

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

DEVELOPMENT AND PREDICTIVE MODELLING OF SET YOGHURT AS A MADE- IN-TRANSIT (MIT) PRODUCT

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Food Technology

at
Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

NOR KHAIZURA AB RASHID

2013

ABSTRACT

The manufacture of food during distribution, a concept known as “made-in-transit” (MIT) manufacture, has the potential to expand the distribution reach, extend shelf-life, and provide the customer with the freshest possible product. Benefits for the manufacturer include maximising throughput while minimising manufacturing space and inventory. This concept is new, with mushrooms being the only MIT food developed so far. In this study, the feasibility of developing an MIT product from a fermented food was determined using set yoghurt as a model system. An MIT yoghurt was developed through the alteration of some of the yoghurt manufacturing parameters (e.g. milk base formulation, heat treatment, starter culture composition and fermentation temperature), extending the yoghurt fermentation time from about 4 h to 168 h (7 days). It was found necessary to fortify the milk base (reconstituted skim milk powder) with a suitable protein-containing dairy ingredient (sodium caseinate and milk protein concentrate were found best) to rectify the poor texture of the resulting yoghurt. Descriptive testing and acceptance testing using trained and consumer sensory panels, respectively, showed that MIT yoghurts were significantly different from conventionally made yoghurt, but were more acceptable. The yoghurts were found to have adequate shelf lives post fermentation, partly owing to the use of ultra-high temperature (UHT) sterilization of the yoghurt milk base prior to inoculation to ensure the absence of starter bacteria competitors. As the production of MIT yoghurt involves a relatively long, temperature dependent fermentation, it was considered useful to develop models for predicting the effects of both time and temperature on such dependent variables as starter bacteria count, pH, and firmness. A predictive microbiology approach was taken. The modified Gompertz equation was found to model adequately the time dependence of starter bacteria growth and firmness development during fermentation, while the modified logistic equation was found adequate for modelling pH decline. These two equations are primary models, whose parameters were then related to temperature using the square root (Ratkowsky) equation as a secondary model. Combination of the primary and secondary models provides means of predicting the effects of both time and temperature simultaneously. Comparison of predictions with data generated in extrapolation and interpolation experiments proved the efficacy of the models.

The work described in this thesis demonstrates the potential of the MIT concept for a fermented food. The concept could be applied to many fermented foods.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, I would like to start off my words here by thanking Allah SWT, for His mercy, love, and strength granted for me so that I have been able to finish this thesis. May the peace and blessings of Allah SWT be upon Prophet Muhammad PBUH.

I wish to express the deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Steve Flint for his valuable guidance, continuous encouragement, immense patience and generous assistance during my doctoral study. He always provided me space to generate ideas towards the work and provided endless support. Special appreciation is extended to my co-supervisor, Dr Owen McCarthy for his excellent support and guidance. His comments are always constructive and positive, guiding me in completing this thesis with a thorough understanding of the problem, especially on the predictive modelling part.

I would like to thank my co-supervisors, Dr Jon Palmer and Assoc Prof Matt Golding for all their support and suggestions during my study. My thanks also go to Agata Jaworska for providing me with information about the made-in-transit (MIT) concept, Prof Hugh Morton for helping me with experimental design and statistical analysis, Dr John Grigor for assisting me with the sensory analysis and Dr Julia Rayner for helping me in thesis writing.

I would like to thank to Andrew Patrick (Fonterra) for providing the starter bacteria; Gary Radford (Pilot Plant Manager), for assisting me with the UHT milk process; Warwick Johnson (Product Development Lab), for letting me carry out my yoghurt processing in the PD Lab; Ann-Marie Johnson (Micro-suite Lab), for providing a warm lab environment that made it easy for me to carry out the analyses at my bench; Steve Glasgow (Food Chemistry Lab), for assisting me with the texture profile analysis; Weiping Liu and Julia Stevenson (Micro-suite Lab), for helping me at any time in Micro-suite Lab; Dr Dmitry Sokolov and Dr Jianyu (Massey Microscopy and Imaging Centre), for helping me with the confocal microscopy; and to my research student, Tay Shu Wen for assisting me in the sensory evaluation.

I would like to thank my sponsor, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) and Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) Malaysia for the scholarship and the Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health (IFNHH) for the research funding.

