

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Bacteria associated with *Haemonchus contortus***

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

at Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand.

**Gajenthiran Sinnathamby**

**2012**

# Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	i
Abstract.....	viii
Acknowledgements.....	x
List of Figures.....	xii
List of Tables.....	xvii
List of Abbreviations.....	xix
Introduction.....	xxiv
<b>Chapter 1 Literature review.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Bacterial associations with eukaryotes.....	1
1.1.1. Symbiosis.....	2
1.1.2. Evolution of symbiosis.....	2
1.1.3. Transmission of symbionts.....	3
1.2. Symbiotic bacteria.....	4
1.2.1. Gut symbionts.....	5
1.2.1.1. Rumen microbes.....	5
1.2.1.2. Human gut microbes.....	7
1.2.1.3. Gut microbes of the “Ecdysozoa”.....	8
1.2.2. Bacterial manipulation of reproduction.....	10
1.2.3. Bacterial manipulation of host fitness.....	12
1.3. Bacteria associated with nematodes.....	13
1.3.1. Free-living soil nematodes.....	13
1.3.2. Free-living marine nematodes.....	15
1.3.3. Plant parasitic nematodes.....	16
1.3.3.1. <i>Xiphinema</i> and Verrucomicrobia.....	16
1.3.3.2. Cyst forming nematodes.....	17
1.3.3.3. <i>Radopholus similis</i> .....	17
1.3.3.4. Pine wilt nematodes.....	18
1.3.4. Entomopathogenic nematodes.....	18

1.3.5. Nematodes of mammals.....	19
1.3.5.1. <i>Wolbachia</i> in filaria.....	20
1.3.5.2. <i>Wolbachia</i> in Strongyloidae.....	21
1.3.5.3. <i>Ascaris suum</i> .....	22
1.3.5.4. <i>Heligmosomoides polygyrus</i> .....	22
1.3.5.5. <i>Trichuris muris</i> .....	22
1.3.5.6. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> .....	23
1.4. Exploring the bacterial profile of <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> .....	24

## **Chapter 2 Visualisation of Bacteria using Transmission Electron and Light**

<b>Microscopy</b> .....	<b>27</b>
<b>2.1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>27</b>
<b>2.2. Materials and methods</b> .....	<b>28</b>
2.2.1. Sheep and parasites .....	28
2.2.2. Light microscopy .....	28
2.2.3. Transmission electron microscopy .....	29
<b>2.3. Results</b> .....	<b>29</b>
2.3.1. Light microscopy .....	29
2.3.2. Transmission electron microscopy .....	30
<b>2.4. Discussion</b> .....	<b>30</b>

## **Chapter 3 Development of protocols for DNA fingerprinting**.....

<b>3.1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>32</b>
<b>3.2. Materials and methods</b> .....	<b>33</b>
3.2.1. Sheep.....	33
3.2.2. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> .....	33
3.2.3. Collection of sheep gut samples .....	34
3.2.4. DNA free water and equipment .....	34
3.2.5. Development of molecular biological protocols.....	34
3.2.5.1. Extraction of DNA.....	34
3.2.5.1.1. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide.....	35
3.2.5.1.2. Phenol-chloroform method .....	36
3.2.5.1.3. QIAamp DNA stool-kit method.....	36

3.2.5.1.4. QIAamp DNA stool-kit method with enzymatic digestion and/or physical disruption .....	37
3.2.5.2. Primer selection .....	37
3.2.5.3. PCR-denaturing gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE).....	38
3.2.5.3.1. PCR using primer set 338f and 518r.....	39
3.2.5.3.2. Selection of denaturing gradients, electrophoresis time and voltages .	39
3.2.5.4. Evaluation of bacterial contamination of reagents and solutions .....	40
3.2.6. Bacterial profiles of L3 and adult worms during recovery from gut contents .....	40
3.2.6.1. Collection of samples during larval culture .....	40
3.2.6.2. Collection of samples during adult worm recovery .....	41
<b>3.3. Results .....</b>	<b>42</b>
3.3.1. Development of the experimental protocol .....	42
3.3.1.1. DNA extraction .....	42
3.3.1.2. Primer selection .....	43
3.3.1.3. Denaturing gradients, electrophoresis time and voltages .....	43
3.3.1.4. Evaluation of bacterial contamination in reagents .....	43
3.3.1.5. Bacterial profiles of adult worms and L3 during recovery from gut contents .....	44
<b>3.4. Discussion.....</b>	<b>45</b>
3.4.1. DNA fingerprinting protocols.....	45
3.4.2. Parasite recovery .....	46
<b>Chapter 4 Identification of bacteria associated with <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> using DNA fingerprinting .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>4.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>4.2. Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>48</b>
4.2.1. Sheep.....	48
4.2.2. Samples used for DNA extraction .....	48
4.2.3. Extraction of DNA.....	48
4.2.4. PCR-DGGE.....	49
4.2.5. Sequencing DNA fragments in excised DGGE bands.....	49
4.2.5.1. DNA extraction and PCR.....	49

