

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

YIELD RESPONSES OF TOMATO
(Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.)
TO FRUIT THINNING AND PLANT SPACING

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Applied Science in Plant Science
at Massey University, New Zealand

Stewart K Field

2002

Abstract

Control of individual tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) fruit weight during a crop cycle is of commercial benefit to improve marketable yield. To assess the main causes of variability in tomato fruit size, plant spacing and fruit thinning effects on fruit yield and individual fruit weight down the truss was examined.

Three fresh market tomato cultivars, Alboran (Standard), Ophir (Beefsteak) and Cherita (Cherry) were grown, in New Zealand during winter and spring months of 2001, in a greenhouse with NFT at three plant densities (2.76, 3.67 and 4.59 plant per m²). Each tomato cultivar was fruit thinned to three different levels (3, 4 and 5 fruit per truss for Alboran; 1,2 and 3 fruit per truss for Ophir; 4, 8 and 12 fruit per truss for Cherita). Total fruit weight and fruit number were taken at each harvest for all treatments. At the low density (2.76 plants per m²) individual fruit weights within each truss were taken for all fruit thinning treatments. A total soluble solid measurement was also taken during an August harvest.

Alboran showed a significantly higher final fruit yield per surface area than Ophir and Cherita cultivars, indicating why Alboran is a standard cultivar. No difference in fruit yield or fruit number surface area was observed with both Alboran and Ophir cultivars as plant density increased. This was the result of flower and / or fruit abortion at the higher densities, due to low solar radiation levels observed under winter and spring conditions in this study. Cherita, although not significant, showed a trend of increasing fruit yield per surface area as plant density increased. The low solar radiation levels did not have as larger effect on flower and / or fruit abortion in Cherita. It had a lower fruit (sink) load and was able to support more fruit development with the low levels of photosynthetic assimilate produced under the low solar radiation levels. Larger mean fruit weights, in all three cultivars, were observed with more fruit thinning due to increased photosynthetic assimilate being available to the remaining fruit. No difference in fruit yield per surface

area was observed with both Alboran or Ophir cultivars as more fruit were left on the truss, which was due to the smaller mean fruit weight and a greater proportion of flower and / or fruit abortion caused by the high fruit load with low solar radiation levels. However thinning Cherita to 4 fruit per truss did produce significantly lower yields per surface area compared with 8 and 12 fruit per truss.

Individual fruit weight down the truss, of all three cultivars, reduced in size by a constant factor or slope. A slope of $-13 \text{ g / fruit position}$ was observed for Alboran, which was flatter than that of Ophir ($-17 \text{ g / fruit position}$) and steeper than that of Cherita (-0.15 to $-0.60 \text{ g / fruit position}$). The number of fruit on the truss did not affect the slope of Alboran and Ophir cultivars, while thinning Cherita to 4 fruit per truss produced a significantly steeper slope ($-0.60 \text{ g / fruit position}$) than 8 and 12 fruit per truss ($0.25 \text{ g / fruit position}$). More fruit present on a truss produced smaller proximal (first) fruit, thus reducing the size of the remaining fruit on the truss proportionately. Individual trusses within Cherita were also shown to have a constant slope of fruit size down the truss.

These results suggest that plant density and fruit thinning can be used to produce more fruit within the desired marketable fruit size range all year round. However a greater understanding of plant density and fruit thinning interactions during different growing seasons must first be achieved.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my extreme thanks and acknowledgement to my chief supervisor Dr. Mike Nichols for his continued encouragement, guidance and friendly criticism as well as an extreme patience! You have shown me what is required in preparing a thesis, and to this I am extremely grateful.

I am very appreciative of my acting supervisor, Dr Hossein Behboudian, who managed the administrative aspects of my programme in Dr. Nichols' absence.

I wish to also thank Jorge Zegbe for the assistance in the statistical analysis as well as many other problems that surfaced along the way. All the best for the completion of your PhD.

I also greatly thank the staff of the Plant Growth Unit, Lindsay and Lesley for NFT preparation and continued technical support. Special thanks also to Chris Rawlingson for recording data in the final months of the experiment.