Special thanks to my friends that were always close to me whenever I needed advice and opinion; Elham Khanipour, Naila Aishath, Shazla Mohamed, Noor Soffalina Soffian Seng, Kenneth Teh, Norfezah MdNor, Francis Amagloh, Mehak Dhillon, Noor Hazarina Nordin and Lakshmi Madinani. To all Malaysian community in Palmerston North, thank you for the warmest friendship and support.

This thesis would not have been possible without the prayer and constant support of my family. Eternal gratitude goes to my beloved parents (my late father, Ab Rashid Mat Dali and my mother Khalizah A. Hamid) for their encouragement and their sacrifice letting me to be far from them for years.

Greatest gratitude to my beloved husband, Ismail Fitry Mohammad Rashedi for always being with me during my hard and happy times. He always helps me even though he himself is busy with his PhD studies. Thank you so much. Next, special appreciation goes to my dear son, Uzair Aqil for being such a wonderful buddy. Even though he is just 5 years old; the understanding towards my study is beyond his age. He never complains whenever we were very busy at Massey University.

Lastly, millions thanks to all who have helped me in completing this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES	XII
LIST OF TABLES	XIX
ABBREVIATIONS	XXI
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS.....	XXII
CHAPTER ONE : INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 The rationale and importance of the study.....	1
1.3 Hypothesis and Objectives	3
1.4 Thesis outline	4
1.5 References.....	7
CHAPTER TWO : LITERATURE REVIEW.....	9
2.1 Fundamental features of MIT.....	9
2.2 Application and advantages of MIT.....	10
2.3 Challenges of MIT	10
2.4 Potential of MIT in food system	11
2.5 Yoghurt.....	12
2.6 Factors affecting yoghurt fermentation.....	14
2.6.1 Milk standardization.....	14
2.6.2 Fortification with dried dairy ingredient.....	17
2.6.3 Heat treatment.....	19
2.6.4 Starter culture composition	22
2.6.5 Inoculum level	24
2.6.6 Fermentation temperature	24
2.7 Possible mechanism of yoghurt gelation during the long fermentation	25

2.8 Tool to assist in preparing an MIT product	26
2.9 Potential and challenges for yoghurt as an MIT product.....	28
2.10 References.....	28
CHAPTER THREE : MATERIALS AND METHODS	37
3.1 Materials	37
3.1.1 Cultures	37
3.2 Methods	37
3.2.1 Preparation of reconstituted skim milk	37
3.2.2 Heat treatment of milk base	39
3.2.3 Processing of yoghurt.....	40
3.2.4 Measurement of pH	41
3.2.5 Microbiological analysis	41
3.2.6 Determination of titrable acidity	41
3.2.7 Measurement of firmness.....	42
3.3 References.....	43
CHAPTER FOUR : DESIGNING PROCESSING AND FERMENTATION CONDITIONS OF SET YOGHURT AS A MADE-IN-TRANSIT (MIT) PRODUCT	45
4.1 Abstract	45
4.2 Introduction	45
4.3 Materials and Methods.....	46
4.3.1 Microbial growth measurement using impedance analysis (BacTrac™ 4300).....	46
4.3.2 2 ³ factorial experiment design.....	47
4.3.3 Cultures	48
4.3.4 Preparation of reconstituted skim milk	48
4.3.5 Heat treatment of milk base	48
4.3.6 Processing of yoghurt.....	48
4.3.7 Measurement of pH	48
4.3.8 Microbiological analysis	48

4.3.9 Determination of titratable acidity	48
4.3.10 Measurement of firmness	48
4.3.11 Statistical Analyses	49
4.4 Results & Discussion	49
4.4.1 Growth profiles of starter cultures at various incubation temperatures and inoculum sizes as measured by impedance (BacTrac™4300).....	49
4.4.2 Effect of starter culture, inoculum size and fermentation temperature on pH, titratable acidity, starter culture growth and firmness of MIT set yoghurt.....	55
4.5 Conclusion	65
4.6 References.....	66
CHAPTER FIVE : EFFECT OF INCREASING THE CONCENTRATION OF RECONSTITUTED SKIM MILK AS THE MILK BASE OF SET YOGHURT AS A MADE-IN-TRANSIT (MIT) PRODUCT.....	69
5.1 Abstract	69
5.2 Introduction.....	69
5.3 Materials and Methods	70
5.3.1 Experimental Design.....	70
5.3.2 Cultures	70
5.3.3 Preparation of reconstituted skim milk.....	70
5.3.4 Heat treatment of milk base	70
5.3.5 Processing of yoghurt.....	70
5.3.6 Measurement of pH	70
5.3.7 Microbiological analysis	70
5.3.8 Determination of titratable acidity	70
5.3.9 Measurement of firmness.....	70
5.3.10 Statistical Analyses	70
5.4 Results and discussion.....	71
5.5 Conclusion	77
5.6 References.....	77