4.2.5.2. Cloning and sequencing .....	49
4.2.5.3. DNA extraction and sequencing of Band 8 in DGGE gels.....	50
4.2.6. Sequence editing and analysis .....	50
<b>4.3. Results .....</b>	<b>51</b>
4.3.1. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> and abomasal contents .....	51
4.3.2. Eggs, adult worms and L3 .....	51
4.3.3. Male and female worms .....	51
4.3.4. Sequences from DGGE bands in <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> adults, L3 and eggs .....	52
4.3.4.1. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> adult worms.....	52
4.3.4.2. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> L3 .....	53
4.3.4.3. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> eggs .....	53
<b>4.4. Discussion.....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Chapter 5 Phylogenetic analysis of bacteria associated with <i>Haemonchus contortus</i>.....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5.1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>5.2. Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>59</b>
5.2.1. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> DNA.....	59
5.2.2. PCR, cloning and colony PCR.....	59
5.2.3. Sequence editing .....	60
5.2.4. Clone library and phylogenetic analysis.....	60
<b>5.3. Results .....</b>	<b>61</b>
5.3.1. Clone libraries .....	61
5.3.2. Phylogenetic analysis of phylum Firmicutes ~1400bp 16S rRNA sequences .....	62
5.3.3. Phylogenetic analysis of ~1000bp 16S rRNA gene sequences .....	63
<b>5.4. Discussion.....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Chapter 6 Fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation.....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>6.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>6.2. Materials and methods .....</b>	<b>69</b>
6.2.1. Parasite samples.....	69

6.2.2. Reference bacterial cultures .....	69
6.2.3. Bacterial culture .....	69
6.2.4. Fixation of bacterial cells.....	70
6.2.5. Oligonucleotide probes .....	70
6.2.5.1. Optimisation of hybridisation stringency for FISH probes.....	71
6.2.5.2. FISH of PFA fixed reference bacteria .....	72
6.2.5.3. Epifluorescence microscopy .....	73
6.2.5.4. Confocal microscopy .....	73
6.2.6. <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> tissue sections.....	73
6.2.6.1. Adult worms.....	73
6.2.6.2. Eggs and L3 .....	74
6.2.6.3. Preparation of sections for FISH.....	74
6.2.6.4. FISH on <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> sections .....	75
6.2.6.5. Epifluorescence and confocal laser scanning microscopy.....	76
<b>6.3. Results .....</b>	<b>76</b>
6.3.1. Optimisation of hybridisation stringency.....	76
6.3.2. Identification of bacteria in <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> .....	77
6.3.2.1. Bacteria in female worms .....	77
6.3.2.1.1. In eggs .....	77
6.3.2.1.2. In the uterus but outside the eggs.....	78
6.3.2.2. Bacteria in laid eggs.....	78
6.3.2.3. Gut bacteria.....	78
6.3.2.4. Bacteria in L3.....	79
<b>6.4. Discussion.....</b>	<b>79</b>
6.4.1. Probe specificities and optimal hybridisation stringencies.....	79
6.4.2. Proteobacteria .....	80
6.4.3. Gut bacteria.....	80
6.4.4. Bacteria in eggs.....	80
6.4.5. Bacteria in the uterus .....	82
 <b>Chapter 7 General discussion .....</b>	 <b>83</b>
7.1. Studying the community of bacteria associated with <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> .....	84