I sincerely thank my parents for their inspiration and help through this and previous years. To my grandparents, brother, auntie's and uncles for their support in many ways. I specially thank my girlfriend Angela for your continued support. Your superior typing skills were extremely appreciated!

My sincere thanks and appreciation

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Plates	xi
Introduction	xii
Chapter 1 Review of Literature	
1.1 The tomato	1
1.2 Vegetative growth	1
1.3 Flowering	2
1.4 Pollination	3
1.5 Fruit development	4
1.6 Fruit quality	5
1.7 Light	5
1.8 Fruit thinning	8
1.9 Plant density	14
1.10 Glasshouse production	17
1.11 Temperature	18
1.12 Carbon dioxide	19
1.13 Humidity	20
1.14 Manual operations	20
1.15 Nutrient film technique (NFT)	21
1.16 Nutrient solution conductivity	21
1.17 pH	24
1.18 Blossom end rot (BER)	25

Chapter 2 Materials and Methods

2.1	Research site	27
2.2	Crop establishment	27
2.3	Treatments	28
2.4	Experimental layout	28
2.5	Crop management	29
	2.5.1 Nutrient solution	29
	2.5.2 Training of plants and fruit set	29
	2.5.3 Temperature control	30
	2.5.4 Pest and disease control	30
2.6	Data collection and analysis	31
	2.6.1 Fruit yield	31
	2.6.2 Effect of fruit position within a truss	31
	2.6.2 Total soluble solid (TSS) measurement	31

Chapter 3 Results

3.1	Fruit Yield	32
3.1.1	Final fruit yield	32
3.1.1.1	Final fruit yield per m ²	32
3.1.1.2	Final fruit yield per plant	34
3.1.2	Yield parameters (Mean fruit weight, fruit weight and fruit number per month)	35
3.1.2.1	Alboran tomato	35
3.1.2.1.1	Fruit thinning	35
3.1.2.1.2	Plant density	36
3.1.2.2	Ophir tomato	37
3.1.2.2.1	Fruit thinning treatments	37
3.1.2.2.2	Plant density	38
3.1.2.3	Cherita tomato	39
3.1.2.3.1	Fruit thinning	39
3.1.2.3.2	Plant density	42

3.2	Effect of fruit position within a truss	44
3.2.1	Fruit weight down truss	44
3.2.1.1	Alboran tomato	44
3.2.1.2	Ophir tomato	45
3.2.1.3	Cherita tomato	46
3.2.2	First fruit on truss	47
3.2.2.1	Alboran tomato	47
3.2.2.2	Ophir tomato	48
3.2.2.3	Cherita tomato	49
3.2.3	Slope of fruit down the truss	49
3.2.3.1	Alboran and Ophir tomatoes	49
3.2.3.2	Cherita tomato	51
3.2.4	Individual truss slopes	52
3.2.4.1	Alboran and Ophir tomatoes	52
3.2.4.2	Cherita tomato	52
3.3	Total Soluble Solids measurement	55
Chapter 4 Discussion		
4.1	Fruit yield	56
4.1.1	Plant density	56
4.1.2	Fruit Thinning	58
4.2	Fruit position effect	60
4.3	Potential of manipulating plant density and fruit number per truss on individual fruit size	62
4.4	Further Research	64
4.5	Conclusion	65
Chapter 5 References		
Appendices		
		79

List of Tables

Table 2.1.	Fruit thinning treatments per truss.	28
Table 3.1.	Final yield per m ² for Alboran tomato at different fruit thinning treatments.	34
Table 3.2.	Final yield per m ² for Ophir tomato at different fruit thinning treatments.	34
Table 3.3.	Final fruit yields per plant and per m ² for the three tomato cultivars at different plant densities.	35
Table 3.4.	Yield parameters per m ² for Alboran tomato at different fruit thinning treatments.	36
Table 3.5.	Yield parameters per m ² for Alboran tomato at different plant densities.	36
Table 3.6.	Yield parameters per m ² for Ophir tomato at different fruit thinning treatments.	37
Table 3.7.	Mean fruit weight for Ophir tomato at different plant densities.	38
Table 3.8.	Fruit weight each month per m ² for Ophir tomato at different plant densities.	38
Table 3.9.	Fruit number each month per m ² for Ophir tomato at different plant densities.	39
Table 3.10.	Mean fruit weight each month per m ² for Cherita tomato at different plant densities.	42
Table 3.11.	Fruit weight each month per m ² for Cherita tomato at different plant densities.	43
Table 3.12.	Fruit number each month per m ² for Cherita tomato at different plant densities.	43
Table 3.13.	Fruit weight of Alboran tomatoes thinned to 3, 4 and 5 fruit per truss at different fruit positions down the truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	44

