Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

UNBALANCED IMAGES AND INAPPROPRIATE RESPONSES: HOW THREE WESTERN NEWSPAPERS MISREPRESENTED THE 1992-3 SOMALIAN FAMINE

A thesis presented in [partial] fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy in Development Studies at Massey University

James Nicholas Hope 1996

ABSTRACT

There is widespread agreement among media analysts that the media in capitalist societies, such as Australia, New Zealand, the United States and the United Kingdom, present "news" in particular ways which favour certain readings of events over others. Whether this is an explicit or implicit act is open to debate. Regardless, the portrayal of events in the developing world, such as the tragic famine in Somalia in 1992-3, are not quarantined from this process. Consequently, the images of the developing world created in the West by the mainstream media are often highly distorted.

This situation has serious ramifications as it is influential in determining the type and extent of development considered appropriate by the West for the developing world, and the assistance and aid provided. If Western understanding of the events and issues in the developing world is based on the one-sided images transmitted by the media, then this knowledge is incomplete and decisions taken on the basis of this knowledge will not meet the needs of the societies concerned. Therefore, it is not surprising that the history of Western aid for the developing world has been one of incompetence, errors and inappropriate responses.

This thesis provides a critical insight into how and why the Western media works explicitly to shape the "news" we see by analysing how three newspapers, two from the United Kingdom and one from the United States, presented their coverage of the 1992-3 Somalian famine. This analysis, it is hoped, will deepen the reader's understanding of the role of the media in development matters, will alert the reader to the need to adopt a critical approach to media treatment of these matters and will provide the reader with knowledge and resources to assist in the development of such an approach. The adoption of a critical approach to media stereotyping and manipulation will be beneficial in that it will lead to a better understanding of developing world societies and more meaningful interaction between these societies and the West.

PREFACE

In 1986, after completing a BA degree in my home town of Sydney, Australia, I obtained a journalism cadetship on a daily newspaper, the now-defunct *Sun*. I entered journalism with ideals which I presumed would be held by all reporters, namely a commitment to accurately reflect and report on the events and issues in society. Shortly after I commenced work, however, an incident occurred which shocked me.

I had been posted to the finance desk of the *Sun*, and mainly reported on financial matters, my work including interviews with many representatives of industry and commerce. After two months, I decided to write an article about foreign ownership of Australian companies. An important component of my story was an interview with a professor of economics, Ted Wheelwright, of the University of Sydney. I submitted my finished copy to the finance editor for checking, as per the norm in daily reporting. Imagine my consternation, then, when the editor shortly afterwards began raging about the "commie" quoted in my story. Unlike all my other stories, this one did not appear in the *Sun*. While it was acceptable to quote representatives of industry and commerce, including many from the far right of the political spectrum, it was not acceptable to quote anyone left of centre, even those such as Professor Wheelwright with impeccable credentials. I soon learned that it was pointless to write stories which detoured from what was an obviously pre-set editorial line.

From that day, I have had a fascination for the intricate workings of the media in capitalist societies. My entire working life in the media, including reporting and sub-editing on newspapers and magazines in Australia, New Zealand and the UK, has confirmed my view that the media do not present a "balanced" picture of society but, instead, through the explicit choice of stories, by style, placement, emphasis, omission and exaggeration, actively distort the "real". Nowhere, I believe, is this distortion more blatant than in reporting about the developing world.

I base my argument as to this final point on a unique experience that I was fortunate enough to undertake. In May, 1987, I quit the Sun and set out on a personal journey of discovery that would last until December, 1993, and take me to many of the world's "developing" areas, including Central and South America, Africa, the Middle East and Asia. I also travelled and worked in North America and Europe. During this time, I was socially and politically awakened to the vibrant and resourceful nature of the people of the developing world, as well as to the issues of colonialism, neo-colonialism and multinational penetration, which I concluded served actively to depress development in these areas. Accordingly, I became concerned at the contribution of Western media coverage to the poor and negative perception of most Westerners regarding the issues and events of the developing world. A particularly compelling catalyst in awakening me to the media's distortions was the coverage of the 1990-1 Gulf War. I had spent two weeks travelling around Iraq in May-June, 1990, six weeks before Iraq's invasion of Kuwait, and thus had some experience of Iraq and its people. The subsequent media misrepresentation of the affair, particular the marginalisation and treatment of the Iraqi people, appalled me. The systematic bias in reporting of the Gulf War, coupled with my readings of works by Noam Chomsky, Edward S. Herman and John Pilger, convinced me of the importance of raising awareness of Western media distortions so as to counterbalance the negative portrayals of the developing world contained in their presentations.