7.2. Comparison of bacterial communities of parasites and their environments ..	85
7.3. Gut bacteria .....	86
7.4. Bacteria in the uterus .....	87
7.5. Bacteria in eggs .....	89
7.6. <i>Weissella</i> and <i>Leuconostoc</i> .....	89
7.7. Symbionts of <i>Haemonchus contortus</i> .....	90
7.8. Future work .....	92

<b>References .....</b>	<b>93</b>
-------------------------	-----------

<b>Appendix 1: Samples collected from experimental sheep .....</b>	<b>120</b>
--	------------

<b>Appendix 2: Parasitology .....</b>	<b>121</b>
---------------------------------------	------------

2.1. Faecal egg flotation .....	121
2.2. Infection of sheep with L3 .....	121
2.3. Larval culture .....	121
2.3.1. Sieve method (A) .....	121
2.3.2. Tray method (B) .....	122
2.4. Recovery of adult worms .....	123
2.5. <i>In vitro</i> laid eggs .....	123
2.6. Eggs extracted from faeces .....	124

<b>Appendix 3: Microscopy .....</b>	<b>126</b>
-------------------------------------	------------

3.1. Neutral buffered formalin .....	126
3.2. Gram Twort staining .....	126
3.2.1. Twort stain .....	126
3.2.2. Gram Twort staining .....	126
3.3. Nematode processing for TEM .....	127

<b>Appendix 4: Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis .....</b>	<b>128</b>
--	------------

4.1. Solutions .....	128
4.1.1. 50X Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE buffer) .....	128



4.1.2. Dcode dye solution .....	128
4.1.3. 2X Gel loading dye .....	128
4.1.4. Denaturing gradient solutions for a 6% polyacrylamide DGGE Gel .....	128
4.2. Gel casting .....	129
4.3. Sample loading and electrophoresis.....	129
4.4. Staining and de-staining the gel.....	130
<b>Appendix 5: Results of phylogenetic analyses .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Appendix 6: Fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation.....</b>	<b>132</b>
6.1. Solutions .....	132
6.1.1. 4% Paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution .....	132
6.1.2. Hybridisation buffers .....	132
6.1.3. Washing buffers .....	133
6.2. Hybridisation of reference bacterial cultures.....	133
6.3. FISH on nematode samples .....	134
<b>Appendix 7: Results of optimisation of probe hybridisation stringency against reference bacterial cultures. ....</b>	<b>137</b>
7.1. Lactic acid bacterial specific probe Lab158 .....	137
7.2. <i>Weissella</i> sp. specific probes (S-G-Wei-0121-a-S-20 and Wgp).....	138
7.3. Strc493 .....	139
7.4. Proteobacterial probes .....	140
<b>Appendix 8: Bacterial sequences obtained from the DGGE bands and accession numbers of their closest match from the NCBI database. ....</b>	<b>141</b>

## Abstract

Internal parasitism, a major cause of production losses in sheep, is routinely controlled by anthelmintic drenches, however, alternative control strategies are needed to combat the increasing resistance to these chemicals. A possible novel method of controlling abomasal nematodes, such as *Haemonchus contortus*, is manipulation of their essential resident bacteria, as is currently used to control filarial nematodes. For the first time, bacteria have been identified in the reproductive tract, as well as in the gut, of *H. contortus*, using genetic fingerprinting, light and electron microscopy and fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation (FISH).

PCR-DGGE analysis showed that adult worms had less complex bacterial profiles than did abomasal contents. L3, eggs and adult worms had similar bacterial profiles; 16S rRNA sequences obtained from seven major common DGGE bands were dominated by lactic acid bacterial and Proteobacterial sequences. PCR-DGGE short sequences and clone libraries of nearly full length sequences from all three life-cycle stages contained sequences belonging to *Weissella*, *Lactococcus*, *Leuconostoc* and *Streptococcus*. Clone library sequences were used to design group-, class- and species-specific FISH probes to locate bacteria in the parasites.