Table 3.14.	Fruit weight of Ophir tomatoes thinned to 1, 2 and 3 fruit per truss at different fruit positions down the truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	46
Table 3.15.	Fruit weight of Cherita tomatoes thinned to 4, 8 and 12 fruit per truss at different fruit positions down the truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	47
Table 3.16.	Weight of first fruit on truss for Alboran fruit thinning treatments at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	48
Table 3.17.	Weight of first fruit on truss for Ophir fruit thinning treatments at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	48
Table 3.18.	Weight of first fruit on truss for Cherita fruit thinning treatments at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	49
Table 3.19.	Slopes of Alboran and Ophir thinning treatments down the truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	50
Table 3.20.	Slope of Cherita thinning treatments down the truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	52
Table 3.21.	Brix readings of red ripe fruit	55

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1. The relationship between the number of flowers in the first inflorescence reaching anthesis and mean daily radiant exposure (400 – 700 nm). (Atherton & Othman, 1983) (as reported in Atherton & Rudich, 1986). 7
- Figure 1.2. Number of fruit per truss (A) and number of fruit aborted per truss (B) recorded on the first nine inflorescence : Bars are 7 fruit per truss, 7 fruit per truss with CO₂ enrichment, 3 fruit per truss, 3 fruit per truss with CO₂ enrichment respectively (Bertin, 1995). 12
- Figure 1.3. Percentage of aborted flower buds for each flower position within the inflorescence (1 is the proximal flower) : Bars are 7 fruit per truss without and with CO₂ enrichment (Bertin, 1995). 13
- Figure 1.4. Mean fruit weight for different plant densities. (1) Early crop and (2) autumn crop. (Anker et al., 1980) (as in Atherton & Rudich, 1986). 16
- Figure 1.5. Effect of night temperature on early (4 week) and total (20 week) tomato yields (Slack & Calvert, 1978) (as in Atherton & Rudich, 1986). 19
- Figure 3.1 Final fruit yield per m² of the three tomato cultivars against plant density. 33
- Figure 3.2 Final fruit yield per m² for Cherita tomato at different fruit thinning treatments against plant density. 33
- Figure 3.3. Mean fruit weight each month for Cherita tomato at different fruit thinning treatments. 40
- Figure 3.4. Fruit weight each month per m² for Cherita tomato at different fruit thinning treatments. 41

Figure 3.5.	Fruit number each month per m ² for Cherita tomato at different fruit thinning treatments.	41
Figure 3.6.	Regression lines of Alboran tomato fruit down the truss for the three fruit thinning treatments at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	50
Figure 3.7.	Regression lines of Ophir tomato fruit down the truss for the three fruit thinning treatments at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	51
Figure 3.8.	Regression lines of fruit weight down the truss for Cherita tomato when thinned to 4 fruit per truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	53
Figure 3.9.	Regression lines of fruit weight down the truss for Cherita tomato when thinned to 8 fruit per truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	54
Figure 3.10.	Regression lines of fruit weight down the truss for Cherita tomato when thinned to 12 fruit per truss at a plant density of 2.76 plants / m ²	55
Figure 4.1.	Simple schematic diagram of manipulating fruit size by plant density and fruit thinning	63

List of Plates

Plate 2.1.	Alboran truss thinned to 5 fruit.	29
Plate 2.2.	Tomato plants in NFT channels, being trained up string supports	30
Plate 3.1.	Alboran mature truss thinned to 4 fruit	45