Hopefully, then, this thesis will provide readers in the West and elsewhere with the knowledge and tools to approach their interpretations of Western media coverage of the developing world with a much more cynical and critical eye. In doing so, Western attitudes to the developing world, including the nature and structure of global economic, social and political relationships, can be challenged - a significant step towards eradicating global inequality and underdevelopment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The production of this thesis has been made possible with the great support and assistance of many people.

In particular I would like to thank my main supervisor, Peter van Diermen from Development Studies, and my second supervisor, Lynne Star from Media Studies, for their helpful suggestions and encouragement throughout the year;

the Heads of Departments of both Development Studies, John Overton, and Media Studies, Graeme Bassett, for all their assistance;

my two flatmates, Anne-Marie O'Neill and Richard Frizzell, for their total kindness, thoughtfulness and, above all, friendship and patience. Their good company and great humour, more than anything, kept me going throughout the year;

Banjo, Anne-Marie's cat, for his occasional company while I slaved over a computer in my room for months on end;

my dear mother, Mary, for her proof-reading, constant encouragement and, above all, concern for my welfare;

Andrew Shadrake, for his kind and constant help with my computer skills,

the following people and organisations for their help, comments and provision of materials: Africa Rights, Amnesty International (Auckland), Jocelyn Armstrong (and the other staff of the Africa Information Centre, Wellington), Centre for the Study of Global Governance (London), Noam Chomsky, the Guardian Reader Services, Index on Censorship (London), International Centre Against Censorship (London), Media Aware (Auckland), the New York Times, John Pilger, the staff and students of the Institute of Development Studies at Massey University, Stephen R. Shalom, The Times, Third World First (Oxford), Third World Network (Penang, Malaysia); and Peter Zwart (Caritas);

and, apart from those friends already mentioned, my other true friends (they know who they are) for supporting me throughout the year. Your friendship was especially appreciated in 1996.

Finally, I would like to thank my mother, Mary, for teaching me the most invaluable lesson of all - how to think freely.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	
Preface	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	
List of Tables and Figures	
Dist of Tubics and Figures	VIII
CHAPTER ONE	
INTRODUCTION	
Introduction	
Why Does the Media Cover the Developing World so Badly?	
One-Sided Images and Inappropriate Development	2
Towards a New Knowledge of the Developing World?	5
Outline of Thesis	
Outline of Thesis	
CHAPTER TWO	8
THE POLITICAL ECONOMY OF THE MEDIA	8
Introduction	8
The Media in Capitalist Society - Some General Orthodox Marxis	it
and Neo-Marxist Approaches	8
The Influence of Gramsci and his Notion of Hegemony	12
The Notion of Discourse	
Discourse and the Media	
Semiotics and the Construction of Meaning	16
Ideology, Hegemony, Discourse, Semiotics, and the Media	
Herman and Chomsky's Propaganda Model	19
Explicit Bias in the Media: Other Approaches and Analyses	21
Hegemonic Approaches to Media Analysis	25
Theories on Implicit Bias in the Media	
Decimend Williams the Tout and the Droblem of the Audianas	24
Raymond Williams, the Text and the Problem of the Audience	34
Towards A Theoretical Framework Appropriate to a Textual	
Analysis of the Coverage of the 1992-3 Somalian Famine	37
Conclusion	40
CHAPTER THREE	
MEDIA AND THE DEVELOPING WORLD	
Introduction	
The Propaganda Model and the Developing World	41
The Image of the Other	43
The Unequal Distribution of International News Flows	44
The Quality of Media Reporting of the Developing World	45
Media Treatment of War in Africa	50
Media Treatment of Famine in Africa	52
News Selection and the Developing World	
Conclusion	57
CHAPTER FOUR	
THE CAUSES OF THE FAMINE	
Introduction	39
Somalia - Geographical and Sociological	60
The Impact of Colonialism	63
The Impact of Colonialism	69
The Early Years of Barre's Regime - Somalia 1969 to 1977	72
The 1977-78 Ogađen War	74
Barre's Reign of Terror 1978-1991	75
Somalia's Descent Into Hell - January 1991 to May 1992	01
Somana's Descent into Hell - January 1991 to May 1992	01
Conclusion	85