The gut lumen of adult worms contained a mixed population of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, which appeared to be multiple morphotypes in TEM images. The FISH probe (EUB338), which targets most bacteria, hybridised with the gut bacteria, but only some of these were targeted by Strc493, which targets most *Streptococcus* sp. and some *Lactococcus* sp. Neither the lactic acid bacterial group- nor the *Weissella* species-specific probes targeted any bacteria in the gut.

A single morphotype of Gram-positive bacteria was seen in large numbers in the distal uterus of female *H. contortus* in the TEM. They were close relatives of either *Lactococcus* sp. or *Streptococcus* sp., as they were targeted by the FISH probe Strc493. These bacteria seemed to be non-pathogenic to the nematodes, as

adult female worms appeared to be healthy (normal in size and active) and carry normal eggs within them. Their roles in worm biology are unknown.

A smaller number of bacteria were seen in the TEM in eggs within female worms. They were closely related to *Weissella confusa*, as all were targeted by lactic acid bacterial group- and *Weissella* species-specific probes, as well as by EUB338. These bacteria were dispersed throughout the eggs, as they could be seen at different focal panels in confocal microscopy. DNA fingerprinting and visualisation of these bacteria in eggs strongly suggest they are maternally transmitted endosymbionts.

As this study was carried out on a parasite strain which has been maintained in the laboratory, practical applications of this research would depend on these bacteria being present in field strains of *H. contortus*.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisors for guidance, patience and encouragement in helping me to achieve this goal. I would particularly express my sincere thanks to my chief supervisor Prof. Heather Simpson; it would not have been possible to write this doctoral thesis without her enthusiasm, interest and helpful criticism of my research work. I don't have words to describe how much I appreciate the sacrifice you have made for me which helped me to where I am today. Thank you for being there.

To Dr Gemma Henderson, I am very grateful for your guidance, inspiration and timely advice, particularly in assisting with the designing of the studies and being extremely helpful and a great source of inspiration to me.

My sincere thanks go to Dr Ross Bland for his constant inspiration, critical comments and prompt feedback. I really appreciate your help during this study.

The successful of this thesis would not have been possible without the expertise, cooperation and timely advice from Dr Peter Janssen. I greatly appreciate your help during this work and for allowing me to use the facilities in AgResearch, Grasslands.

Special thanks to Dr Bruce Simpson for his encouragement, advice and invaluable assistance for proof reading of this thesis.

I wish to express my gratitude to Mike Hogan, Sandra Kittelmann, people at the small animal physiology unit for looking after the sheep for my research work, histology technicians at IVABS, Doug Hopcroft and Jianyu Chen for their help with microscopy and Roy Meeking for allowing me to use the facilities at the Hopkirk Research Institute.

My thanks go to Meat and Wool New Zealand, the E&C Thomas Bequest and IVABS post graduate funds for providing the financial support for my research work.

The dream of a PhD in New Zealand would never have fulfilled without unflinching support from my family and Prof. Ravi Ravindran. To my family, though only my name appears on the cover of this thesis, you have a great contribution to its production; thank you for being there, for your encouragement, for supporting all my decisions and choice in life, and for making it easy to follow my dreams.

## List of Figures

	Facing Page
Fig.1.1. Life cycles of <i>Photorhabdus</i> and <i>Xenorhabdus</i> .	18
Fig.1.2. Diagrammatic representation of an <i>in vitro</i> study of explants of mouse ileum showing that <i>T. muris</i> egg hatching is induced by contact with type 1 fimbriae of <i>Escherichia coli</i> .	23
Fig. 2.1. Sections of <i>H. contortus</i> female worm stained with haematoxylin and eosin.	29
Fig. 2.2. Sections of <i>H. contortus</i> female worms stained with Gram stain.	30
Fig. 2.3. TEM image of <i>H. contortus</i> eggs within a female worm.	30
Fig. 2.4. TEM images of sections of adult female <i>H. contortus</i> showing the outer cuticle (Ct), uterine wall (Uw) and matrix (Um) and egg (E).	30
Fig. 2.5. TEM images of <i>H. contortus</i> sperm in the uterus of an adult female worm.	30
Fig. 2.6. TEM images of gut bacteria in an adult <i>H. contortus</i> female worm.	30
Fig. 2.7. Location and morphology of bacteria in the gut (top), the uterus (centre) and eggs (bottom) of an adult female <i>H. contortus</i> .	30
Fig. 3.1. Flow chart describing sample collection during larval culture from faeces.	40
Fig. 3.2. Flow chart describing sample collection during adult worm recovery.	41
Fig. 3.3. 1.5% Agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR amplified products from adult <i>H. contortus</i> DNA using the bacterial 16S rRNA targeted primer sets A-F at annealing temperatures from 54 to 62°C.	43