CHAPTER SIX : THE EFFECT OF MILK BASE FORTIFICATION AND HEAT TREATMENT ON SET YOGHURT AS A MADE-IN-TRANSIT (MIT) PRODUCT 79

6.1 Abstract	79
6.2 Introduction	79
6.3 Materials and Methods.....	81
6.3.1 Experimental design.....	81
6.3.2 Cultures	81
6.3.3 Preparation and fortification of the yoghurt milk base	82
6.3.4 Heat treatment of milk base	82
6.3.5 Yoghurt processing.....	83
6.3.6 Measurement of pH	83
6.3.7 Microbiological analysis	83
6.3.8 Determination of titratable acidity	83
6.3.9 Measurement of firmness.....	83
6.3.10 Statistical analyses	83
6.4 Results and Discussion	83
6.5 Conclusion	96
6.6 References.....	97

CHAPTER SEVEN : THE EFFECT OF MILK BASE FORTIFICATION, FERMENTATION TEMPERATURE, STARTER CULTURE COMPOSITION AND INOCULUM SIZE ON SET YOGHURT AS A MADE-IN-TRANSIT (MIT) PRODUCT 101

7.1 Abstract	101
7.2 Introduction	101
7.3 Materials and Methods.....	103
7.3.1 Experimental design.....	103
7.3.2 Cultures	103
7.3.3 Preparation and fortification of the yoghurt milk base	106
7.3.4 Heat treatment of milk base	106
7.3.5 Yoghurt processing.....	106

7.3.6 Measurement of pH	106
7.3.7 Microbiological analysis	106
7.3.8 Determination of titratable acidity	106
7.3.9 Measurement of firmness	106
7.3.10 Statistical analyses.....	106
7.4 Results and Discussion	107
7.5 Conclusions.....	123
7.6 References.....	123
CHAPTER EIGHT : MICROSTRUCTURE, SENSORY EVALUATION AND STORAGE STABILITY OF POTENTIAL SET YOGHURT AS A MADE-IN-TRANSIT (MIT) PRODUCT	127
8.1 Abstract	127
8.2 Introduction.....	127
8.3 Materials and Methods	128
8.3.1 Experimental design	128
8.3.2 Cultures	129
8.3.3 Preparation and fortification of the yoghurt milk base	129
8.3.4 Heat treatment of milk base	130
8.3.5 Yoghurt processing.....	130
8.3.6 Measurement of pH	130
8.3.7 Microbiological analysis	131
8.3.8 Determination of titratable acidity	131
8.3.9 Measurement of firmness.....	131
8.3.10 Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)	131
8.3.11 Determination of spontaneous syneresis of undisturbed set yoghurt	131
8.3.12 Sensory Evaluation	132
8.3.13 Statistical Analysis	135
8.4 Results and Discussion	136
8.4.1 Microstructure of set yoghurt as an MIT product.....	136

8.4.2	Sensory evaluation of set yoghurt as a MIT product	139
8.4.3	Storage stability of set yoghurt for MIT product	149
8.5	Conclusion	154
8.6	References.....	155
CHAPTER NINE : PREDICTIVE MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION FOR FERMENTATION OF SET CULTURE YOGHURT AS A MADE-IN-TRANSIT PRODUCT		157
9.1	Abstract	157
9.2	Introduction	157
9.3	Materials and Methods.....	158
9.3.1	Experimental design.....	158
9.3.2	Cultures	159
9.3.3	Preparation and fortification of the yoghurt milk base	159
9.3.4	Heat treatment of milk base	159
9.3.5	Yoghurt processing.....	159
9.3.6	Measurement of pH	159
9.3.7	Microbiological analysis	159
9.3.8	Measurement of firmness.....	159
9.3.9	Mathematical modelling	160
9.3.10	Secondary models	163
9.3.11	Statistical analysis.....	164
9.4	Results and Discussion	164
9.4.1	Modelling the effect of time and fermentation temperature on yoghurt acidification.....	164
9.4.2	Modelling the effects of time and fermentation temperature on the growth of starter bacteria (<i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5) in yoghurt.....	172
9.4.3	Modelling the effect of time and fermentation temperature on yoghurt texture in term of firmness.....	181
9.5	Conclusion	186
9.6	References.....	186

