
	8.8.2	Sample code, factory, age, ripening temperature, MI, SMS, pH, TN, WSN,	
		WSN/TN, NPN, and NPN/TN for each of 77 Cheddar cheeses	lxviii
	8.8.3	RP-HPLC	lxix
		8.8.3.1 RP-HPLC peak area data	lxix
		8.8.3.2 RP-HPLC peak area v sensory maturity score	lxxii
		8.8.3.3 PCA (correlation matrix) of the RP-HPLC peak data with MLR of the	
		principal components (SMS v TN and PC1, PC2 and PC3)	lxxvi
		8.8.3.4 PCA (covariance matrix) of the RP-HPLC peak data with MLR of	f the
		principal components (SMS v TN and PC1, PC2 and PC3)	.lxxx
	8.8.4	SE-HPLC	xxxiv
		8.8.4.1 SE-HPLC 'peak' area data	xxxiv
		8.8.4.2 PCA (correlation matrix) of the SE-HPLC 'peak' data with MLR of	of the
		principal components (SMS v TN and PC1, PC2 and PC3)l	xxxvi
		8.8.4.3 PCA (covariance matrix) of the SE-HPLC 'peak' data with MLR of	of the
		principal components (SMS v TN and PC1, PC2 and PC3)lx	κχχίχ
	8.8.5	MLR and Models J-N	xcii
		8.8.5.1 MLR: SMS versus TN and RP-HPLC peaks 1 – 54 (Model J)	xcii
		8.8.5.2 MLR: SMS versus TN and 32 RP-HPLC peaks (Model K)	.xciii
		8.8.5.3 MLR: SMS versus TN and 7 RP-HPLC peaks (Model L)	xcv
		8.8.5.4 MLR: SMS versus TN and SE-HPLC 'peaks' 1 – 15 (Model M)	.xcvi
		8.8.5.5 MLR: SMS versus TN and 7 SE-HPLC (Model N)	.xcvii
	8.8.6	Model validation result set	kcviii
	8.8.7	Cheddar cheese – SMS, and the maturity scores predicted by Maturity Index an	d
		equations derived from Models A - N	vciv

9.0	GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK			
	9.1 GENERAL DISCUSSION	83		
	9.2 CONCLUSIONS	93		
	9.3 SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK	94		
10.0	REFERENCES	15		