- Fig. 3.4. DGGE gels (6% acrylamide) of PCR amplified products of DNA extracted from *H. contortus* adult worms (HA) (Sample 5) using the universal bacterial 16S rRNA primers 338f (40bpGC clamp) and 518r. 43
- Fig. 3.5. 1.5% Agarose gels of PCR amplified products of molecular biological reagents, using the universal bacterial primer set 338f and 518r. 44
- Fig. 3.6. DGGE gels (6% acrylamide) of PCR amplified products of DNA extracted from samples collected during *H. contortus* larval culture from faeces. 44
- Fig. 3.7. A DGGE gel (6% acrylamide) of PCR amplified products generated from the DNA extracted from adult worms from three sheep. 44
- Fig. 3.8. A DGGE gel (6% acrylamide) of PCR amplified products of DNA extracted from samples collected during *H. contortus* adult worm recovery from abomasal contents, using the universal bacterial 16S rRNA primers 338f (40bp GC clamp) and 518r. 44
- Fig. 4.1. A DGGE gel (6% acrylamide) of PCR amplified products of DNA extracted from three samples of abomasal contents, three populations of manually collected worms from the abomasal mucosa, sodium hypochlorite washed mixed sex adult worms (MAW), faecal eggs (FE) and L3, using the universal bacterial 16S rRNA primers 338f (40bp GC clamp) and 518r. 51
- Fig. 4.2. A DGGE gel (6% acrylamide) of PCR amplified products of DNA extracted from three samples of *H. contortus* sodium hypochlorite washed mixed sex adult worms (HA), three samples of larvae (HL) and two samples of eggs (HE), using the universal bacterial 16S rRNA primers 338f (40bp GC-clamp) and 518r. 51
- Fig. 4.3. A DGGE gel (6% acrylamide) of PCR amplified products of DNA extracted from a male (HAM) and a female (HAF) *H. contortus* (sodium hypochlorite washed) sample from each of three sheep. 51

Fig. 4.4.	A representative DGGE gel of PCR amplified products generated from DNA of <i>H. contortus</i> adult worms (HA), L3 (HL) and eggs (HEM: <i>in vitro</i> laid eggs and HEF: eggs collected from faeces) used for sequencing the bands of interest (left).	52
Fig. 5.1.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the maximum likelihood method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1492r and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	63
Fig. 5.2.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the maximum likelihood method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1492r and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	63
Fig. 5.3	Phylogenetic tree based upon the maximum likelihood method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1492r and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	63
Fig. 5.4.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the maximum likelihood method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1040firmR and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	64
Fig. 6.1.	Bacteria within eggs in adult <i>H. contortus</i> .	77
Fig. 6.2.	Bacteria within fertilised eggs (E) (presence of morula) in <i>H. contortus</i> .	77
Fig. 6.3.	Bacteria inside an egg near the ovipositor.	77
Fig. 6.4.	Bacteria inside eggs in a female <i>H. contortus</i> .	77
Fig. 6.5.	Bacteria inside an egg near the ovipositor of a female <i>H. contortus</i> .	77
Fig. 6.6.	Bacteria in the uteri of female <i>H. contortus</i> .	78