CHAPTER TEN : GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	189
10.1 Development of set yoghurt as a MIT product	189
10.2 Development of mathematical models for predicting the effects of time and temperature during MIT yoghurt manufacture	191
10.3 Recommendations for future study	192
10.4 References	193

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Overview of the thesis	6
Figure 2.1: Description of Made in Transit Food (Jaworska, 2007a), with some modification. ..	9
Figure 2.2: Per capita yoghurt consumption in United State from year 1954 to 2005.....	13
Figure 3.1: Diagram of preparing starter culture for MIT set yoghurt; example illustrated is combination of <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5 (STLA) at ratio 1:1.	38
Figure 3.2: Indirect UHT plant (Alfa Laval) use for UHT treatment for yoghurt milk base	39
Figure 3.3: Yoghurt in the incubator	40
Figure 3.4: Texture profile during firmness measurement of MIT set yoghurt by back extrusion using TA.XT2 Texture Analyzer.....	42
Figure 4.1: Growth profiles of STLB, STLA and STLC at 20°C fermentation temperature and inoculum sizes of 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) (ratio of 1:1).	50
Figure 4.2: Growth profiles of STLB, STLA and STLC at 25°C fermentation temperature and inoculum sizes of 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) (ratio of 1:1)	51
Figure 4.3: Growth profiles of STLB, STLA and STLC at 35°C and inoculum sizes of 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) (ratio of 1:1).....	52
Figure 4.4: Growth profiles of STLB, STLA and STLC at 40°C and inoculum sizes of 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) (ratio of 1:1).....	52
Figure 4.5: pH profile of MIT set yoghurt during fermentation using <i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i> STM5 with <i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> subsp <i>bulgaricus</i> (STLB), inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	56
Figure 4.6: pH profiles of MIT set yoghurt during fermentation using <i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i> STM5 with <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5 (STLA), inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	57
Figure 4.7: Final pH of MIT set yoghurt at the end of fermentation (168 h) using STLB and STLA at inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2).....	57
Figure 4.8: Titratable acidity expressed as lactic acid of MIT set yoghurt during fermentation using <i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i> STM5 with <i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> subsp <i>bulgaricus</i> (STLB), inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).....	58
Figure 4.9: Titratable acidity expressed as lactic acid of MIT set yoghurt during fermentation using <i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i> STM5 with <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5 (STLA), inoculum	

size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).....	59
Figure 4.10: Final titratable acidity of MIT set yoghurt at the end of fermentation (168 h) using STLB and STLA at inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Means with different letters are significant different (p < 0.05). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).....	59
Figure 4.11: Growth of (a) <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 and (b) <i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> ssp <i>bulgaricus</i> (STLB) in MIT set yoghurt during fermentation, inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v) and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	60
Figure 4.12: Growth of (a) <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 and (b) <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5 (STLA) in MIT set yoghurt during fermentation, inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% (v/v), fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	61
Figure 4.13: Firmness of MIT set yoghurt during fermentation using <i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i> STM5 with <i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> subsp <i>bulgaricus</i> (STLB), inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0%, fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	63
Figure 4.14: Firmness of MIT set yoghurt during fermentation using <i>Streptococcus thermophiles</i> STM5 with <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5 (STLA), inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0%, fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C. Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).....	64
Figure 4.15: Final firmness of MIT set yoghurt at the end of fermentation (168 h) using STLB and STLA at inoculum size 0.2 and 2.0% and fermentation temperature 25 and 35°C (n=2). Means with different letters are significant different (p<0.05). Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	64
Figure 5.1: pH profiles of MIT set yoghurt at 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20% SMP as the yoghurt milk base. Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).....	71
Figure 5.2: pH of MIT set yoghurt produced at 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20% SMP as the yoghurt milk base at the end of the 168 h fermentation time. Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	72
Figure 5.3: Yoghurt acidity expressed as lactic acid in MIT set yoghurt at 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20% SMP as the yoghurt milk base over the 168 h fermentation time. Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).	73
Figure 5.4: Firmness of MIT set yoghurt at 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20% SMP as the yoghurt milk base over the 168 h fermentation time. Internal bar = ± (2 x sample standard deviation).....	75
Figure 6.1: pH profile of set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and (a) single (UHT sterilization) or (b) double	

