

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Remember To Turn Off the Stove!**  
**Prospective Memory in Dementia**

*A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree in Master of Arts in Psychology at  
Massey University, Wellington,  
New Zealand.*

**Michelle Woodfield**  
**2013**

## Abstract

Dementia predominately affects older people, progressively affecting activities of daily living. Research shows prospective memory, the memory for future intentions, to be a sensitive indicator of dementia. Prospective memory is not routinely assessed in older people, yet testing prospective remembering could result in early diagnosis of dementia creating opportunity for early intervention. A retrospective analysis of the prospective memory subtest scores on the Rivermead Behavioural Memory Test (RBMT), a test of everyday memory, was completed for a group of older adults diagnosed with either vascular dementia (n=35) or Alzheimer's disease (n=39) aged 60-89 years. These individuals participated in a study by Glass (1998) exploring the possibility of discrimination between vascular dementia and non-vascular dementia using the RBMT. Glass's findings indicated that a combination of four subtests, two of which assessed prospective memory, were able to classify a case as vascular or non-vascular with an error rate of 2.7% out of the 74 cases analysed. The question that rose from that data was, what would be the predictive validity of the 3 prospective memory subtests if the individual scoring components were analysed separately? Glass's data was reviewed and analysed using nonparametric and Chi Square statistical analysis. Analysis indicated that the components that assessed the prospective element of prospective memory were more predictive of vascular dementia (VAD) and dementia of the Alzheimer's type (DAT) than the retrospective element. Individual subtest scoring components indicated significant differences between VaD and DAT on 'delayed message', 'delayed location' and 'spontaneous appointment request'. Unexpectedly, there was also a significant gender difference within the DAT group favouring males on the scoring component

'delayed message'. It was also significant that the PM performance of VaD females exceeded that of the DAT females on the subtests 'delayed message' and 'appointment'. Differences in prospective memory performance between VaD and DAT were not substantial enough to support the use of PM performance as a discriminator between VaD and DAT in a clinical setting but may be useful as an additional marker in differential diagnosis.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to show my appreciation for Dr John Glass, without whom this study would not have been possible. Thank you for allowing me access to your data and for being so generous with your time, encouragement, and advice. I would also like to acknowledge the participants, many of whom will have passed on now, who were willing to have their data used for ongoing research.

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Janet Leathem, for your enthusiasm, expertise and support. I have appreciated your gentle guidance, patience, sense of humour and encouragement throughout the process.

Thank you to my friends and colleagues who kept me grounded and cheered me on. I appreciated the interest shown in what I was doing, motivating me to continue. A special thank you to Jacqui for reviewing my draft and worrying about time frames on my behalf. Also my thanks to Anthony for helping me to better understand statistical analysis.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge my family for their support throughout this journey. Especially my husband Noel for always proof reading my work. Thank you for your love and support throughout the years of study. To my sons, Blake and Scott thank you for your love, patience and understanding.

## Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements .....	iii
Table of Contents.....	iv
List of Tables and Figures.....	vi
<b>Chapter 1: Overview.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2: Age-related cognitive impairment and dementia.....</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Older people in New Zealand.....	4
2.2 Cognitive changes in normal aging.....	4
2.3 Mild cognitive impairment.....	7
2.3.1 Prevalence of mild cognitive impairment.....	8
2.3.2 Diagnostic criteria.....	8
2.3.3 Progression of mild cognitive impairment.....	10
2.4 Dementia.....	13
2.4.1 Prevalence of dementia.....	14
2.4.2 Alzheimer’s disease.....	16
2.4.3 Brain pathology of Alzheimer’s disease.....	19
2.4.4 Treatment and prevention.....	20
2.4.5 Vascular dementia.....	22
2.4.6 Brain pathology of vascular dementia.....	24
2.4.7 Similarities and differences between vascular dementia and Alzheimer’s disease.....	26
2.5 Summary.....	29
<b>Chapter 3 Memory.....</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1 Working memory.....	31
3.2 Episodic memory.....	33
3.3 Object-Location memory.....	33
3.4 Semantic memory.....	35

3.5	Recognition memory.....	36
3.6	Retrospective memory.....	39
3.7	Prospective memory.....	40
3.7.1	Multi process theory.....	42
3.7.2	Prospective memory and aging.....	44
3.7.3	Prospective memory, mild cognitive impairment and dementia.....	50
3.7.4	Prospective memory, dementia and depression.....	54
3.7.5	Measurement of prospective memory.....	55
3.7.6	The Rivermead Behavioural Memory Test.....	57
3.8	Summary.....	66
<b>Chapter 4 Method.....</b>		<b>67</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	67
4.2	Hypotheses.....	69
4.3	Participants.....	70
4.4	Measure.....	71
4.5	Procedure.....	71
<b>Chapter 5 Results.....</b>		<b>75</b>
5.1	Summary of results.....	84
<b>Chapter 6 Discussion.....</b>		<b>88</b>
6.1	Summary of aims and predictions.....	88
6.2	The prospective memory subtests.....	89
6.3	Limitations and recommendations.....	96
<b>References.....</b>		<b>99</b>

## List of Tables and Figures

### Table:

1. Memory complaints in normal aging, depression and dementia.....	7
2. Etiologies of dementia.....	14
3. DSM-IV-TR criteria for the diagnosis of dementia of the Alzheimer's type.....	17
4. DSM-IV-TR criteria for vascular dementia.....	24
5. Features of vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease.....	29
6. RBMT prospective memory subtests.....	59
7. RBMT raw test scores: means, standard deviations and F ratios.....	61
8. Comparison of Glass (1999) data and data used in this study.....	73
9. Summary of age characteristics by dementia type diagnosis.....	73
10. RBMT prospective memory subtests broken down into test components with corresponding raw scores.....	74
11. RBMT prospective memory raw scores.....	75
12. The immediate and delayed components of the subtest message.....	76
13. Dementia by age and gender.....	84

### Figure:

1. Baddley's Model of Working Memory.....	32
2. Remembering to pick up the message: Immediate and delayed.....	77
3. Remembering to leave the message in the correct place: Immediate and delayed	78
4. Appointment: Remembering to ask for an appointment.....	79
5. Belonging: Remembered to ask for belonging, remembered the location.....	81
6. Gender: Delayed spontaneous pick-up and location.....	83
7. Remembering to ask for an appointment.....	83