CHAPTER FIVE	87
THE FAMINE AND THE WORLD'S RESPONSE	87
Introduction	87
The Famine Crisis Worsens - Somalia in early 1992	88
The UN in Somalia - January 1991 to April 1992	89
Sahnoun and the UN - Somalia April 1992 to October 1992	91
The US Response to the Famine - January 1991 to October 1992	
Other Responses to the Famine	
The Famine Wanes - Somalia October 1992 to November 1992	96
Operation Restore Hope	
Operation Restore Hope - Hidden Agendas?	90
The Inappropriate Nature of Operation Restore Hope	103
Operation Restore Hope begins	106
The Effects of ORH - Somalia December 1992 to May 1993	107
Conclusion	113
CHAPTER SIX	
METHODOLOGY AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	
Introduction	114
News Content Analysis	114
Historical, Social and Political Themes for Analysis	
Why The Times, the New York Times and the Guardian?	
Ownership and Structure of The Times	125
Ownership and Structure of the New York Times	128
Ownership and Structure of the Guardian	129
Conclusion	131
CHAPTER SEVEN	132
MEDIA COVERAGE OF THE CAUSES OF THE SOMALIAN	
FAMINE	132
Introduction	
Quantitative Aspects of Coverage of the Causes of the Famine	
Qualitative Aspects of Coverage of the Causes of the Famine - an	
Overall Assessment	135
Qualitative Aspects of the Coverage of Theme One - The Legacy	
of Colonialism	148
Qualitative Aspects of the Coverage of Theme Three - The Legacy	
of the Cold War	154
Summary of Qualitative Aspects of the Coverage of Themes Four	
and Five	164
Qualitation Amonto of Common of Thomas Simultha Civil Warning	
Somalia 1991-1992	165
Quantitative and Qualitative Aspects of Coverage of the Issue of	105
the Famine's Extent and Location	172
Conclusion	175
CHAPTER EIGHT MEDIA COVERAGE OF THE WORLD'S RESPONSE TO THE	170
	170
SOMALIAN FAMINE	170
Introduction	1/0
	170
Famine	1/8
Qualitative Aspects of Coverage of the World's Response to the	170
Famine - an Overall Assessment	179
Summary of Qualitative Aspects of Coverage of Theme One and	
	194
Qualitative Aspects of Coverage of Theme Three - That the World	
ignored Somalia in 1991 and made only Perfunctory Attempts at	200
Assistance in 1992	196

Summary of Qualitative Aspects of Coverage of Themes Four, Five and Seven	203
Qualitative Aspects of Coverage of Theme Six - The	203
"Humanitarian" Motive of ORH	206
Conclusion	
CHAPTER NINE	
CONCLUSION	
Summary of Research	
Implications and Recommendations for Development Policy	
A Lesson for Journalists, too?	
Critical Readings and Appropriate Development	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	223
Appendix A	
Interview with Osman Abdilahi	
Appendix B	236
List of Newspaper Articles Examined	236
Appendix C	250
Details of Coverage by the Three Newspapers of the Causes of the	
1992-3 Somalian Famine - Themes Two, Four, Five and Seven	250
Appendix D	
Details of Coverage by the Three Newspapers of the World's Response to the 1992-3 Somalian Famine - Themes One, Two, Four, Five and	.,,,207
Seven	259

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Tables

Table 7.1: Guardian, The Times and New York Times coverage of the six themes identified as contributing significantly to the 1992-3 Somalian famine
Table 7.2: Guardian, The Times and New York Times coverage of the issue of the famine's extent and location
Table 8.1: Guardian, The Times and New York Times coverage of the seven themes identified with regard to how the world responded to the 1992-3 Somalian famine
Figures
Figure 4.1: Somalia - political boundaries
Figure 4.2: Colonial Somalia 1889-1940
Figure 4.3: Somali Ethnic Limits
Figure 4.4: Somali Clans and Movements 1988-1993
Figure 7.1: Editorial in <i>The Times</i> on August 20, 1992b, (p.11)
Figure 7.2: Article in the New York Times on August 23, 1992, (Sec.1, p.3)139
Figure 7.3: Editorial in the New York Times on July 23, 1992, (p. 22)140
Figure 7.4: Article in the Guardian on August 7, 1992b, (p. 19)144
Figure 7.5: Article in the <i>Guardian</i> on December 9, 1992c, (p. 20)
Figure 8.1: Editorial in the New York Times on December 1, 1992c, (p. A24)186
Figure 8.2: Editorial in <i>The Times</i> on December 9, 1992d, (p. 17)188