Fig. 6.7.	Bacteria in the uterus of a female <i>H. contortus</i> .	78
Fig. 6.8.	Bacteria in <i>H. contortus</i> eggs collected from sheep faeces.	78
Fig. 6.9.	Bacteria in the gut of a female <i>H. contortus</i> .	78
Fig. 6.10.	Bacteria in the gut of a female <i>H. contortus</i> .	78
Fig. 5.1App.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the neighbour joining method from bacterial 16S rRNA genes sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1492r and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	131
Fig. 5.2App.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the neighbour joining method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1492r and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences	131
Fig. 5.3App.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the parsimony method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1492r and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	131
Fig. 5.4App.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the neighbour joining method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1040firmR and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	131
Fig. 5.5App.	Phylogenetic tree based upon the parsimony method from bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> using the primer set 27f and 1040firmR and reference 16S rRNA gene sequences.	131
Fig. 7.1App.	Penetrability of the Lab158 (Cy3 labelled) probe with and without lysozyme treatment before the hybridisation.	137
Fig. 7.2App.	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the Lab158 (FITC labelled) probe.	137

Fig. 7.3App.	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the S-G-Wei-0121-a-S-20 (Cy3 labelled) probe.	138
Fig. 7.4App.	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the Wgp (Cy3 labelled) probe.	138
Fig. 7.5App.	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the Strc493 (FITC labelled) probe.	139
Fig. 7.6App.	Optimisation of hybridisation stringency and verification of specificity of the ALFa1, Beta1 and SteMa1_439 (CY3 labelled) probes.	140

## List of Tables

		Facing Page
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Universal bacterial primers used to target 16S rRNA sequences.	38
<b>Table 3.2</b>	PCR products generated at annealing temperatures from 54-62°C using primer sets A to F	43
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Primers used for the amplification of inserts in the pCR 2.1 TOPO-TA vector.	49
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Summary of phylogenetic affiliations of bacterial sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> adult worms, L3 and eggs (extracted from faeces and laid <i>in vitro</i> ).	52
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Universal bacterial (27f + 1492r) and phylum Firmicutes-specific (27f+1040firmR) primers used to target 16S rRNA sequences to construct clone libraries.	59
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Taxonomic assignment of ~1400bp 16S rRNA sequences from <i>H. contortus</i> , based on the closest cultured and type strain relatives.	62
<b>Table 5.3</b>	Taxonomic assignment of ~1000bp of 16S rRNA sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> , based on the closest cultured and type strain relatives, identified by comparative analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences.	64
<b>Table 6.1</b>	Conditions used for culture of reference bacteria.	69
<b>Table 6.2</b>	Oligonucleotide probes used for fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation of sections of <i>H. contortus</i> and bacterial reference cultures.	71
<b>Table 6.3</b>	Specificity, target and non-target species chosen to optimise bacterial probes used for fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation.	71
<b>Table 6.4</b>	Characteristics of fluorochromes attached to the probes used in fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation.	73
<b>Table 6.5</b>	Probe combinations, fluorochrome labels and formamide concentrations for optimal hybridisation stringency of bacterial species-, group- and class-specific probes used to identify bacteria in <i>H. contortus</i> .	75

<b>Table 6.6</b>	Summary of the specificities of the probes used in fluorescence in situ hybridisation and the locations of the bacteria identified in <i>H. contortus</i> .	79
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Archaeal primers used to amplify bacterial sequences.	85
<b>Table 5.1App</b>	Summary of initial phylogenetic affiliations of bacterial sequences obtained from <i>H. contortus</i> adult worms, L3, eggs extracted from faeces (HEF) and laid <i>in vitro</i> (HEM).	131
<b>Table 6.1App</b>	Formamide volumes for hybridisation buffer.	132
<b>Table 6.2App</b>	NaCl concentrations of washing buffers according to formamide concentration in hybridisation buffer.	133
<b>Table 7.1App.</b>	Lab158 probe penetrability into target and non-target bacterial species, treated and not treated with lysozyme prior to hybridisation.	137
<b>Table 7.2App</b>	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the Lab158 probe, using reference bacterial cultures.	137
<b>Table 7.3App</b>	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the S-G-Wei-0121-a-S-20 probe using reference bacterial cultures.	138
<b>Table 7.4App</b>	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the Wgp probe using reference bacterial cultures.	138
<b>Table 7.5App</b>	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the Strc493 probe, using reference bacterial cultures.	139
<b>Table 7.6App</b>	Verification of probe specificity and determination of optimal hybridisation stringency of the class-specific phylum Proteobacteria probes using reference bacterial cultures.	140