(HTLT plus UHT sterilization) heat treatment. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	86
Figure 6.2: Comparison of final pHs of set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and single (UHT) and for single (UHT sterilization) and double (HTLT and UHT) heat treatments. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	87
Figure 6.3: Titratable acidity of set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and (a) single (UHT sterilization) or (b) double (HTLT plus UHT sterilization) heat treatment. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	88
Figure 6.4: Comparison of final titratable acidity for set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and single (UHT) and double heat treatment (HTLT and UHT). Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	89
Figure 6.5: <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 growth in set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and (a) single (UHT sterilization) or (b) double (HTLT plus UHT sterilization) heat treatment. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	90
Figure 6.6: Comparison of final count of <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 for set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and single (UHT) and double heat treatment (HTLT and UHT). Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	91
Figure 6.7: <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5 count in set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and (a) single (UHT sterilization) or (b) double (HTLT plus UHT sterilization) heat treatment. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	92
Figure 6.8: Comparison of final count of <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5 of set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and single (UHT) and double heat treatment (HTLT and UHT). Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	93
Figure 6.9: Firmness of set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and (a) single (UHT sterilization) or (b) double (HTLT plus UHT sterilization) heat treatment. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	95
Figure 6.10: Final firmness comparison of set yoghurt for MIT product produced using yoghurt milk base fortified with SMP, BMP, WPC, MPC or NaCN and single (UHT) and double heat treatment (HTLT and UHT). Internal bar = \pm (2x sample standard deviation).	96
Figure 7.1: Preparation of starter cultures for set yoghurt for a MIT product using 0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v) inocula of STLA and STSTRLA.	105

Figure 7.2: pH profiles of set yoghurt fortified with (a) milk protein concentrate (MPC) and (b) sodium caseinate (NaCN) at three different inoculum levels (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at a fermentation temperature of 20°C.....	108
Figure 7.3: pH profiles of set yoghurt fortified with (a) milk protein concentrate (MPC) and (b) sodium caseinate (NaCN) at three different inoculum levels (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at a fermentation temperature of 22.5°C.....	109
Figure 7.4: pH profiles of set yoghurt fortified with (a) milk protein concentrate (MPC) and (b) sodium caseinate (NaCN) at three different inoculum levels (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at a fermentation temperature of 25°C.....	110
Figure 7.5: Main effects of fermentation temperature (FT), inoculum size (IS), starter culture composition (SC) and fortifying material (FM) for final pH, titratable acidity, <i>S. thermophilus</i> count, <i>S. thermophilus</i> (ropy) count, <i>L. acidophilus</i> count and firmness of set yoghurt as an MIT product.....	112
Figure 7.6: Rate of acidification, expressed as $[\Delta\text{pH} = \text{pH}_{\text{zero time}} - \text{pH}_{\text{at time}}]$ as a function of time, in set yoghurt as an MIT product fortified with milk protein concentrate (MPC) or sodium caseinate (NaCN), inoculated at three inoculum levels (0.2, 0.002 or 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA or STSTRLA and fermented at 20, 22.5 or 25°C.....	113
Figure 7.7: Final pH of set yoghurt as an MIT product fortified with milk protein concentrate (MPC) and sodium caseinate (NaCN) and inoculated with three inoculum levels (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at fermentation temperatures of 20, 22.5 and 25°C.....	115
Figure 7.8: Final titratable acidity of set yoghurt as an MIT product fortified with milk protein concentrate (MPC) and sodium caseinate (NaCN) and inoculum levels (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at fermentation temperatures of 20, 22.5 and 25°C..	116
Figure 7.9: Final <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 count of set yoghurt as an MIT product fortified with milk protein concentrate (MPC) and sodium caseinate (NaCN) and inocula of (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at fermentation temperatures of 20, 22.5 and 25°C. .	119
Figure 7.10: Final <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> (ropy strain) ST10 of set yoghurt as an MIT product fortified with milk protein concentrate (MPC) and sodium caseinate (NaCN) and inoculated at inocula (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STSTRLA at fermentation temperatures of 20, 22.5 and 25°C.....	120
Figure 7.11: Final <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5 count of set yoghurt as an MIT product fortified with milk protein concentrate (MPC) and sodium caseinate (NaCN) and inocula of (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at fermentation temperatures of 20, 22.5 and 25°C. .	121
Figure 7.12: Final firmness of set yoghurt as an MIT product fortified with milk protein concentrate (MPC) and sodium caseinate (NaCN) and inoculum levels (0.2, 0.002 and 0.00002% (v/v)) of STLA and STSTRLA at fermentation temperatures of 20, 22.5 and 25°C. .	122

Figure 8.1: Legends to define intensity of attributes were used during evaluation training only.	134
Figure 8.2: Confocal laser scanning micrographs of set yoghurt at 0 h (a), 48 h (b), 96 (c), 120 (d), 144 h (e) and 168 h (f) of fermentation for the formulation: 0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC). The green colour indicates the protein network.	137
Figure 8.3: Confocal laser scanning micrographs of set yoghurt at 0 h (a), 48 h (b), 96 (c), 120 (d), 144 h (e) and 168 h (f) of fermentation for the formulation: 0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN). The green colour indicates the protein network.....	138
Figure 8.4: Radar plot of evaluated sensory attributes of three set yoghurts: standard set yoghurt (2.0% (v/v) of STL; blue), MIT set yoghurt (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC); red) and MIT set yoghurt (0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN); green). "A" denotes appearance, "BS with spoon" denotes texture assessed with spoon before stirring; "BS in mouth" denotes texture assessed in mouth before stirring; "AS with spoon" denotes texture assessed with spoon after stirring; "AS in mouth" denotes texture assessed in mouth after stirring.....	145
Figure 8.5: Principle Component Analysis biplots of standard set yoghurt and MIT set yoghurts for significant attributes. Sample 1: Standard set yoghurt, Sample 2: MIT set yoghurt (0.2% (v/v) STLA MPC) and Sample 3: MIT set yoghurt (0.002% (v/v) STLA NaCN).....	146
Figure 8.6: Acceptability scores ^a for three set yoghurts: standard set yoghurt (2.0% (v/v) of STL), MIT set yoghurt (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC)) and MIT set yoghurt (0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN)). ^a All values are based on a 9-point hedonic scale, where 1 = dislike extremely and 9 = like extremely. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	148
Figure 8.7: pH profiles of two set yoghurts for MIT (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC) and 0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN)) during 168 h of fermentation. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).....	149
Figure 8.8: pH profiles of two set yoghurts (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC) and 0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN)) during 12 weeks of storage at 4-6°C. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	150
Figure 8.9: Titratable acidity profiles of two set yoghurts (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC) and 0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN)) during 12 weeks of storage at 4-6°C. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	151
Figure 8.10: <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5 counts for two set yoghurts (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC) and 0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN)) during 12 weeks of storage at 4-6°C. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	152
Figure 8.11: Firmness profiles of two set yoghurts (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC) and 0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN)) during 12 weeks	