## List of Abbreviations

A	adenosine
<i>A. aegypti</i>	<i>Aedes aegypti</i>
<i>A. albopictus</i>	<i>Aedes albopictus</i>
<i>A. cantonensis</i>	<i>Angiostrongylus cantonensis</i>
<i>A. stephensi</i>	<i>Anopheles stephensi</i>
<i>A. suum</i>	<i>Ascaris suum</i>
ATCC	American type culture collection
BLAST	basic local alignment search tool
<i>B. malayi</i>	<i>Brugia malayi</i>
<i>B. mucronatus</i>	<i>Bursaphelenchus mucronatus</i>
bp	base pair
<i>B. xylophilus</i>	<i>Bursaphelenchus xylophilus</i>
C	cytosine
<i>C. elegans</i>	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i>
CTAB	cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
<i>C. onchophora</i>	<i>Cooperia onchophora</i>
Cy3 and Cy5	cyanine
DGGE	denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis
<i>D. immitis</i>	<i>Dirofilaria immitis</i>
<i>D. melanogaster</i>	<i>Drosophila melanogaster</i>
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid

dNTP	deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
DSMZ	Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic
EPN	entomopathogenic nematodes
FISH	fluorescence <i>in situ</i> hybridisation
FITC	fluorescein isothiocyanate
g	gram
<i>g</i>	gravitational force
G	guanidine
<i>G. rostochiensis</i>	<i>Globodera rostochiensis</i>
h	hour
<i>H. contortus</i>	<i>Haemonchus contortus</i>
H & E	hematoxylin and eosin
<i>H. glycines</i>	<i>Heterodera glycines</i>
<i>H. goettingiana</i>	<i>Heterodera goettingiana</i>
<i>H. polygyrus</i>	<i>Heligmosomoides polygyrus</i>
<i>H. pylori</i>	<i>Helicobacter pylori</i>
IJ	infective juvenile
Inc	Incorporated
J2	second-stage juvenile
Kg	kilogram
kV	kilovolt
LAB	lactic acid bacteria
LB	Luria Bertani

L1	first stage larva
L2	second stage larva
L3	third stage larva
L4	fourth stage larva
LM	light microscopy
Ltd	limited
M	molar
mg	milligram
MEGA	molecular evolutionary genetics analysis
min	minute
ml	millilitre
ML	maximum likelihood
mm	millimeter
mM	millimolar
MMIC	Manawatu Microscopy and Imaging Centre
<i>M. punctatissima</i>	<i>Megacopta punctatissima</i>
MQ	milli Q
<i>N. brasiliensis</i>	<i>Nippostrongylus brasiliensis</i>
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
<i>N. dubius</i>	<i>Nematospiroides dubius</i>
ng	nanogram
NJ	neighbour joining
nm	nanometre
<i>O. ostertagi</i>	<i>Ostertagia ostertagi</i>
OTUs	operational taxonomic units

<i>O. volvulus</i>	<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i>
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PFA	paraformaldehyde
RDP	ribosomal database project
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RO	reverse osmosis
r.p.m	revolutions per minute
<i>R. similis</i>	<i>Radopholus similis</i>
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
sec	second
sp	species
T	thymidine
TAE	tris-acetate EDTA
Taq	<i>Thermus aquaticus</i>
<i>T. circumcincta</i>	<i>Teladorsagia circumcincta</i>
<i>T. colubriformis</i>	<i>Trichostrongylus colubriformis</i>
TE	tris EDTA
TEM	transmission electron microscopy
TEMED	tetramethylenediamine
TGGE	temperature gradient gel electrophoresis
<i>T. muris</i>	<i>Trichuris muris</i>
<i>T. spiralis</i>	<i>Trichinella spiralis</i>



U	unit
UV	ultraviolet
[v/v]	volume per volume
[v/v/v]	volume per volume per volume
[w/v]	weight per volume
<i>X. americanum</i>	<i>Xiphinema americanum</i>
<i>X. brevicollum</i>	<i>Xiphinema brevicollum</i>
<i>X. rivesi</i>	<i>Xiphinema rivesi</i>
μg	microgram
μl	microlitre
μM	micromolar
16S rRNA	small subunit ribosomal RNA