storage at 4-6°C. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation). Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	153
Figure 8.12: Spontaneous syneresis in two set yoghurts (0.2% (v/v) of STLA using milk protein concentrate (MPC) and 0.002% (v/v) of STLA using sodium caseinate (NaCN)) during 12 weeks of storage at 4-6°C. Internal bar = \pm (2 x sample standard deviation).	154
Figure 9.1: pH profiles of set culture yoghurt at four different fermentation temperatures (22.5, 25, 27.5 and 30°C).	165
Figure 9.2: Observed pH profiles (symbols) fitted with the primary models (lines) (a) modified Gompertz equation, (b) modified logistic equation and (c) Baranyi equation (brown: 22.5°C; orange: 25°C; olive green: 27.5°C and green : 30°C).	166
Figure 9.3: Observed values of $\sqrt{\mu_{max,pH}}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line).	168
Figure 9.4: Observed values of $\sqrt{1/\lambda_{pH}}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line).	169
Figure 9.5: Observed values of $\sqrt{D_{pH}}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line).	169
Figure 9.6: Predicted versus observed pH profiles during 168 h of fermentation at temperatures of (a) 23°C and (b) 27°C (interpolation), and (c) 20°C and (d) 32°C (extrapolation).	171
Figure 9.7: <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 growth (a) and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5 growth (b) in set culture yoghurt at four different fermentation temperatures: 22.5, 25, 27.5 and 30°C.	173
Figure 9.8: Experimental data (symbols) for starter bacteria (<i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5) growth in yoghurt compared with the fitted primary models (lines) (a) modified Gompertz equation , (b) modified logistic equation and (c) Baranyi equation (brown: 22.5°C; orange: 25°C; olive green: 27.5°C and green: 30°C).	174
Figure 9.9: Observed values of $\sqrt{\mu_{max,pH}}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line) for a) <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and b) <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5.	176
Figure 9.10: Observed values of $\sqrt{1/\lambda_{sc}}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line) for a) <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and b) <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5.	177
Figure 9.11: Predicted versus observed growth profiles for <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5 during 168 h of fermentation at temperatures (a) 23°C and (b) 27°C (interpolation).	179
Figure 9.12: Predicted versus observed growth profiles for <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5 during 168 h of fermentation at temperatures of (a) 20°C and (b) 32°C (extrapolation).	180

Figure 9.13: Firmness of set culture yoghurt at the different fermentation temperatures (22.5, 25, 27.5 and 30°C). 181

Figure 9.14: Experimental data (symbols) for firmness development in yoghurt compared with the fitted primary models (lines) (a) the modified Gompertz equation, (b) the modified logistic equation (brown: 25°C; orange: 27.5°C and olive green: 30°C)..... 182

Figure 9.15: Observed values of $\sqrt{\mu_{max,F}}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line)..... 183

Figure 9.16: Observed values of $\sqrt{1/\lambda_F}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line). 184

Figure 9.17: Observed values of $\sqrt{D_F}$ (symbol) compared with square root predictive equation (line). 184

Figure 9.18: Predicted versus observed yoghurt firmness during 168 h of fermentation at temperatures of (a) 27°C and (b) 32°C..... 185

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Examples of Fermented foods: substrate, cultured microorganism(s) and country	12
Table 2.2: Composition of cow's milk.....	15
Table 2.3: Comparison on the quality of yoghurt produced by UHT and pasteurized milk.....	21
Table 4.1: 2 ³ factorial experiment designs for investigating the effect of starter culture, inoculum size and fermentation temperature on producing made-in-transit (MIT) set yoghurt.	48
Table 4.2: Final pH of the BacTrac samples after 168h of incubation.....	54
Table 5.1: Viable cell counts of <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 in MIT set yoghurt produced at 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20% SMP as the yoghurt milk base during incubation at 25°C.	74
Table 5.2: Viable cell counts of <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5 in MIT set yoghurt produced at 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20% SMP as the yoghurt milk base during incubation at 25°C.	74
Table 5.3: Final characteristics of MIT set yoghurt produced at 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20% SMP as the yoghurt milk base.....	76
Table 6.1: Experimental design for investigating the effect of fortification material and heat treatment on the acidification and texture of set yoghurt for a made-in-transit product.....	81
Table 6.2: Protein content for fortification of dried dairy ingredients to the milk bases and control milk used for set yoghurt production (g/100g)	82
Table 6.3: p-values for the effects of fortifying material and heat treatment on pH decline, firmness development, and starter culture growth in yoghurt during and at the end of 168 h of fermentation at 25°C.	87
Table 7.1: Split-plot experiment design for investigating the effect of fortification material, fermentation temperature, starter culture composition and inoculum size on the acidification and texture of, and starter culture growth in, set yoghurt as an MIT product.....	104
Table 7.2: Effects of fermentation temperature (FT), inoculum size (IS), starter culture (SC) and fortifying material (FM) on pH profile during fermentation, and the final pH, titratable acidity, starter culture count and firmness of yoghurt at 168 h of fermentation.	110
Table 8.1: Set yoghurt formulations and processing conditions.....	129
Table 8.2: Attributes and definitions used for sensory profiling of set yoghurts	140
Table 8.3: p-values for the effect of yoghurt formulation on yoghurt attribute, for differences between panelists in assessing attributes, and for yoghurt-panelist interactions	141
Table 8.4: Mean values of sensory attributes (ranging from 0 to 15, arbitrary units), and Fisher's least significant differences between means (indicated by superscripts).	144

Table 9.1: Definition of the constants in the modified Gompertz, modified Logistic and Baranyi equations.....	162
Table 9.2: Unit of constant, α , in equations 6 to 8 for pH decline, starter bacteria growth and firmness development.	163
Table 9.3: Primary model parameters and R^2 values obtained by fitting the modified Gompertz, modified logistic and Baranyi equations to the experimental pH profiles for yoghurt made by fermentation at 22.5, 25, 27.5 and 30°C.....	167
Table 9.4: Parameters of the secondary models for the effect of temperature on pH decline.	170
Table 9.5: Primary model parameters and R^2 values obtained by fitting the modified Gompertz, modified logistic and Baranyi equations to the experimental growth profiles of <i>S. thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>L. acidophilus</i> LA5 in yoghurt made by fermentation at 22.5, 25, 27.5 and 30°C.	175
Table 9.6: Constants value obtained from the regression equation.	177
Table 9.7: Primary model parameters and R^2 values obtained by fitting the modified Gompertz and modified logistic equations to the experimental firmness development profiles in yoghurt made by fermentation at 25, 27.5 and 30°C.....	183
Table 9.8: Constants value obtained from the regression equation.	185

ABBREVIATIONS

λ	Lag phase
μ_{\max}	Maximum growth
BMP	Buttermilk powder
CCP	Colloidal calcium phosphate
cfu	Colony forming unit
g	gram
h	hour
HTLT	High temperature long time
MIT	Made-in-transit
mL	millilitre
mmol	millimoles
MPC	Milk protein concentrates
NaCN	Sodium caseinate
SMP	Skim milk powder
STLA	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> LA5
STLB	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>Lactobacillus delrueckii</i> subsp. <i>bulgaricus</i>
STLC	<i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> STM5 and <i>Lactobacillus casei</i>
UHT	Ultra-high temperature
WMP	Whole milk powder
WPC	Whey protein concentrates
WPD	Whey protein denaturation

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Nor-Khaizura M-A-R, Flint SH, McCarthy OJ, Palmer JS, Golding M, Jaworska A (2012). Development of made-in-transit set culture yoghurt: effect of increasing the concentration of reconstituted skim milk as the milk base. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology* 47 (3):579-584. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02880.x

Nor-Khaizura M-A-R, Flint SH, McCarthy OJ, Palmer JS, Golding M, Jaworska A. The Effect of Milk Base Fortification and Heat Treatment on the Texture of Set Culture Yoghurt as a Made-in-Transit (MIT) Product. Submitted to *Food Science & Nutrition*

Nor-Khaizura M-A-R, Flint SH, McCarthy OJ, Palmer JS, Golding M, Jaworska A. Fermentation Conditions to Enable the Manufacture of a Long Life Set Yoghurt as Made-in-Transit Product. Submitted to *International Journal of Dairy Technology*

Conferences

Nor-Khaizura M-A-R, Flint SH, McCarthy OJ, Palmer JS, Golding M (2011). Screening of yoghurt fermentation conditions to develop “made-in-transit” product. NZBIO Conference 2010, Sky City Convention Centre, Auckland, 22-24 March 2010. (Poster presentation)

Nor-Khaizura M-A-R, Flint SH, McCarthy OJ, Palmer JS, Golding M (2011). Development of Primary and Secondary Models for Growth of Starter Culture on Made-in-Transit (MIT) Set Yoghurt. New Zealand Microbiology Society (NZMS) Conference 2011, Convention Center, Palmerston North, 26–28 November 2011. (Oral presentation)

Nor-Khaizura M-A-R, Flint SH, McCarthy OJ, Palmer JS, Golding M (2012). The Effect of Fortification and Heat Treatment on the Texture of Set Culture Yoghurt as a Made-in-Transit Product. New Zealand Institute Food Science and Technology (NZIFST) Conference 2012, Claudelands Events Centre, Hamilton, 26-28 June 2012. (Oral and poster presentation)

Nor-Khaizura M-A-R, Flint SH, McCarthy OJ, Palmer JS, Golding M (2012). Development and Predictive Modelling of Set Yoghurt as a Made-in-Transit (MIT) Product. Food Symposium 2012, Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health, Massey University, Palmerston North, 16 November 2012. (Oral presentation)